## **Contract Appeals Board**

www.cab.dc.gov Telephone: 202-727-6597

#### Table AF0-1

Description	FY 2019 Actual	FY 2020 Actual	FY 2021 Approved	FY 2022 Approved	% Change from FY 2021
OPERATING BUDGET	\$1,550,125	\$1,760,009	\$1,779,796	\$1,897,176	6.6
FTEs	10.0	11.0	11.0	11.0	0.0
CAPITAL BUDGET	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	N/A
FTEs	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A

The mission of the Contract Appeals Board (CAB) is to provide an impartial, expeditious, inexpensive, and knowledgeable forum for the hearing and resolving of contractual disputes, protests, debarments, and suspensions involving the District and its contracting communities.

#### **Summary of Services**

The Contract Appeals Board reviews and determines protests of District contract solicitations and/or awards; appeals by contractors of the District Contracting Officer's final decisions; claims by the District against a contractor; appeals by contractors of suspensions and/or debarments; and contractor appeals of interest payment claims under the Quick Payment Act.

The agency's FY 2022 approved budget is presented in the following tables:

#### FY 2022 Approved Gross Funds Operating Budget and FTEs, by Revenue Type

Table AF0-2 contains the approved FY 2022 budget by revenue type compared to the FY 2021 approved budget. It also provides FY 2019 and FY 2020 actual data.

#### Table AF0-2

(dollars in thousands)

	<b>Dollars in Thousands</b>					Fu	ıll-Time E	quivalen	ts			
					Change						Change	
	Actual	Actual	Approved	Approved	from	%	Actual	Actual	ApprovedA	Approved	from	%
Appropriated Fund	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2021	Change*	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2021 (	Change
GENERAL FUND												
Local Funds	1,550	1,760	1,780	1,897	117	6.6	10.0	11.0	11.0	11.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL FOR												
GENERAL FUND	1,550	1,760	1,780	1,897	117	6.6	10.0	11.0	11.0	11.0	0.0	0.0
GROSS FUNDS	1,550	1,760	1,780	1,897	117	6.6	10.0	11.0	11.0	11.0	0.0	0.0

\*Percent change is based on whole dollars.

Note: If applicable, for a breakdown of each Grant (Federal and Private), Special Purpose Revenue type and Intra-District agreement, please refer to Schedule 80 Agency Summary by Revenue Source in the FY 2022 Operating Appendices located on the Office of the Chief Financial Officer's website.

#### FY 2022 Approved Operating Budget, by Comptroller Source Group

Table AF0-3 contains the approved FY 2022 budget at the Comptroller Source Group (object class) level compared to the FY 2021 approved budget. It also provides FY 2019 and FY 2020 actual expenditures.

#### Table AF0-3

(dollars in thousands)

					Change	
	Actual	Actual	Approved	Approved	from	Percentage
Comptroller Source Group	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2021	Change*
11 - Regular Pay - Continuing Full Time	722	811	913	930	17	1.8
12 - Regular Pay - Other	557	575	573	573	0	0.0
13 - Additional Gross Pay	11	20	0	0	0	N/A
14 - Fringe Benefits - Current Personnel	215	216	246	269	22	9.1
SUBTOTAL PERSONAL SERVICES (PS)	1,506	1,622	1,732	1,771	39	2.3
20 - Supplies and Materials	3	6	10	10	0	0.0
31 - Telecommunications	0	0	0	0	0	N/A
40 - Other Services and Charges	24	116	24	95	70	287.6
41 - Contractual Services - Other	17	15	13	20	8	61.0
70 - Equipment and Equipment Rental	0	0	1	1	0	0.0
SUBTOTAL NONPERSONAL SERVICES (NPS)	44	138	48	126	78	164.0
GROSS FUNDS	1,550	1,760	1,780	1,897	117	6.6

\*Percent change is based on whole dollars.

#### FY 2022 Approved Operating Budget and FTEs, by Division/Program and Activity

Table AF0-4 contains the approved FY 2022 budget by division/program and activity compared to the FY 2021 approved budget. It also provides FY 2019 and FY 2020 actual data. For a more comprehensive explanation of divisions/programs and activities, please see the Division/Program Description section, which follows the table.

#### Table AF0-4

(dollars in thousands)

		<b>Dollars in Thousands</b>				Full-Time Equivalents				
					Change					Change
	Actual	Actual	Approved	Approved	from	Actual	Actual	Approved	Approved	from
<b>Division/Program and Activity</b>	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2021	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2021
(1000) CONTRACT APPEALS										
BOARD										
(1090) Performance Management	217	225	225	227	2	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.0
SUBTOTAL (1000) CONTRACT										
APPEALS BOARD	217	225	225	227	2	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.0
(2000) ADJUDICATION										
(2001) Adjudication	1,333	1,535	1,555	1,671	116	9.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	0.0
SUBTOTAL (2000) ADJUDICATION	1,333	1,535	1,555	1,671	116	9.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	0.0
TOTAL APPROVED										
OPERATING BUDGET	1,550	1,760	1,780	1,897	117	10.0	11.0	11.0	11.0	0.0

(Change is calculated by whole numbers and numbers may not add up due to rounding)

Note: For more detailed information regarding the approved funding for the activities within this agency's programs, please see **Schedule 30-PBB Program Summary by Activity** in the **FY 2022 Operating Appendices** located on the Office of the Chief Financial Officer's website. "No Activity Assigned" indicates budget or actuals that are recorded at the division/program level.

