



Government of the District of Columbia

Schedules of Expenditures of Federal
Awards and Reports Required by the
Uniform Guidance
Year Ended September 30, 2016

**Government of the
District of Columbia**

Schedules of Expenditures of Federal
Awards and Reports Required by the
Uniform Guidance
Year Ended September 30, 2016

Government of the District of Columbia

Contents

Independent Auditor's Reports Required by the Uniform Guidance

Independent Auditor's Report on Schedules of Expenditures of Federal Awards	3-4
Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance For Each Major Federal Program and Report on Internal Control Over Compliance Required by the Uniform Guidance	5-13
Schedule I - Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards, By Federal Grantor	14-29
Schedule II - Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards, By District Agency	30-38
Notes to Schedules of Expenditures of Federal Awards	39-41
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	42-107
Management's Section	
Appendix A - Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings	
Appendix B - Management's Corrective Action Plan	



Tel: 202-644-5400
Fax: 202-644-5401
www.bdo.com

799 9th Street N.W., Suite 710
Washington, DC 20001

Independent Auditor's Report

To the Mayor and the Council of the Government of the District of Columbia

Report on Schedules of Expenditures of Federal Awards

We have audited the accompanying schedules of expenditures of federal awards of the Government of the District of Columbia for the year ended September 30, 2016, and the related notes (the financial statements).

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Those standards and the Uniform Guidance require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.



Opinion

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the expenditures of federal awards of the Government of the District of Columbia for the year ended September 30, 2016, in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

BDO USA, LLP

June 29, 2017



**Independent Auditor's Report
on Compliance For Each Major Federal Program and
Report on Internal Control Over Compliance
Required by the Uniform Guidance**

To the Mayor and the Council of the Government of the District of Columbia

Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

We have audited the Government of the District of Columbia's (the District) compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) *Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of the District's major federal programs for the year ended September 30, 2016. The District's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

The accompanying Schedules of Expenditures of Federal Awards and our audit described below do not include the federal expenditures of the District of Columbia Housing Finance Agency (HFA). Our audit, described below, did not include the operations of HFA because the component unit engaged other auditors, if required, to have an audit performed in accordance with Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance).

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of its federal awards applicable to its federal programs.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of the District's major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Those standards and the Uniform Guidance require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the District's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the District's compliance.



Basis for Adverse Opinions on the Three Major Federal Programs Identified in Table I

As described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs, and as identified in Table I below, the District did not comply with requirements regarding the following:

Table I - Material Noncompliance Resulting In Adverse Opinions				
Federal Awarding Agency	CDFA Number (s)	Major Program	Compliance Requirement	Finding Number
Health and Human Services	93.558	Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Cluster	Eligibility	2016-009
Health and Human Services	93.558	Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Cluster	Special Tests and Provisions - Income Eligibility and Verification System	2016-010
Health and Human Services	93.558	Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Cluster	Special Tests and Provisions - Penalty for Refusal to Work	2016-011
Health and Human Services	93.558	Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Cluster	Reporting; Special Tests and Provisions - Penalty for Failure to Comply with Work Verification Plan	2016-012
Health and Human Services	93.658	Foster Care - Title IV-E	Cash Management	2016-016
Health and Human Services	93.658	Foster Care - Title IV-E	Eligibility	2016-017
Health and Human Services	93.658	Foster Care - Title IV-E	Matching, Level of Effort, Earmarking; Reporting	2016-018
Health and Human Services	93.658	Foster Care - Title IV-E	Special Tests and Provisions - Payment Rate Setting and Application	2016-020
Health and Human Services	93.659	Adoption Assistance - Title IV-E	Cash Management	2016-021
Health and Human Services	93.659	Adoption Assistance - Title IV-E	Eligibility	2016-022



Compliance with such requirements is necessary, in our opinion, for the District to comply with the requirements applicable to those programs.

Adverse Opinions on the Three Major Federal Programs Identified in Table I

In our opinion, because of the significance of the matters discussed in the Basis for Adverse Opinions paragraph, the District did not comply in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on the major federal programs identified in Table I for the year ended September 30, 2016.

Basis for Qualified Opinions on the Five Major Federal Programs Identified in Table II

As described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs, and as identified in Table II below, the District did not comply with requirements regarding the following:

Table II - Material Noncompliance Resulting In Qualified Opinions				
Federal Awarding Agency	CDFA Number (s)	Major Program	Compliance Requirement	Finding Number
Agriculture	10.551, 10.561	Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program Cluster	Special Tests and Provisions - ADP System for SNAP	2016-001
Agriculture	10.553, 10.555, 10.556, 10.559	Child Nutrition Cluster	Eligibility	2016-003
Education	84.126	Rehabilitation Services - Vocational Rehabilitation Grants to States	Eligibility	2016-007
Health and Human Services	93.914	HIV Emergency Relief Project Grants	Activities Allowed or Unallowed and Allowable Costs/Cost Principles	2016-024
Social Security Administration	96.001	Social Security Disability Insurance Cluster	Activities Allowed or Unallowed and Allowable Costs/Cost Principles	2016-025

Compliance with such requirements is necessary, in our opinion, for the District to comply with the requirements applicable to those programs.



Qualified Opinions on the Five Major Federal Programs Identified in Table II

In our opinion, except for the noncompliance described in the Basis for Qualified Opinions paragraph above, the District complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on the major federal programs identified in Table II for the year ended September 30, 2016.

Unmodified Opinions on Each of the Other Major Federal Programs

In our opinion, the District complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its other major federal programs identified in the summary of auditor’s results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs for the year ended September 30, 2016.

Other Matters

The results of our auditing procedures disclosed other instances of noncompliance, which are required to be reported in accordance with the Uniform Guidance and which are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs, and as identified in the Tables III and IV below. Our opinion on each major federal program is not modified with respect to these matters.

Table III - Other Instances of Noncompliance Noted in Programs with an Adverse Opinion				
Federal Awarding Agency	CDFA Number (s)	Major Program	Compliance Requirement	Finding Number
Health and Human Services	93.658	Foster Care - Title IV-E	Activities Allowed or Unallowed and Allowable Costs/Cost Principles	2016-015
Health and Human Services	93.658	Foster Care - Title IV-E	Period of Performance	2016-019

Table IV - Other Instances of Noncompliance				
Federal Awarding Agency	CDFA Number (s)	Major Program	Compliance Requirement	Finding Number
Agriculture	10.551, 10.561	Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program Cluster	Special Tests and Provisions - EBT Card Security	2016-002
Housing and Urban Development	14.218	Community Development Block Grants/Entitlement Grants	Activities Allowed or Unallowed and Allowable Costs/Cost Principles	2016-004



Table IV - Other Instances of Noncompliance				
Federal Awarding Agency	C DFA Number (s)	Major Program	Compliance Requirement	Finding Number
Housing and Urban Development	14.239	Home Investment Partnerships Program	Activities Allowed or Unallowed and Allowable Costs/Cost Principles	2016-005
Education	84.126	Rehabilitation Services - Vocational Rehabilitation Grants to States	Activities Allowed or Unallowed and Allowable Costs/Cost Principles	2016-006
Education	84.126	Rehabilitation Services - Vocational Rehabilitation Grants to States	Special Tests and Provisions - Completion of IPEs	2016-008
Health and Human Services	93.568	Low-Income Home Energy Assistance Program	Eligibility	2016-013
Health and Human Services	93.600	Head Start	Activities Allowed or Unallowed and Allowable Costs/Cost Principles; Period of Performance	2016-014
Health and Human Services	93.775, 93.777, 93.778	Medicaid Cluster	Eligibility	2016-023

The District’s responses to the noncompliance findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. The District’s responses were not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the responses.

Report on Internal Control over Compliance

Management of the District is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the District’s internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District’s internal control over compliance.



Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. However, as discussed below, we identified certain deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses and significant deficiencies.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A *material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. We consider the deficiencies in internal control over compliance described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs, and as identified in Table V below, to be material weaknesses.

Table V - Material Weaknesses in Internal Control Over Compliance				
Federal Awarding Agency	CDFA Number (s)	Major Program	Compliance Requirement	Finding Number
Agriculture	10.551, 10.561	Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program Cluster	Special Tests and Provisions - ADP System for SNAP	2016-001 2016-026
Agriculture	10.553, 10.555, 10.556, 10.559	Child Nutrition Cluster	Eligibility	2016-003
Education	84.126	Rehabilitation Services - Vocational Rehabilitation Grants to States	Eligibility	2016-007
Health and Human Services	93.558	Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Cluster	Eligibility	2016-009
Health and Human Services	93.558	Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Cluster	Special Tests and Provisions - Income Eligibility and Verification System	2016-010
Health and Human Services	93.558	Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Cluster	Special Tests and Provisions - Penalty for Refusal to Work	2016-011



Table V - Material Weaknesses in Internal Control Over Compliance

Federal Awarding Agency	CDFA Number (s)	Major Program	Compliance Requirement	Finding Number
Health and Human Services	93.558	Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Cluster	Reporting; Special Tests and Provisions - Penalty for Failure to Comply With Work Verification Plan	2016-012
Health and Human Services	93.558	Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Cluster	Eligibility	2016-026
Health and Human Services	93.658	Foster Care - Title IV-E	Activities Allowed or Unallowed and Allowable Costs/Cost Principles	2016-015
Health and Human Services	93.658	Foster Care - Title IV-E	Cash Management	2016-016
Health and Human Services	93.658	Foster Care - Title IV-E	Eligibility	2016-017
Health and Human Services	93.658	Foster Care - Title IV-E	Matching, Level of Effort, Earmarking; Reporting	2016-018
Health and Human Services	93.658	Foster Care - Title IV-E	Period of Performance	2016-019
Health and Human Services	93.658	Foster Care - Title IV-E	Special Tests and Provisions - Payment Rate Setting and Application	2016-020
Health and Human Services	93.659	Adoption Assistance - Title IV-E	Cash Management	2016-021
Health and Human Services	93.659	Adoption Assistance - Title IV-E	Eligibility	2016-022
Health and Human Services	93.767	Children's Health Insurance Program	Eligibility	2016-026
Health and Human Services	93.775, 93.777, 93.778	Medicaid Cluster	Eligibility	2016-026



Table V - Material Weaknesses in Internal Control Over Compliance				
Federal Awarding Agency	CDFA Number (s)	Major Program	Compliance Requirement	Finding Number
Health and Human Services	93.914	HIV Emergency Relief Project Grants	Activities Allowed or Unallowed and Allowable Costs/Cost Principles	2016-024
Social Security Administration	96.001	Social Security Disability Insurance Cluster	Activities Allowed or Unallowed and Allowable Costs/Cost Principles	2016-025

A *significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance. We consider the deficiencies in internal control over compliance described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs, and as identified in Table VI below, to be significant deficiencies.

Table VI - Significant Deficiencies in Internal Control Over Compliance				
Federal Awarding Agency	CDFA Number (s)	Major Program	Compliance Requirement	Finding Number
Agriculture	10.551, 10.561	Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program Cluster	Special Tests and Provisions - EBT Card Security	2016-002
Housing and Urban Development	14.218	Community Development Block Grants/Entitlement Grants	Activities Allowed or Unallowed and Allowable Costs/Cost Principles	2016-004
Housing and Urban Development	14.239	HOME Investment Partnerships Program	Activities Allowed or Unallowed and Allowable Costs/Cost Principles	2016-005
Education	84.126	Rehabilitation Services - Vocational Rehabilitation Grants to States	Activities Allowed or Unallowed and Allowable Costs/Cost Principles	2016-006
Education	84.126	Rehabilitation Services - Vocational Rehabilitation Grants to States	Special Tests and Provisions - Completion of IPEs	2016-008



Table VI - Significant Deficiencies in Internal Control Over Compliance				
Federal Awarding Agency	CDFA Number (s)	Major Program	Compliance Requirement	Finding Number
Health and Human Services	93.568	Low-Income Home Energy Assistance Program	Eligibility	2016-013
Health and Human Services	93.600	Head Start	Activities Allowed or Unallowed and Allowable Costs/Cost Principles; Period of Performance	2016-014
Health and Human Services	93.775, 93.777, 93.778	Medicaid Cluster	Eligibility	2016-023

The District's responses to the internal control over compliance findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. The District's responses were not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the responses.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

BDO USA, LLP

June 29, 2017

**Schedule I - Schedule of Expenditures
of Federal Awards by Federal Grantor**

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards by Federal Grantor

Year Ended September 30, 2016

<i>Federal Grantor / Pass-Through Grantor / Program or Cluster Title</i>	<i>Federal CFDA Number</i>	<i>Pass Through Entity Identifying Number</i>	<i>Research and Development Cluster</i>	<i>Student Financial Assistance Cluster</i>	<i>Other</i>	<i>Cluster Total</i>	<i>Total Federal Expenditures</i>	<i>Passed Through to Subrecipients</i>
U.S. Department of Agriculture								
AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH_BASIC AND APPLIED RESEARCH	10.001		\$ 73,848	-	\$ -		\$ 73,848	-
SPECIALTY CROP BLOCK GRANT PROGRAM - FARM BILL	10.170		201,269	-	-		201,269	-
GRANTS FOR AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH, SPECIAL RESEARCH GRANTS	10.200		-	-	15,000		15,000	-
PAYMENTS TO AGRICULTURAL EXPERIMENT STATIONS UNDER THE HATCH ACT	10.203		842,710	-	-		842,710	-
COOPERATIVE EXTENSION SERVICE	10.500		1,354,512	-	127,124		1,481,636	-
<u>SUPPLEMENTAL NUTRITION ASSISTANCE PROGRAM (SNAP) CLUSTER</u>								
SUPPLEMENTARY NUTRITION ASSISTANCE PROGRAM (SNAP) - FOOD STAMPS	10.551		-	-	209,951,858		209,951,858	-
STATE ADMINISTRATIVE MATCHING GRANTS FOR THE SUPPLEMENTAL NUTRITION	10.561		-	-	22,617,560		22,617,560	-
SUBTOTAL - SUPPLEMENTAL NUTRITION ASSISTANCE PROGRAM (SNAP) CLUSTER						\$ 232,569,418		
<u>CHILD NUTRITION CLUSTER</u>								
SCHOOL BREAKFAST PROGRAM	10.553		-	-	10,110,929		10,110,929	10,110,929
NATIONAL SCHOOL LUNCH PROGRAM	10.555		-	-	27,125,063		27,125,063	27,125,063
SPECIAL MILK PROGRAM FOR CHILDREN	10.556		-	-	5,768		5,768	5,768
SUMMER FOOD SERVICE PROGRAM FOR CHILDREN	10.559		-	-	2,641,967		2,641,967	2,555,890
SUBTOTAL - CHILD NUTRITION CLUSTER						39,883,727		
SPECIAL SUPPLEMENTAL NUTRITION PROGRAM FOR WOMEN, INFANTS, AND CHILDREN (WIC)	10.557		-	-	14,138,120		14,138,120	3,064,734
CHILD AND ADULT CARE FOOD PROGRAM	10.558		-	-	8,433,055		8,433,055	8,277,887
STATE ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES FOR CHILD NUTRITION	10.560		-	-	1,830,466		1,830,466	-
<u>FOOD DISTRIBUTION CLUSTER</u>								
COMMODITY SUPPLEMENTAL FOOD PROGRAM	10.565		-	-	399,292		399,292	399,292
EMERGENCY FOOD ASSISTANCE PROGRAM (ADMINISTRATIVE COST)	10.568		-	-	227,375		227,375	191,123
SUBTOTAL - FOOD DISTRIBUTION CLUSTER						626,667		
WIC FARMERS' MARKET NUTRITION PROGRAM (FMNP)	10.572		-	-	153,992		153,992	-
FARM TO SCHOOL GRANT PROGRAM	10.575		-	-	8,237		8,237	-
SENIOR FARMERS MARKET NUTRITION PROGRAM	10.576		-	-	16,359		16,359	-
CHILD NUTRITION DISCRETIONARY GRANTS LIMITED AVAILABILITY	10.579		-	-	82,401		82,401	82,401
CHILD NUTRITION DISCRETIONARY GRANTS LIMITED AVAILABILITY, NON-CASH AWARD	10.579		-	-	2,139,893		2,139,893	1,331,836
FRESH FRUIT AND VEGETABLE PROGRAM	10.582		-	-	1,543,405		1,543,405	1,443,209
URBAN & COMMUNITY FORESTRY PROGRAM	10.675		-	-	22,087		22,087	-
FOREST HEALTH PROTECTION	10.680		-	-	10,000		10,000	-
Total U.S. Department of Agriculture			2,472,339	-	301,599,951	273,079,812	304,072,290	54,588,132

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this schedule.

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards by Federal Grantor

Year Ended September 30, 2016

<i>Federal Grantor / Pass-Through Grantor / Program or Cluster Title</i>	<i>Federal CFDA Number</i>	<i>Pass Through Entity Identifying Number</i>	<i>Research and Development Cluster</i>	<i>Student Financial Assistance Cluster</i>	<i>Other</i>	<i>Cluster Total</i>	<i>Total Federal Expenditures</i>	<i>Passed Through to Subrecipients</i>
U.S. Department of Commerce								
ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER								
INVESTMENTS FOR PUBLIC WORKS AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT FACILITIES	11.300		-	-	53,418	53,418	53,418	-
SUBTOTAL - ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER						53,418		
ATLANTIC COASTAL FISHERIES COOPERATIVE MANAGEMENT ACT	11.474		-	-	49,237	49,237	49,237	-
STATE AND LOCAL IMPLEMENTATION GRANT PROGRAM	11.549		-	-	178,474	178,474	178,474	-
SCIENCE, TECHNOLOGY, BUSINESS AND/OR EDUCATION OUTREACH	11.620		-	-	17,560	17,560	17,560	-
Total U.S. Department of Commerce			-	-	298,689	53,418	298,689	-
U.S. Department of Defense								
PROCUREMENT TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE FOR BUSINESS FIRMS	12.002		-	-	280,651	280,651	280,651	-
STATE MEMORANDUM OF AGREEMENT PROGRAM FOR THE REIMBURSEMENT OF TECHNICAL SERVICES	12.113		-	-	498,255	498,255	498,255	-
NATIONAL GUARD MILITARY OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE (O&M) PROJECTS	12.401		-	-	4,210,551	4,210,551	4,210,551	-
NATIONAL GUARD CHALLENGE PROGRAM	12.404		-	-	2,960,017	2,960,017	2,960,017	-
MILITARY MEDICAL RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	12.420		228,103	-	-	228,103	228,103	-
COMMUNITY ECONOMIC ADJUSTMENT ASSISTANCE FOR ESTABLISHMENT, EXPANSION, REALIGNMENT, OR CLOSURE OF A MILITARY INSTALLATION	12.607		-	-	470,164	470,164	470,164	-
BASIC, APPLIED, AND ADVANCED RESEARCH IN SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING	12.630		77,456	-	-	77,456	77,456	-
Total U.S. Department of Defense			305,559	-	8,419,638	-	8,725,197	-

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this schedule.