#### **Program Description**

The Contract Appeals Board operates through the following 2 programs:

**Contract Appeals Board (Agency Management)** – provides administrative support and the required tools to achieve operational and programmatic results. This program is standard for all agencies using performance-based budgeting.

**Adjudication** – adjudicates protests of District contract solicitations and awards, appeals by contractors of District contracting officer's final decisions, claims by the District against contractors, appeals by contractors of suspensions and debarments, and contractor appeals of interest payment claims under the Quick Payment Act.

#### **Program Structure Change**

The Contract Appeals Board has no program structure changes in the FY 2022 approved budget.

#### FY 2021 Approved Budget to FY 2022 Approved Budget, by Revenue Type

Table AF0-5 itemizes the changes by revenue type between the FY 2021 approved budget and the FY 2022 approved budget. For a more comprehensive explanation of changes, please see the FY 2022 Approved Budget Changes section, which follows the table.

#### Table AF0-5

(dollars in thousands)

DESCRIPTION	DIVISION/PROGRAM	BUDGET	FTE
LOCAL FUNDS: FY 2021 Approved Budget and FTE		1,780	11.0
No Change		0	0.0
LOCAL FUNDS: FY 2022 Recurring Budget		1,780	11.0
Increase: To align personal services and Fringe Benefits with projected costs	Multiple Programs	13	0.0
Decrease: To align resources with operational spending goals	Adjudication	-13	0.0
LOCAL FUNDS: FY 2022 Mayor's Proposed Budget		1,780	11.0
Enhance: To support the Archiving Documents project (one-time)	Adjudication	79	0.0
Enhance: To address personal and nonpersonal service shortfall	Adjudication	39	0.0
Reduce: To realize savings in nonpersonal services	Adjudication	-1	0.0
LOCAL FUNDS: FY 2022 District's Approved Budget		1,897	11.0

#### GROSS FOR AF0 - CONTRACT APPEALS BOARD

1,897 11.0

(Change is calculated by whole numbers and numbers may not add up due to rounding)

#### FY 2022 Approved Operating Budget Changes

Table AF0-6 contains the approved FY 2022 budget by fund compared to the FY 2021 approved budget.

#### Table AF0-6

			% Change
	FY 2021	FY 2022	from
Appropriated Fund	Approved	Approved	FY 2021
Local Funds	\$1,779,796	\$1,897,176	6.6
GROSS FUNDS	\$1,779,796	\$1,897,176	6.6

#### **Recurring Budget**

The Contract Appeals Board's budget proposal reflects no change from the FY 2021 approved budget to the FY 2022 recurring budget.

#### **Mayor's Proposed Budget**

**Increase:** CAB's Local fund proposed budget includes a net increase of \$12,971 across multiple programs to align salaries and Fringe Benefits with projected costs.

**Decrease:** CAB's proposed budget reflects a net decrease of \$12,971 in the Adjudication program to align agency resources with operational spending goals.

#### **District's Approved Budget**

**Enhance:** The Contract Appeals Board's approved budget reflects a one-time increase of \$79,300 in the Adjudication program to support the Archiving Documents project. Additionally, the budget includes an increase of \$39,253 in the Adjudication program as an errata adjustment.

**Reduce:** The Contract Appeals Board's budget reflects a reduction of \$1,173 in nonpersonal services to realize anticipated savings in supplies and materials purchases.

#### **Agency Performance Plan\***

The Contract Appeals Board (CAB) has the following strategic objectives for FY 2022:

#### **Strategic Objectives**

Strategic Objectives describe what the agency will do, at a high level, to achieve its mission. These are action-based sentences that define what an agency does for its customers, whether the customers are residents or other District agencies, and how that improves the District.

#### Objectives

- 1. Increase public confidence in the DC procurement process through the efficient, effective and fair disposition of public contracting disputes.
- 2. Increase use of Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) in resolving cases without the need for traditional litigation models, resulting in faster, more efficient dispositions of cases and greater party satisfaction.
- 3. Create and maintain a highly efficient, transparent and responsive District government through the digital archiving and electronic filing of all Board cases permitting web-based retrieval and full-text searching by the parties with pending cases and the public.

#### ACTIVITIES

Activities include the work that happens on a daily basis to help achieve the Strategic Objectives. Activity names come from the budget line items. This is further divided into "daily services" (ex. sanitation disposal), and long-term "key projects" that are high profile, one-time and span several years, (ex. redevelopment of Walter Reed Army Medical Center). Many agencies will mostly have daily services, whereas some agencies that have more of their budget come from capital funding will have several key projects.