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards by Federal Grantor

Year Ended September 30, 2016

<i>Federal Grantor / Pass-Through Grantor / Program or Cluster Title</i>	<i>Federal CFDA Number</i>	<i>Pass Through Entity Identifying Number</i>	<i>Research and Development Cluster</i>	<i>Student Financial Assistance Cluster</i>	<i>Other</i>	<i>Cluster Total</i>	<i>Total Federal Expenditures</i>	<i>Passed Through to Subrecipients</i>
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development								
<u>COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANTS/ENTITLEMENT GRANTS CLUSTER</u>								
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANTS/ENTITLEMENT GRANTS (CDBG)	14.218		-	-	26,972,716		26,972,716	20,183,901
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANTS/ENTITLEMENT GRANTS (CDBG), OUTSTANDING LOAN BEGINNING BALANCE	14.218		-	-	279,668,603		279,668,603	-
SUBTOTAL - COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANTS/ENTITLEMENT GRANTS CLUSTER						<u>306,641,319</u>		
EMERGENCY SOLUTIONS GRANT PROGRAM	14.231		-	-	952,589		952,589	146,758
SHELTER PLUS CARE	14.238		-	-	4,351,952		4,351,952	4,351,952
HOME INVESTMENT PARTNERSHIPS PROGRAM (HOME)	14.239		-	-	11,826,877		11,826,877	10,748,028
HOME INVESTMENT PARTNERSHIPS PROGRAM (HOME), OUTSTANDING LOAN BEGINNING BALANCE	14.239		-	-	105,889,860		105,889,860	-
HOUSING OPPORTUNITIES FOR PERSONS WITH AIDS	14.241		-	-	10,816,163		10,816,163	8,728,215
CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM	14.267		-	-	679,326		679,326	514,430
FAIR HOUSING ASSISTANCE PROGRAM - STATE AND LOCAL	14.401		-	-	139,261		139,261	-
LEAD-BASED PAINT HAZARD CONTROL IN PRIVATELY-OWNED HOUSING	14.900		-	-	57,564		57,564	55,457
LEAD HAZARD REDUCTION DEMONSTRATION GRANT PROGRAM	14.905		-	-	454,243		454,243	441,632
Total U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development			<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>441,809,154</u>	<u>306,641,319</u>	<u>441,809,154</u>	<u>45,170,373</u>
U.S. Department of the Interior								
<u>FISH AND WILDLIFE CLUSTER</u>								
SPORT FISH RESTORATION PROGRAM	15.605		-	-	1,557,061		1,557,061	-
SUBTOTAL - FISH AND WILDLIFE CLUSTER						<u>1,557,061</u>		
CLEAN VESSEL ACT	15.616		-	-	37,126		37,126	-
STATE WILDLIFE GRANTS	15.634		-	-	94,097		94,097	12,014
ASSISTANCE TO WATER RESOURCES RESEARCH INSTITUTES	15.805		71,544	-	-		71,544	-
HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND GRANTS-IN-AID	15.904		-	-	506,791		506,791	-
OUTDOOR RECREATION - ACQUISITION, DEVELOPMENT AND PLANNING	15.916		-	-	(71,412)		(71,412)	-
LOCAL LAW ENFORCEMENT BLOCK	15.U00		-	-	20,000		20,000	-
Total U.S. Department of the Interior			<u>71,544</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>2,143,663</u>	<u>1,557,061</u>	<u>2,215,207</u>	<u>12,014</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this schedule.

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards by Federal Grantor

Year Ended September 30, 2016

<i>Federal Grantor / Pass-Through Grantor / Program or Cluster Title</i>	<i>Federal CFDA Number</i>	<i>Pass Through Entity Identifying Number</i>	<i>Research and Development Cluster</i>	<i>Student Financial Assistance Cluster</i>	<i>Other</i>	<i>Cluster Total</i>	<i>Total Federal Expenditures</i>	<i>Passed Through to Subrecipients</i>
U.S. Department of Justice								
SEXUAL ASSAULT SERVICES FORMULA PROGRAM	16.017		-	-	12,073		12,073	-
JUVENILE ACCOUNTABILITY INCENTIVE BLOCK GRANT PROGRAM	16.523		-	-	24,072		24,072	24,072
JUVENILE JUSTICE AND DELINQUENCY PREVENTION - ALLOCATION TO STATES	16.540		-	-	446,699		446,699	331,627
STATE JUSTICE STATISTICS PROGRAM FOR STATISTICAL ANALYSIS CENTERS	16.550		-	-	60,000		60,000	-
NATIONAL CRIMINAL HISTORY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (NCHIP)	16.554		-	-	210,382		210,382	-
CRIME VICTIM ASSISTANCE PROGRAM	16.575		-	-	4,102,083		4,102,083	3,945,945
CRIME VICTIM ASSISTANCE/DISCRETIONARY GRANTS	16.582		-	-	138,013		138,013	108,215
VIOLENCE AGAINST WOMEN FORMULA GRANTS	16.588		-	-	763,624		763,624	703,518
GRANTS TO ENCOURAGE ARREST POLICIES & ENFORCEMENT OF PROTECT ORDERS	16.590		-	-	189,861		189,861	184,976
RESIDENTIAL SUBSTANCE ABUSE TREATMENT FOR STATE PRISONERS	16.593		-	-	(4,532)		(4,532)	(4,532)
PROJECT SAFE NEIGHBORHOODS	16.609		-	-	5,961		5,961	5,961
PUBLIC SAFETY PARTNERSHIP & COMMUNITY POLICING GRANTS	16.710		-	-	373,112		373,112	-
ARRA - PUBLIC SAFETY PARTNERSHIP & COMMUNITY POLICING GRANTS	16.710		-	-	1,810,646		1,810,646	537,742
PREA PROGRAM: DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS TO ESTABLISH "ZERO TOLERANCE"								
CULTURES FOR SEXUAL ASSAULT IN CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES	16.735		-	-	(918)		(918)	-
EDWARD BYRNE MEMORIAL JUSTICE ASSISTANCE GRANT PROGRAM	16.738		-	-	1,976,101		1,976,101	1,013,425
FORENSIC DNA BACKLOG REDUCTION PROGRAM	16.741		-	-	242,337		242,337	-
PAUL COVERDELL FORENSIC SCIENCES IMPROVEMENT GRANT PROGRAM	16.742		-	-	72,094		72,094	72,094
SUPPORT FOR ADAM WALSH IMPLEMENTATION GRANT PROGRAM	16.750		-	-	116,450		116,450	49,533
EDWARD BYRNE MEMORIAL COMPETITIVE GRANT PROGRAM	16.751		-	-	160,888		160,888	159,015
SECOND CHANCE ACT PRISONER REENTRY INITIATIVE	16.812		-	-	172,964		172,964	172,964
VISION 21	16.826		-	-	269,254		269,254	233,149
Total U.S. Department of Justice			-	-	11,141,164	-	11,141,164	7,537,704

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this schedule.

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards by Federal Grantor

Year Ended September 30, 2016

<i>Federal Grantor / Pass-Through Grantor / Program or Cluster Title</i>	<i>Federal CFDA Number</i>	<i>Pass Through Entity Identifying Number</i>	<i>Research and Development Cluster</i>	<i>Student Financial Assistance Cluster</i>	<i>Other</i>	<i>Cluster Total</i>	<i>Total Federal Expenditures</i>	<i>Passed Through to Subrecipients</i>
U.S. Department of Labor								
LABOR FORCE STATISTICS	17.002		-	-	643,027		643,027	-
COMPENSATION AND WORKING CONDITIONS	17.005		-	-	83,975		83,975	-
REGISTERED APPRENTICESHIP	17.201		-	-	6,242		6,242	-
<u>EMPLOYMENT SERVICE CLUSTER</u>								
EMPLOYMENT SERVICE/WAGNER-PEYSER FUNDED ACTIVITIES	17.207		-	-	2,852,935		2,852,935	-
DISABLED VETERANS OUTREACH PROGRAM	17.801		-	-	456,166		456,166	-
LOCAL VETERANS EMPLOYMENT REPRESENTATIVE	17.804		-	-	203,581		203,581	-
SUBTOTAL - EMPLOYMENT SERVICE CLUSTER						3,512,682		
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE	17.225		-	-	125,258,153		125,258,153	-
ARRA - UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE	17.225		-	-	1,826,263		1,826,263	-
<u>WIA CLUSTER</u>								
WIA ADULT PROGRAM	17.258		-	-	2,244,948		2,244,948	-
WIA YOUTH ACTIVITIES	17.259		-	-	2,651,128		2,651,128	-
WIA DISLOCATED WORKER FORMULA GRANTS	17.278		-	-	3,408,786		3,408,786	-
SUBTOTAL - WIA CLUSTER						8,304,862		
SENIOR COMMUNITY SERVICE EMPLOYMENT PROGRAM	17.235		-	-	496,586		496,586	-
TRADE ADJUSTMENT ASSISTANCE	17.245		-	-	38,256		38,256	-
WORK OPPORTUNITY TAX CREDIT PROGRAM (WOTC)	17.271		-	-	66,000		66,000	-
TEMPORARY LABOR CERTIFICATION FOR FOREIGN WORKERS	17.273		-	-	(4,259)		(4,259)	-
WORKFORCE INVESTMENT ACT (WIA) DISLOCATED WORKER NATIONAL RESERVE								
TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE TRAINING	17.281		-	-	42,548		42,548	-
TRADE ADJUSTMENT ASSISTANCE COMMUNITY COLLEGE AND CAREER TRAINING								
(TAACCCT) GRANTS	17.282		-	-	1,927,787		1,927,787	-
CONSULTATION AGREEMENTS	17.504		-	-	468,588		468,588	-
Total U.S. Department of Labor			-	-	142,670,710	11,817,544	142,670,710	-

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this schedule.