### 1. Increase public confidence in the DC procurement process through the efficient, effective and fair disposition of public contracting disputes. (1 Activity)

Activity Title	Activity Description	Type of Activity
Reduce the number of open appeal cases that are three years or older through docket review and strategic resource allocation	Working goal to reduce the number of cases that are three years or older to less than 5 percent.	Daily Service

# 2. Increase use of Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) in resolving cases without the need for traditional litigation models, resulting in faster, more efficient dispositions of cases and greater party satisfaction. (1 Activity)

Activity Title	Activity Description	<b>Type of Activity</b>
Increase use of ADR in resolving disputes before CAB through researching, developing and applying best practices in mediation and other alternative dispute resolution models	At the inception of each case, CAB encourages mediation/settlement through Scheduling Orders. Further, the Presiding Judge in each case encourages mediation/settlement during the pretrial conference. CAB will continue to build upon its capacity to offer meaningful settlement/mediation opportunities to litigants.	Daily Service

**3.** Create and maintain a highly efficient, transparent and responsive District government through the digital archiving and electronic filing of all Board cases permitting web-based retrieval and full-text searching by the parties with pending cases and the public. (1 Activity)

Activity Title	Activity Description	<b>Type of Activity</b>
Increase digital archiving and electronic filing of new cases to provide full-text searching and, therefore, greater transparency for litigants, the contracting community and the public	Completion of digital archiving and loading into the database of historical appeal and protest cases permitting web-based retrieval and full-text searching capability by the parties with pending cases and the public, while promoting electronic filing and uploading of documents in all newly filed cases.	Key Project

#### **KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATORS**

Key Performance Indicators measure how well an agency is achieving its Strategic Objectives. They are outcome-oriented and should be used to answer the question, "What does the agency need to measure to determine success?"

1. Increase public confidence in the DC procurement process through the efficient, effective and fair disposition of public contracting disputes. (4 Measures)

Measure	New Measure/ Benchmark Year	FY 2019 Actual	FY 2020 Target	FY 2020 Actual	FY 2021 Target	FY 2022 Target
Percent of Appeals resolved within 4 months of the cases being ready for decision	No	81.3%	90%	92.9%	90%	90%
Percent of Protests resolved within 60 business days	No	100%	95%	94.1%	95%	95%
Percent of decisions sustained on appeal	No	100%	100%	No Applicable Incidents	100%	100%
Percent of pending Appeals that are three years old or less	No	100%	90%	100%	90%	90%

2. Increase use of Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) in resolving cases without the need for traditional litigation models, resulting in faster, more efficient dispositions of cases and greater party satisfaction. (1 Measure)

Measure	New Measure/	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
	Benchmark Year	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Target
Percent of cases resolved through settlement	No	55.6%	50%	50%	50%	50%

**3.** Create and maintain a highly efficient, transparent and responsive District government through the digital archiving and electronic filing of all Board cases permitting web-based retrieval and full-text searching by the parties with pending cases and the public. (2 Measures)

	New Measure/	FY 2019	FY 2020		FY 2021	FY 2022
Measure	Benchmark Year	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Target
Percent of cases closed by the	No	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Board in the current fiscal year that						
are electronically archived to permit						
web-based retrieval and full-text						
searching capability						
Percent of new cases using	No	100%	100%	83.3%	100%	100%
electronic filing system						

#### WORKLOAD MEASURES

Workload Measures, also called inputs or outputs, quantify an activity, effort or process that is necessary to make progress towards the Strategic Objectives. They help answer the question; "How much are we doing?"

## 1. Reduce the number of open appeal cases that are three years or older through docket review and strategic resource allocation

Measure	New Measure/ Benchmark Year	FY 2018 Actual	FY 2019 Actual	FY 2020 Actual
Number of cases resolved	No	56	36	36
Number of new cases filed	No	46	35	24

## 2. Increase use of ADR in resolving disputes before CAB through researching, developing and applying best practices in mediation and other alternative dispute resolution models

Measure	New Measure/ Benchmark Year	FY 2018 Actual	FY 2019 Actual	FY 2020 Actual
Number of cases resolved through settlement/voluntary withdrawal	No	31	20	18
Number of Scheduling Orders issued encouraging settlement	No	46	35	24

## **3.** Increase digital archiving and electronic filing of new cases to provide full-text searching and, therefore, greater transparency for litigants, the contracting community and the public

	New Measure/	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020
Measure	<b>Benchmark Year</b>	Actual	Actual	Actual
Number of archived protest and appeals cases	No	20	0	0
digitized and uploaded to the public website				
Number of documents filed in new cases	No	1461	1376	2043
Number of new cases filed and processed	No	46	35	21
electronically				

#### **Performance Plan Endnotes:**

\*For more information about the structure and components of FY 2022 draft performance plans, please see the FY 2022 Approved Budget and Financial Plan, Volume 1, Appendix E.

\*\*Key performance indicators that are new may not have historical data and may only have FY 2022 targets.

\*\*\*To view the final versions of agency FY 2022 performance plans when they become available in December 2021, see the OCA website at https:// oca.dc.gov/.