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards by Federal Grantor

Year Ended September 30, 2016

<i>Federal Grantor / Pass-Through Grantor / Program or Cluster Title</i>	<i>Federal CFDA Number</i>	<i>Pass Through Entity Identifying Number</i>	<i>Research and Development Cluster</i>	<i>Student Financial Assistance Cluster</i>	<i>Other</i>	<i>Cluster Total</i>	<i>Total Federal Expenditures</i>	<i>Passed Through to Subrecipients</i>
U.S. Department of Transportation								
HIGHWAY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM	20.200		1,407,637	-	-		1,407,637	-
<u>HIGHWAY PLANNING AND CONSTRUCTION CLUSTER</u>								
HIGHWAY PLANNING AND CONSTRUCTION	20.205		-	-	124,514,314		124,514,314	-
SUBTOTAL - HIGHWAY PLANNING AND CONSTRUCTION CLUSTER						<u>124,514,314</u>		
HIGHWAY TRAINING AND EDUCATION	20.215		-	-	93,495		93,495	-
NATIONAL MOTOR CARRIER SAFETY	20.218		-	-	889,724		889,724	-
SAFETY DATA IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	20.234		-	-	5,188		5,188	-
ARRA - HIGH-SPEED RAIL CORRIDORS AND INTERCITY PASSENGER RAIL SERVICE - CAPITAL ASSISTANCE GRANTS	20.319		-	-	2,513,667		2,513,667	-
<u>TRANSIT SERVICES PROGRAMS CLUSTER</u>								
ENHANCED MOBILITY OF SENIORS AND INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES	20.513		-	-	(12,381)		(12,381)	-
SUBTOTAL - TRANSIT SERVICES PROGRAMS CLUSTER						<u>(12,381)</u>		
RAIL FIXED GUIDEWAY PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM STATE SAFETY OVERSIGHT								
FORMULA GRANT PROGRAM	20.528		-	-	136,635		136,635	-
<u>HIGHWAY SAFETY CLUSTER</u>								
STATE AND COMMUNITY HIGHWAY SAFETY	20.600		-	-	3,615,224		3,615,224	668,271
SUBTOTAL - HIGHWAY SAFETY CLUSTER						<u>3,615,224</u>		
PIPELINE SAFETY PROGRAM STATE BASE GRANT	20.700		-	-	403,500		403,500	-
PHMSA PIPELINE SAFETY PROGRAM ONE CALL GRANT	20.721		-	-	4,939		4,939	-
Total U.S. Department of Transportation			<u>1,407,637</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>132,164,305</u>	<u>128,117,157</u>	<u>133,571,942</u>	<u>668,271</u>
U.S. Department of the Treasury								
LOW-INCOME TAXPAYER CLINICS	21.008		-	-	89,296		89,296	-
Total U.S. Department of the Treasury			<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>89,296</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>89,296</u>	<u>-</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this schedule.

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards by Federal Grantor

Year Ended September 30, 2016

<i>Federal Grantor / Pass-Through Grantor / Program or Cluster Title</i>	<i>Federal CFDA Number</i>	<i>Pass Through Entity Identifying Number</i>	<i>Research and Development Cluster</i>	<i>Student Financial Assistance Cluster</i>	<i>Other</i>	<i>Cluster Total</i>	<i>Total Federal Expenditures</i>	<i>Passed Through to Subrecipients</i>
Equal Employment Opportunity Commission								
EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION - TITLE VII OF THE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1964	30.001		-	-	241,744	-	241,744	-
Total Equal Employment Opportunity Commission			-	-	241,744	-	241,744	-
National Aeronautics and Space Administration								
EDUCATION	43.008		-	-	11,801	-	11,801	-
Total National Aeronautics and Space Administration			-	-	11,801	-	11,801	-
National Endowment for the Humanities								
PROMOTION OF THE ARTS - PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENTS	45.025		-	-	691,900	-	691,900	-
GRANTS TO STATES	45.310		-	-	924,058	-	924,058	-
Total National Endowment for the Humanities			-	-	1,615,958	-	1,615,958	-
National Science Foundation								
EDUCATION AND HUMAN RESOURCES	47.076		1,103,050	-	-	-	1,103,050	-
Total National Science Foundation			1,103,050	-	-	-	1,103,050	-
U.S. Small Business Administration								
FEDERAL AND STATE TECHNOLOGY PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM	59.058		-	-	39,998	-	39,998	-
STATE TRADE AND EXPORT PROMOTION PILOT GRANT PROGRAM (SBA)	59.061		-	-	(10,159)	-	(10,159)	-
Total U.S. Small Business Administration			-	-	29,839	-	29,839	-
U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs								
VETERANS AFFAIRS MEDICAL CENTER	64.009		-	-	811,841	-	811,841	-
Total U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs			-	-	811,841	-	811,841	-

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this schedule.

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards by Federal Grantor

Year Ended September 30, 2016

<i>Federal Grantor / Pass-Through Grantor / Program or Cluster Title</i>	<i>Federal CFDA Number</i>	<i>Pass Through Entity Identifying Number</i>	<i>Research and Development Cluster</i>	<i>Student Financial Assistance Cluster</i>	<i>Other</i>	<i>Cluster Total</i>	<i>Total Federal Expenditures</i>	<i>Passed Through to Subrecipients</i>
Environmental Protection Agency								
AIR POLLUTION CONTROL PROGRAM SUPPORT	66.001		-	-	1,340,836		1,340,836	-
STATE INDOOR RADON GRANTS	66.032		-	-	86,460		86,460	-
SURVEYS, STUDIES, INVESTIGATIONS ACTIVITIES RELATING TO THE CLEAN AIR ACT	66.034		-	-	98,497		98,497	-
CONSTRUCTION GRANTS FOR WASTEWATER TREATMENT WORKS	66.418		-	-	3,488,478		3,488,478	1,067,060
WATER POLLUTION CONTROL STATE, INTERSTATE, TRIBAL PROGRAM SUPPORT	66.419		-	-	1,216,426		1,216,426	40,000
WATER QUALITY MANAGEMENT PLANNING	66.454		-	-	100,000		100,000	60,000
NONPOINT SOURCE IMPLEMENTATION GRANTS	66.460		-	-	1,175,887		1,175,887	56,611
CHESAPEAKE BAY PROGRAM	66.466		-	-	3,180,213		3,180,213	1,032,382
CHESAPEAKE BAY PROGRAM - NATIONAL FISH & WILDLIFE FOUNDATION	66.466	0602.12.033197, 0602.13.040169	-	-	448,494		448,494	-
<u>DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND CLUSTER</u>								
CAPITALIZATION GRANTS FOR DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUNDS	66.468		-	-	1,753		1,753	-
SUBTOTAL - DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND CLUSTER					<u>1,753</u>	<u>1,753</u>		
PERFORMANCE PARTNERSHIP GRANTS	66.605		-	-	252,926		252,926	252,926
POLLUTION PREVENTION GRANTS PROGRAM	66.708		-	-	461,312		461,312	-
HAZARDOUS WASTE MANAGEMENT STATE PROGRAM SUPPORT	66.801		-	-	343,605		343,605	-
SUPERFUND STATE, POLITICAL SUBDIVISION, AND INDIAN TRIBE SITE SPECIFIC COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS	66.802		-	-	(366,376)		(366,376)	-
UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK PREVENTION, DETECTION & COMPLIANCE PROGRAM	66.804		-	-	1,145,267		1,145,267	-
SUPERFUND STATE AND TRIBE CORE PROGRAM COOP AGREEMENTS	66.809		-	-	296,721		296,721	-
STATE AND TRIBAL RESPONSE PROGRAM GRANTS	66.817		-	-	(163)		(163)	-
Total Environmental Protection Agency			<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>13,270,336</u>	<u>1,753</u>	<u>13,270,336</u>	<u>2,508,979</u>
U.S. Department of Energy								
STATE ENERGY PROGRAM	81.041		-	-	176,471		176,471	-
WEATHERIZATION ASSISTANCE FOR LOW-INCOME PERSONS	81.042		-	-	267,763		267,763	130,324
STATE HEATING OIL AND PROPANE PROGRAM	81.138		-	-	10,175		10,175	-
Total U.S. Department of Energy			<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>454,409</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>454,409</u>	<u>130,324</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this schedule.

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards by Federal Grantor

Year Ended September 30, 2016

<i>Federal Grantor / Pass-Through Grantor / Program or Cluster Title</i>	<i>Federal CFDA Number</i>	<i>Pass Through Entity Identifying Number</i>	<i>Research and Development Cluster</i>	<i>Student Financial Assistance Cluster</i>	<i>Other</i>	<i>Cluster Total</i>	<i>Total Federal Expenditures</i>	<i>Passed Through to Subrecipients</i>
U.S. Department of Education								
ADULT EDUCATION - BASIC GRANTS TO STATES	84.002		-	-	1,043,533		1,043,533	1,034,574
TITLE I GRANTS TO LOCAL EDUCATIONAL AGENCIES (LEA)	84.010		-	-	43,881,487		43,881,487	43,457,788
FEDERAL SUPPLEMENTAL EDUCATIONAL OPPORTUNITY GRANTS (SEOG)	84.007		-	580,883	-		580,883	-
FEDERAL WORK-STUDY PROGRAM	84.033		-	152,438	-		152,438	-
FEDERAL PELL GRANT PROGRAM	84.063		-	8,130,207	-		8,130,207	-
FEDERAL DIRECT STUDENT LOANS	84.268		-	25,059,186	-		25,059,186	-
TITLE I STATE AGENCY PROGRAM FOR NEGLECTED & DELINQUENT CHILDREN	84.013		-	-	160,410		160,410	158,811
<u>SPECIAL EDUCATION CLUSTER</u>								
SPECIAL EDUCATION - GRANT TO STATES	84.027		-	-	17,672,648		17,672,648	14,928,723
SPECIAL EDUCATION - PRESCHOOL GRANTS	84.173		-	-	241,728		241,728	241,728
SUBTOTAL - SPECIAL EDUCATION CLUSTER						17,914,376		
HIGHER EDUCATION - INSTITUTIONAL AID	84.031		-	-	4,519,350		4,519,350	-
<u>TRIO CLUSTER</u>								
TRIO - TALENT SEARCH	84.044		-	-	404,322		404,322	-
TRIO - UPWARD BOUND	84.047		-	-	277,270		277,270	-
SUBTOTAL - TRIO CLUSTER						681,592		
CAREER & TECHNICAL EDUCATION - BASIC GRANTS TO STATES	84.048		-	-	4,253,092		4,253,092	3,608,068
REHABILITATION SERVICES - VOCATIONAL REHABILITATION GRANTS TO STATES	84.126		-	-	14,911,574		14,911,574	-
REHABILITATION SERVICES - INDEPENDENT LIVING SERVICES - OLDER INDIVIDUALS WHO ARE BLIND	84.177		-	-	223,530		223,530	-
SPECIAL EDUCATION - GRANTS FOR INFANTS - FAMILIES	84.181		-	-	2,180,159		2,180,159	-
SUPPORTED EMPLOYMENT SERVICES FOR INDIVIDUALS WITH THE MOST SIGNIFICANT DISABILITIES	84.187		-	-	359,058		359,058	-
EDUCATION FOR HOMELESS CHILDREN AND YOUTH	84.196		-	-	193,108		193,108	152,614
FUND FOR THE IMPROVEMENT OF EDUCATION	84.215		-	-	457,549		457,549	-
CHARTER SCHOOLS	84.282		-	-	1,154,877		1,154,877	883,010
21ST CENTURY COMMUNITY LEARNING CENTERS-AFTER SCHOOL	84.287		-	-	7,150,429		7,150,429	7,135,278

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this schedule.

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards by Federal Grantor

Year Ended September 30, 2016

<i>Federal Grantor / Pass-Through Grantor / Program or Cluster Title</i>	<i>Federal CFDA Number</i>	<i>Pass Through Entity Identifying Number</i>	<i>Research and Development Cluster</i>	<i>Student Financial Assistance Cluster</i>	<i>Other</i>	<i>Cluster Total</i>	<i>Total Federal Expenditures</i>	<i>Passed Through to Subrecipients</i>	
U.S. Department of Education (continued)									
SPECIAL EDUCATION-PERSONNEL DEVELOPMENT TO IMPROVE SERVICES & RESULTS FOR CHILDREN WITH DISABILITIES	84.325	-	-	-	15,869	15,869	15,869	-	
ENGLISH LANGUAGE ACQUISITION	84.365	-	-	-	918,307	918,307	918,307	808,815	
MATHEMATICS & SCIENCE PARTNERSHIP	84.366	-	-	-	1,262,713	1,262,713	1,262,713	1,228,171	
IMPROVING TEACHER QUALITY STATE GRANTS	84.367	-	-	-	10,492,350	10,492,350	10,492,350	10,086,769	
GRANTS FOR STATE ASSESSMENTS & RELATED ACTIVITIES	84.369	-	-	-	4,470,780	4,470,780	4,470,780	-	
DC SCHOOL CHOICE INCENTIVE PROGRAM	84.370	-	-	-	47,578,376	47,578,376	47,578,376	17,137,732	
STATEWIDE LONGTITUDINAL DATA SYSTEMS	84.372	-	-	-	891,766	891,766	891,766	-	
TEACHER INCENTIVE FUND PROGRAM	84.374	-	-	-	11,058,937	11,058,937	11,058,937	-	
SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT GRANTS	84.377	-	-	-	1,302,290	1,302,290	1,302,290	1,221,273	
COLLEGE ACCESS CHALLENGE GRANT PROGRAM	84.378	-	-	-	1,139,849	1,139,849	1,139,849	673,763	
Total U.S. Department of Education	-	-	-	-	33,922,714	178,215,361	18,595,968	212,138,075	102,757,117
Consumer Product Safety Commission									
VIRGINIA GRAEME BAKER POOL AND SPA SAFETY	87.002	-	-	-	83,778	83,778	83,778	-	
Total Consumer Product Safety Commission	-	-	-	-	83,778	-	83,778	-	
U.S. Election Assistance Commission									
HELP AMERICA VOTE ACT REQUIREMENTS PAYMENTS	90.401	-	-	-	2,255,466	2,255,466	2,255,466	-	
Total U.S. Election Assistance Commission	-	-	-	-	2,255,466	-	2,255,466	-	
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services									
STATE & TERRITORIAL & TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE CAPACITY DEVELOPMENT MINORITY HIV/AIDS DEMONSTRATION PROGRAM	93.006	-	-	-	58,261	58,261	58,261	-	
SPECIAL PROGRAMS FOR THE AGING - TITLE VII, CHAPTER 3 - PROGRAMS FOR PREVENTION OF ELDER ABUSE, NEGLECT, AND EXPLOITATION	93.041	-	-	-	23,626	23,626	23,626	23,626	
SPECIAL PROGRAMS FOR THE AGING - TITLE VII, CHAPTER 2 - LONG TERM CARE OMBUDSMAN SERVICES FOR OLDER INDIVIDUALS	93.042	-	-	-	172,192	172,192	172,192	172,192	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this schedule.

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards by Federal Grantor

Year Ended September 30, 2016

<i>Federal Grantor / Pass-Through Grantor / Program or Cluster Title</i>	<i>Federal CFDA Number</i>	<i>Pass Through Entity Identifying Number</i>	<i>Research and Development Cluster</i>	<i>Student Financial Assistance Cluster</i>	<i>Other</i>	<i>Cluster Total</i>	<i>Total Federal Expenditures</i>	<i>Passed Through to Subrecipients</i>
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (continued)								
TITLE III AGING CLUSTER								
SPECIAL PROGRAMS FOR THE AGING - TITLE III, PART B - GRANTS FOR SUPPORTIVE SERVICES AND SENIOR CENTERS	93.044		-	-	1,664,642		1,664,642	1,287,619
SPECIAL PROGRAMS FOR THE AGING - TITLE III, PART C - NUTRITION SERVICES	93.045		-	-	3,376,110		3,376,110	36,424
NUTRITION SERVICES INCENTIVE PROGRAM	93.053		-	-	793,669		793,669	-
SUBTOTAL - TITLE III AGING CLUSTER						5,834,421		
SPECIAL PROGRAMS FOR THE AGING - TITLE IV & TITLE II DISCRETIONARY PROJECTS	93.048		-	-	384,055		384,055	77,525
NATIONAL FAMILY CAREGIVERS SUPPORT TITLE III PART E	93.052		-	-	718,986		718,986	718,986
PUBLIC HEALTH EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS	93.069		-	-	(407,894)		(407,894)	-
DC LIFESPAN RESPITE PROGRAM	93.072		-	-	38,075		38,075	37,878
HOSPITAL PREPAREDNESS PROGRAM (HPP) AND PUBLIC HEALTH EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS (PHEP) ALIGNED COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS	93.074		-	-	8,261,606		8,261,606	316,181
COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS TO PROMOTE ADOLESCENT HEALTH THROUGH SCHOOL-BASED HIV/STD PREVENTION AND SCHOOL-BASED SURVEILLANCE	93.079		-	-	380,147		380,147	70,650
GUARDIANSHIP ASSISTANCE	93.090		-	-	3,306,448		3,306,448	-
AFFORDABLE CARE ACT PERSONAL RESPONSIBILITY EDUCATION PROGRAM	93.092		-	-	252,802		252,802	99,842
FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION RESEARCH	93.103		-	-	(151,952)		(151,952)	-
MATERNAL & CHILD HEALTH FEDERAL CONSOLIDATED PROGRAMS	93.110		-	-	199,435		199,435	-
PROJECT GRANTS & COOP AGREEMENTS FOR TUBERCULOSIS CONTROL PROGRAMS	93.116		-	-	316,229		316,229	-
COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS TO STATES/TERRITORIES FOR THE COORDINATION AND DEVELOPMENT OF PRIMARY CARE OFFICES	93.130		-	-	189,982		189,982	-
INJURY PREVENTION & CONTROL RESEARCH & STATE COMMUNITY BASED PROGRAM	93.136		-	-	214,941		214,941	37,500
PROJECTS FOR ASSISTANCE IN TRANSITION FROM HOMELESSNESS (PATH)	93.150		-	-	188,946		188,946	-
GRANTS TO STATES FOR LOAN REPAYMENT PROGRAM	93.165		-	-	237,990		237,990	-
GRANTS TO STATES TO SUPPORT ORAL HEALTH WORKFORCE ACTIVITIES	93.236		-	-	300,041		300,041	-
SUBSTANCE ABUSE MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES (SAMHS) - PROJECTS OF REGIONAL AND NATIONAL SIGNIFICANCE	93.243		-	-	8,673,167		8,673,167	-
UNIVERSAL NEWBORN HEARING SCREENING	93.251		-	-	267,660		267,660	-
IMMUNIZATION COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS	93.268		-	-	1,904,373		1,904,373	-
ADULT VIRAL HEPATITIS PREVENTION AND CONTROL	93.270		-	-	66,557		66,557	-
SUBSTANCE ABUSE AND MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES-ACCESS TO RECOVERY	93.275		-	-	(8,506)		(8,506)	-

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this schedule.

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards by Federal Grantor

Year Ended September 30, 2016

<i>Federal Grantor / Pass-Through Grantor / Program or Cluster Title</i>	<i>Federal CFDA Number</i>	<i>Pass Through Entity Identifying Number</i>	<i>Research and Development Cluster</i>	<i>Student Financial Assistance Cluster</i>	<i>Other</i>	<i>Cluster Total</i>	<i>Total Federal Expenditures</i>	<i>Passed Through to Subrecipients</i>
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (continued)								
CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL & PREVENTION - INVESTIGATIONS & TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE	93.283	-	-	-	1,069,635	-	1,069,635	-
NATIONAL STATE BASED TOBACCO CONTROL PROGRAMS	93.305	-	-	-	647,701	-	647,701	-
EPIDEMIOLOGY AND LABORATORY CAPACITY FOR INFECTIOUS DISEASES (ELC)	93.323	-	-	-	170,044	-	170,044	-
BEHAVIORAL RISK FACTOR SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM	93.336	-	-	-	140,987	-	140,987	-
ACL INDEPENDENT LIVING STATE GRANTS	93.369	-	-	-	206,313	-	206,313	-
CANCER CAUSE AND PREVENTION RESEARCH	93.393	-	-	-	348,636	-	348,636	-
ACL ASSISTIVE TECHNOLOGY	93.464	-	-	-	362,057	-	362,057	362,057
AFFORDABLE CARE ACT (ACA) MATERNAL, INFANT, AND EARLY CHILDHOOD HOME VISITING PROGRAM	93.505	-	-	-	2,398,524	-	2,398,524	1,998,333
ACA NATIONWIDE PROGRAM FOR NATIONAL AND STATE BACKGROUND CHECKS FOR DIRECT PATIENT ACCESS EMPLOYEES OF LONG TERM FACILITIES AND PROVIDERS	93.506	-	-	-	498,630	-	498,630	-
AFFORDABLE CARE ACT (ACA) GRANTS TO STATES FOR HEALTH INSURANCE PREMIUM REVIEW	93.511	-	-	-	984,189	-	984,189	-
AFFORDABLE CARE ACT - MEDICARE IMPROVEMENTS FOR PATIENTS AND PROVIDERS	93.518	-	-	-	14,721	-	14,721	14,721
AFFORDABLE CARE ACTS (ACA) - CONSUMER ASSISTANCE PROGRAM GRANTS	93.519	-	-	-	200,295	-	200,295	-
THE AFFORDABLE CARE ACT: BUILDING EPIDEMIOLOGY, LABORATORY, AND HEALTH INFORMATION SYSTEMS CAPACITY IN THE EPIDEMIOLOGY AND LABORATORY CAPACITY FOR INFECTIOUS DISEASE (ELC) AND EMERGING INFECTIONS PROGRAMS (EIP)	93.521	-	-	-	228,319	-	228,319	-
COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS; PPHF	93.521	-	-	-	228,319	-	228,319	-
BUILDING CAPACITY OF THE PUBLIC HEALTH SYSTEM TO IMPROVE POPULATION HEALTH THROUGH NATIONAL, NON-PROFIT ORGANIZATIONS - FINANCED IN PART BY PREVENTION AND PUBLIC HEALTH FUNDS (PPHF) - ASSOCIATION OF STATE AND TERRITORIAL HEALTH OFFICIALS (ASTHO)	93.524	-	-	-	46,672	-	46,672	46,672
STATE PLANNING AND ESTABLISHMENT GRANTS FOR THE AFFORDABLE CARE ACT (ACA) EXCHANGES	93.525	-	-	-	(308,278)	-	(308,278)	-
PPHF CAPACITY BUILDING ASSISTANCE TO STRENGTHEN PUBLIC HEALTH IMMUNIZATION INFRASTRUCTURE AND PERFORMANCE FINANCED IN PART BY PREVENTION AND PUBLIC HEALTH FUNDS	93.539	-	-	-	65,025	-	65,025	-
PROMOTING SAFE AND STABLE FAMILIES	93.556	-	-	-	789,480	-	789,480	-

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this schedule.

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards by Federal Grantor

Year Ended September 30, 2016

<i>Federal Grantor / Pass-Through Grantor / Program or Cluster Title</i>	<i>Federal CFDA Number</i>	<i>Pass Through Entity Identifying Number</i>	<i>Research and Development Cluster</i>	<i>Student Financial Assistance Cluster</i>	<i>Other</i>	<i>Cluster Total</i>	<i>Total Federal Expenditures</i>	<i>Passed Through to Subrecipients</i>
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (continued)								
<u>TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE FOR NEEDY FAMILIES CLUSTER</u>								
TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE FOR NEEDY FAMILIES	93.558		-	-	118,550,539	118,550,539	118,550,539	-
SUBTOTAL - TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE FOR NEEDY FAMILIES CLUSTER						<u>118,550,539</u>		
CHILD SUPPORT ENFORCEMENT PROGRAM	93.563		-	-	17,838,069	17,838,069	17,838,069	-
CHILD SUPPORT ENFORCEMENT RESEARCH	93.564		-	-	52,818	52,818	52,818	-
REFUGEE & ENTRANT ASSISTANCE - STATE ADMINISTERED	93.566		-	-	2,250,799	2,250,799	2,250,799	226,105
LOW INCOME HOME ENERGY ASSISTANCE	93.568		-	-	10,447,479	10,447,479	10,447,479	731,801
COMMUNITY SERVICE BLOCK GRANT	93.569		-	-	12,199,475	12,199,475	12,199,475	10,674,075
COMMUNITY SERVICES BLOCK GRANT_DISCRETIONARY AWARDS	93.570		-	-	249,231	249,231	249,231	-
<u>CHILD CARE AND DEVELOPMENT FUND (CCDF) CLUSTER</u>								
CHILD CARE & DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT	93.575		-	-	5,526,985	5,526,985	5,526,985	3,814,613
CHILD CARE MANDATORY AND MATCHING FUNDS OF THE CHILD CARE AND DEVELOPMENT FUND	93.596		-	-	4,566,974	4,566,974	4,566,974	4,566,974
SUBTOTAL - CHILD CARE AND DEVELOPMENT FUND (CCDF) CLUSTER						<u>10,093,959</u>		
COMMUNITY-BASED CHILD ABUSE PREVENTION GRANTS	93.590		-	-	205,800	205,800	205,800	-
GRANTS TO STATES FOR ACCESS AND VISITATION PROGRAM	93.597		-	-	99,987	99,987	99,987	-
CHAFEE EDUCATION AND TRAINING VOUCHERS PROGRAM (ETV)	93.599		-	-	142,115	142,115	142,115	-
HEAD START	93.600		-	-	15,683,800	15,683,800	15,683,800	1,313,331
ACA-STATE INNOVATION MODELS: FUNDING FOR MODEL DESIGN AND MODEL TESTING ASSISTANCE	93.624		-	-	720,964	720,964	720,964	-
DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES BASIC SUPPORT AND ADVOCACY GRANTS	93.630		-	-	518,112	518,112	518,112	-
CHILDREN'S JUSTICE GRANTS TO STATES	93.643		-	-	86,768	86,768	86,768	-
CHILD WELFARE - SERVICES - STATE GRANTS	93.645		-	-	238,526	238,526	238,526	-
ADOPTION OPPORTUNITIES	93.652		-	-	474,283	474,283	474,283	-
FOSTER CARE - TITLE IV-E	93.658		-	-	41,832,023	41,832,023	41,832,023	-
ADOPTION ASSISTANCE - TITLE IV-E	93.659		-	-	11,531,422	11,531,422	11,531,422	-
SOCIAL SERVICES BLOCK GRANT	93.667		-	-	8,797,979	8,797,979	8,797,979	-
CHILD ABUSE AND NEGLECT STATE GRANTS	93.669		-	-	35,000	35,000	35,000	-
FAMILY VIOLENCE PREVENTION & SERVICES/GRANT FOR BATTERED WOMEN'S SHELTERS - GRANTS TO STATES & INDIAN TRIBES	93.671		-	-	726,886	726,886	726,886	621,312
CHAFEE FOSTER CARE INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM	93.674		-	-	959,296	959,296	959,296	-

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this schedule.

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards by Federal Grantor

Year Ended September 30, 2016

<i>Federal Grantor / Pass-Through Grantor / Program or Cluster Title</i>	<i>Federal CFDA Number</i>	<i>Pass Through Entity Identifying Number</i>	<i>Research and Development Cluster</i>	<i>Student Financial Assistance Cluster</i>	<i>Other</i>	<i>Cluster Total</i>	<i>Total Federal Expenditures</i>	<i>Passed Through to Subrecipients</i>
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (continued)								
STATE PUBLIC HEALTH APPROACHES FOR ENSURING OUTLINE CAPACITY - FUNDED IN PART BY 2012 PREVENTION & PUBLIC HEALTH FUNDS (PPHF-2012)	93.735		-	-	50,000		50,000	-
PPHF: HEALTH CARE SURVEILLANCE/HEALTH STATISTICS - SURVEILLANCE PROGRAM ANNOUNCEMENT: BEHAVIORAL RISK FACTOR SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM FINANCED IN PART BY 2012 PREVENTION & PUBLIC HEALTH FUND (PPHF-2012)	93.745		-	-	104,636		104,636	-
CHILD LEAD POISONING PREVENTION SURVEILLANCE FINANCED IN PART BY PREVENTION AND PUBLIC HEALTH (PPHF) PROGRAM	93.753		-	-	427,847		427,847	104,980
STATE AND LOCAL PUBLIC HEALTH ACTIONS TO PREVENT OBESITY, DIABETES, HEART DISEASE AND STROKE (PPHF)	93.757		-	-	1,186,256		1,186,256	381,222
PREVENTIVE HEALTH AND HEALTH SERVICES BLOCK GRANT FUNDED SOLELY WITH PREVENTION AND PUBLIC HEALTH FUNDS (PPHF)	93.758		-	-	1,282,179		1,282,179	740,539
ALZHEIMER'S DISEASE INITIATIVE: SPECIALIZED SUPPORTIVE SERVICE PROJECT (ADISS) THRU PREVENTION AND PUBLIC HEALTH FUNDS (PPHF)	93.763		-	-	252,089		252,089	155,479
CHILDREN'S HEALTH INSURANCE PROGRAM	93.767		-	-	37,388,617		37,388,617	-
MEDICARE - HOSPITAL INSURANCE	93.773		-	-	3,362,715		3,362,715	-
MEDICAID CLUSTER								
STATE MEDICAID FRAUD CONTROL UNITS	93.775		-	-	2,091,725		2,091,725	-
STATE SURVEY AND CERTIFICATION OF HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS & SUPPLIERS TITLE (XVIII) MEDICARE	93.777		-	-	1,227,810		1,227,810	-
MEDICAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM	93.778		-	-	2,107,100,897		2,107,100,897	-
ARRA - MEDICAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM	93.778		-	-	1,413,593		1,413,593	-
SUBTOTAL - MEDICAID CLUSTER					2,111,834,025	2,111,834,025		
CENTERS FOR MEDICARE & MEDICAID SERVICES (CMS) RESEARCH, DEMONSTRATIONS AND EVALUATIONS	93.779		-	-	159,139		159,139	159,139
MONEY FOLLOWS THE PERSON REBALANCING DEMONSTRATION	93.791		-	-	1,263,317		1,263,317	-
STATE SURVEY AND CERTIFICATION OF HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS & SUPPLIERS TITLE (XIX) MEDICAID	93.796		-	-	2,124,111		2,124,111	-
ORGANIZED APPROACHES TO INCREASE COLORECTAL SCREENING	93.800		-	-	440,827		440,827	276,780
DOMESTIC EBOLA SUPPLEMENT TO THE EPIDEMIOLOGY AND LABORATORY CAPACITY FOR INFECTIOUS DISEASES (ELC)	93.815		-	-	449,973		449,973	-
HOSPITAL PREPAREDNESS PROGRAM (HPP) EBOLA PREPAREDNESS AND RESPONSE ACTIVITIES	93.817		-	-	792,021		792,021	716,108

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this schedule.

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards by Federal Grantor

Year Ended September 30, 2016

<i>Federal Grantor / Pass-Through Grantor / Program or Cluster Title</i>	<i>Federal CFDA Number</i>	<i>Pass Through Entity Identifying Number</i>	<i>Research and Development Cluster</i>	<i>Student Financial Assistance Cluster</i>	<i>Other</i>	<i>Cluster Total</i>	<i>Total Federal Expenditures</i>	<i>Passed Through to Subrecipients</i>
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (continued)								
BIOMEDICAL RESEARCH AND RESEARCH TRAINING	93.859		112,957	-	-		112,957	-
MATERNAL, INFANT, AND EARLY CHILDHOOD HOME VISITING GRANT PROGRAM	93.870		-	-	44,000		44,000	44,000
HIV EMERGENCY RELIEF PROJECT GRANTS	93.914		-	-	30,842,211		30,842,211	26,248,850
HIV CARE FORMULA GRANTS	93.917		-	-	15,773,339		15,773,339	3,750,568
SCHOLARSHIPS FOR HEALTH PROFESSIONS STUDENTS FROM DISADVANTAGED BACKGROUNDS	93.925		-	476,355	-		476,355	-
HEALTHY START INITIATIVE	93.926		-	-	1,335,144		1,335,144	779,010
COOP AGREEMENTS TO SUPPORT COMPREHENSIVE SCHOOL HEALTH PROGRAM TO PREVENT THE SPREAD OF HIV AND OTHER IMPORTANT HEALTH PROBLEMS	93.938		-	-	314,970		314,970	-
HIV PREVENTION ACTIVITIES - HEALTH DEPARTMENT BASED	93.940		-	-	7,383,511		7,383,511	2,729,321
HUMAN IMMUNODEFICIENCY VIRUS (HIV)/ACQUIRED IMMUNODEFICIENCY SYNDROME (AIDS) SURVEILLANCE	93.944		-	-	1,410,561		1,410,561	865,650
ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS FOR CHRONIC DISEASE PREVENTION & CONTROL	93.945		-	-	57,184		57,184	-
BLOCK GRANTS FOR COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES	93.958		-	-	1,038,249		1,038,249	-
BLOCK GRANTS FOR PREVENTION AND TREATMENT OF SUBSTANCE ABUSE	93.959		-	-	8,210,204		8,210,204	-
PREVENTIVE HEALTH SERVICES - SEXUALLY TRANSMITTED DISEASES CONTROL GRANTS	93.977		-	-	1,209,258		1,209,258	-
PREVENTIVE HEALTH & HEALTH SERVICES BLOCK GRANT	93.991		-	-	(150,921)		(150,921)	-
MATERNAL AND CHILD HEALTH SERVICES BLOCK GRANT TO THE STATES	93.994		-	-	5,910,943		5,910,943	-
Total U.S. Department of Health and Human Services			112,957	476,355	2,527,516,273	2,246,312,944	2,528,105,585	64,200,063
Corporation for National and Community Service								
RETIRED AND SENIOR VOLUNTEER PROGRAM	94.002		-	-	142,608		142,608	95,000
STATE COMMISSIONS	94.003		-	-	65,551		65,551	65,551
AMERICORPS	94.006		-	-	2,943,348		2,943,348	2,943,348
FOSTER GRANDPARENT/SENIOR COMPANION CLUSTER								
SENIOR COMPANION PROGRAM	94.016		-	-	285,498		285,498	-
SUBTOTAL - FOSTER GRANDPARENT/SENIOR COMPANION CLUSTER						285,498		
Total Corporation for National and Community Service			-	-	3,437,005	285,498	3,437,005	3,103,899

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this schedule.

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards by Federal Grantor

Year Ended September 30, 2016

<i>Federal Grantor / Pass-Through Grantor / Program or Cluster Title</i>	<i>Federal CFDA Number</i>	<i>Pass Through Entity Identifying Number</i>	<i>Research and Development Cluster</i>	<i>Student Financial Assistance Cluster</i>	<i>Other</i>	<i>Cluster Total</i>	<i>Total Federal Expenditures</i>	<i>Passed Through to Subrecipients</i>
Social Security Administration								
<u>SOCIAL SECURITY DISABILITY INSURANCE CLUSTER</u>								
SOCIAL SECURITY DISABILITY INSURANCE	96.001		-	-	11,804,702	11,804,702	11,804,702	-
SUBTOTAL - SOCIAL SECURITY DISABILITY INSURANCE CLUSTER					<u>11,804,702</u>	<u>11,804,702</u>	<u>11,804,702</u>	<u>-</u>
Total Social Security Administration			<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>11,804,702</u>	<u>11,804,702</u>	<u>11,804,702</u>	<u>-</u>
U.S. Department of Homeland Security								
NON-PROFIT SECURITY PROGRAM	97.008		-	-	614,598	614,598	614,598	614,598
BOATING SAFETY FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE	97.012		-	-	654,230	654,230	654,230	-
COMMUNITY ASSISTANCE PROGRAM STATE SUPPORT SERVICES ELEMENT (CAP-SSSE)	97.023		-	-	56,554	56,554	56,554	-
HAZARD MITIGATION GRANT	97.039		-	-	493	493	493	-
EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT PERFORMANCE GRANTS	97.042		-	-	3,094,088	3,094,088	3,094,088	-
ASSISTANCE TO FIREFIGHTERS GRANT	97.044		-	-	778,573	778,573	778,573	-
COOPERATING TECHNICAL PARTNERS	97.045		-	-	37,390	37,390	37,390	25,936
PORT SECURITY GRANT PROGRAM	97.056		-	-	156,325	156,325	156,325	156,325
HOMELAND SECURITY GRANT PROGRAM	97.067		-	-	51,982,381	51,982,381	51,982,381	46,523,520
HOMELAND SECURITY-RELATED SCIENCE, TECHNOLOGY, ENGINEERING AND MATHEMATICS (HS STEM) CAREER DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM	97.104		-	-	414,614	414,614	414,614	-
SECURING THE CITIES PROGRAM	97.106		-	-	340,960	340,960	340,960	340,960
Total U.S. Department of Homeland Security			<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>58,130,206</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>58,130,206</u>	<u>47,661,339</u>
Total Expenditures of Federal Awards			\$ 5,473,086	\$ 34,399,069	\$ 3,838,215,289	\$ 2,998,267,176	\$ 3,878,087,444	\$ 328,338,215

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this schedule.

Schedule II - Schedule of Expenditures
of Federal Awards by District Agency

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards by District Agency

Year Ended September 30, 2016

Federal Grantor / Pass-Through Grantor / Program or Cluster Title	Federal CFDA Number	Pass Through Entity Identifying Number	Total Federal Expenditures	Passed Through to Subrecipients
HUMAN SUPPORT SERVICES CLUSTER:				
Department of Parks and Recreation				
OUTDOOR RECREATION - ACQUISITION, DEVELOPMENT AND PLANNING	15.916		\$ (71,412)	\$ -
Total Department of Parks and Recreation			(71,412)	-
Department of Health				
SPECIAL SUPPLEMENTAL NUTRITION PROGRAM FOR WOMEN, INFANTS, AND CHILDREN (WIC)	10.557		14,138,120	3,064,734
STATE ADMINISTRATIVE MATCHING GRANTS FOR THE SUPPLEMENTAL NUTRITION	10.561		1,634,601	-
WIC FARMERS' MARKET NUTRITION PROGRAM (FMNP)	10.572		153,992	-
HOUSING OPPORTUNITIES FOR PERSONS WITH AIDS	14.241		10,816,163	8,728,215
CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM	14.267		505,706	514,430
COMPENSATION AND WORKING CONDITIONS	17.005		83,975	-
VIRGINIA GRAEME BAKER POOL AND SPA SAFETY	87.002		83,778	-
STATE & TERRITORIAL & TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE CAPACITY DEVELOPMENT MINORITY HIV/AIDS				
DEMONSTRATION PROGRAM	93.006		58,261	-
PUBLIC HEALTH EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS	93.069		(407,894)	-
HOSPITAL PREPAREDNESS PROGRAM (HPP) AND PUBLIC HEALTH EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS (PHEP)				
ALIGNED COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS	93.074		8,261,606	316,181
FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION RESEARCH	93.103		(151,952)	-
MATERNAL & CHILD HEALTH FEDERAL CONSOLIDATED PROGRAMS	93.110		95,374	-
PROJECT GRANTS & COOP AGREEMENTS FOR TUBERCULOSIS CONTROL PROGRAMS	93.116		316,229	-
COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS TO STATES/TERRITORIES FOR THE COORDINATION AND DEVELOPMENT OF				
PRIMARY CARE OFFICES	93.130		189,982	-
INJURY PREVENTION & CONTROL RESEARCH & STATE COMMUNITY BASED PROGRAM	93.136		214,941	37,500
GRANTS TO STATES FOR LOAN REPAYMENT PROGRAM	93.165		237,990	-
GRANTS TO STATES TO SUPPORT ORAL HEALTH WORKFORCE ACTIVITIES	93.236		300,041	-
UNIVERSAL NEWBORN HEARING SCREENING	93.251		267,660	-
IMMUNIZATION COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS	93.268		1,904,373	-
ADULT VIRAL HEPATITIS PREVENTION AND CONTROL	93.270		66,557	-
CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL & PREVENTION - INVESTIGATIONS & TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE	93.283		1,069,635	-
NATIONAL STATE BASED TOBACCO CONTROL PROGRAMS	93.305		647,701	-
EPIDEMIOLOGY AND LABORATORY CAPACITY FOR INFECTIOUS DISEASES (ELC)	93.323		170,044	-
BEHAVIORAL RISK FACTOR SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM	93.336		140,987	-
AFFORDABLE CARE ACT (ACA) MATERNAL, INFANT, AND EARLY CHILDHOOD HOME VISITING PROGRAM	93.505		2,398,524	1,998,333
ACA NATIONWIDE PROGRAM FOR NATIONAL AND STATE BACKGROUND CHECKS FOR DIRECT PATIENT				
ACCESS EMPLOYEES OF LONG TERM FACILITIES AND PROVIDERS	93.506		498,630	-
THE AFFORDABLE CARE ACT: BUILDING EPIDEMIOLOGY, LABORATORY, AND HEALTH INFORMATION				
SYSTEMS CAPACITY IN THE EPIDEMIOLOGY AND LABORATORY CAPACITY FOR INFECTIOUS DISEASE (ELC)				
AND EMERGING INFECTIONS PROGRAMS (EIP) COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS: PPHF	93.521		228,319	-
BUILDING CAPACITY OF THE PUBLIC HEALTH SYSTEM TO IMPROVE POPULATION HEALTH THROUGH				
NATIONAL, NON-PROFIT ORGANIZATIONS - FINANCED IN PART BY PREVENTION AND PUBLIC HEALTH FUNDS				
(PPHF) - ASSOCIATION OF STATE AND TERRITORIAL HEALTH OFFICIALS (ASTHO)	93.524		46,672	46,672
PPHF CAPACITY BUILDING ASSISTANCE TO STRENGTHEN PUBLIC HEALTH IMMUNIZATION				
INFRASTRUCTURE AND PERFORMANCE FINANCED IN PART BY PREVENTION AND PUBLIC HEALTH FUNDS	93.539		65,025	-
STATE PUBLIC HEALTH APPROACHES FOR ENSURING OUTLINE CAPACITY - FUNDED IN PART BY 2012				
PREVENTION & PUBLIC HEALTH FUNDS (PPHF-2012)	93.735		50,000	-
PPHF: HEALTH CARE SURVEILLANCE/HEALTH STATISTICS - SURVEILLANCE PROGRAM ANNOUNCEMENT:				
BEHAVIORAL RISK FACTOR SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM FINANCED IN PART BY 2012 PREVENTION & PUBLIC				
HEALTH FUNDS (PPHF-2012)	93.745		104,636	-
STATE AND LOCAL PUBLIC HEALTH ACTIONS TO PREVENT OBESITY, DIABETES, HEART DISEASE AND				
STROKE (PPHF)	93.757		1,186,256	381,222
PREVENTIVE HEALTH AND HEALTH SERVICES BLOCK GRANT FUNDED SOLELY WITH PREVENTION AND				
PUBLIC HEALTH FUNDS (PPHF)	93.758		1,282,179	740,539
STATE SURVEY AND CERTIFICATION OF HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS & SUPPLIERS TITLE (XVIII) MEDICARE	93.777		1,227,810	-
STATE SURVEY AND CERTIFICATION OF HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS & SUPPLIERS TITLE (XIX) MEDICAID	93.796		2,124,111	-
ORGANIZED APPROACHES TO INCREASE COLORECTAL SCREENING	93.800		440,827	276,780
DOMESTIC EBOLA SUPPLEMENT TO THE EPIDEMIOLOGY AND LABORATORY CAPACITY FOR INFECTIOUS				
DISEASES (ELC)	93.815		449,973	-
HOSPITAL PREPAREDNESS PROGRAM (HPP) EBOLA PREPAREDNESS AND RESPONSE ACTIVITIES	93.817		792,021	716,108
MATERNAL, INFANT, AND EARLY CHILDHOOD HOME VISITING GRANT PROGRAM	93.870		44,000	44,000
HIV EMERGENCY RELIEF PROJECT GRANTS	93.914		30,842,211	26,248,850

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this schedule.

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards by District Agency

Year Ended September 30, 2016

Federal Grantor / Pass-Through Grantor / Program or Cluster Title	Federal CFDA Number	Pass Through Entity Identifying Number	Total Federal Expenditures	Passed Through to Subrecipients
HUMAN SUPPORT SERVICES CLUSTER:				
Department of Health (continued)				
HIV CARE FORMULA GRANTS	93.917		15,773,339	3,750,568
HEALTHY START INITIATIVE	93.926		1,335,144	779,010
HIV PREVENTION ACTIVITIES - HEALTH DEPARTMENT BASED	93.940		7,383,511	2,729,321
HUMAN IMMUNODEFICIENCY VIRUS (HIV)/ACQUIRED IMMUNODEFICIENCY SYNDROME (AIDS) SURVEILLANCE	93.944		1,410,561	865,650
ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS FOR CHRONIC DISEASE PREVENTION & CONTROL	93.945		57,184	-
PREVENTIVE HEALTH SERVICES - SEXUALLY TRANSMITTED DISEASES CONTROL GRANTS	93.977		1,209,258	-
PREVENTIVE HEALTH & HEALTH SERVICES BLOCK GRANT	93.991		(150,921)	-
MATERNAL AND CHILD HEALTH SERVICES BLOCK GRANT TO THE STATES	93.994		5,910,943	-
Total Department of Health			115,508,083	51,238,113
Office of Human Rights				
FAIR HOUSING ASSISTANCE PROGRAM - STATE AND LOCAL	14.401		139,261	-
EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION - TITLE VII OF THE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1964	30.001		241,744	-
Total Office of Human Rights			381,005	-
Department of Health Care Finance				
AFFORDABLE CARE ACTS (ACA) - CONSUMER ASSISTANCE PROGRAM GRANTS	93.519		200,295	-
STATE PLANNING AND ESTABLISHMENT GRANTS FOR THE AFFORDABLE CARE ACT (ACA) EXCHANGES	93.525		(308,278)	-
ACA-STATE INNOVATION MODELS: FUNDING FOR MODEL DESIGN AND MODEL TESTING ASSISTANCE	93.624		720,964	-
CHILDREN'S HEALTH INSURANCE PROGRAM	93.767		37,388,617	-
MEDICAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM	93.778		2,037,631,213	-
ARRA - MEDICAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM	93.778		1,413,593	-
MONEY FOLLOWS THE PERSON REBALANCING DEMONSTRATION	93.791		1,263,317	-
Total Department of Health Care Finance			2,078,309,721	-
Department of Human Services				
SUPPLEMENTARY NUTRITION ASSISTANCE PROGRAM (SNAP) - FOOD STAMPS	10.551		209,951,858	-
STATE ADMINISTRATIVE MATCHING GRANTS FOR THE SUPPLEMENTAL NUTRITION	10.561		20,587,129	-
EMERGENCY SOLUTIONS GRANT PROGRAM	14.231		952,589	146,758
SHELTER PLUS CARE	14.238		4,351,952	4,351,952
VETERANS AFFAIRS MEDICAL CENTER	64.009		811,841	-
SPECIAL PROGRAMS FOR THE AGING - TITLE IV & TITLE II DISCRETIONARY PROJECTS	93.048		58,985	58,985
TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE FOR NEEDY FAMILIES	93.558		118,550,539	-
REFUGEE & ENTRANT ASSISTANCE - STATE ADMINISTERED	93.566		2,250,799	226,105
COMMUNITY SERVICE BLOCK GRANT	93.569		12,199,475	10,674,075
SOCIAL SERVICES BLOCK GRANT	93.667		8,797,979	-
FAMILY VIOLENCE PREVENTION & SERVICES/GRANT FOR BATTERED WOMEN'S SHELTERS - GRANTS TO STATES & INDIAN TRIBES	93.671		726,886	621,312
MEDICAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM	93.778		55,810,643	-
Total Department of Human Services			435,050,675	16,079,187
Department on Disability Services				
REHABILITATION SERVICES - VOCATIONAL REHABILITATION GRANTS TO STATES	84.126		14,911,574	-
REHABILITATION SERVICES - INDEPENDENT LIVING SERVICES FOR OLDER INDIVIDUALS WHO ARE BLIND	84.177		223,530	-
SUPPORTED EMPLOYMENT SERVICES FOR INDIVIDUALS WITH THE MOST SIGNIFICANT DISABILITIES	84.187		359,058	-
SPECIAL PROGRAMS FOR THE AGING - TITLE IV & TITLE II DISCRETIONARY PROJECTS	93.048		325,071	18,540
ACL INDEPENDENT LIVING STATE GRANTS	93.369		206,313	-
ACL ASSISTIVE TECHNOLOGY	93.464		362,057	362,057
MEDICAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM	93.778		10,118,349	-
SOCIAL SECURITY DISABILITY INSURANCE	96.001		11,804,702	-
Total Department on Disability Services			38,310,654	380,597
Office on Disability Rights				
DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES BASIC SUPPORT AND ADVOCACY GRANTS	93.630		518,112	-
Total Office on Disability Rights			518,112	-

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this schedule.

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards by District Agency

Year Ended September 30, 2016

Federal Grantor / Pass-Through Grantor / Program or Cluster Title	Federal CFDA Number	Pass Through Entity Identifying Number	Total Federal Expenditures	Passed Through to Subrecipients
<u>HUMAN SUPPORT SERVICES CLUSTER:</u>				
Child and Family Services Agency				
JUVENILE JUSTICE AND DELINQUENCY PREVENTION - ALLOCATION TO STATES	16.540		115,072	-
GUARDIANSHIP ASSISTANCE	93.090		3,306,448	-
PROMOTING SAFE AND STABLE FAMILIES	93.556		789,480	-
COMMUNITY-BASED CHILD ABUSE PREVENTION GRANTS	93.590		205,800	-
CHAFEE EDUCATION AND TRAINING VOUCHERS PROGRAM (ETV)	93.599		142,115	-
CHILDREN'S JUSTICE GRANTS TO STATES	93.643		86,768	-
CHILD WELFARE - SERVICES - STATE GRANTS	93.645		238,526	-
ADOPTION OPPORTUNITIES	93.652		474,283	-
FOSTER CARE - TITLE IV-E	93.658		41,832,023	-
ADOPTION ASSISTANCE - TITLE IV-E	93.659		11,531,422	-
CHILD ABUSE AND NEGLECT STATE GRANTS	93.669		35,000	-
CHAFEE FOSTER CARE INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM	93.674		959,296	-
Total Child and Family Services Agency			59,716,233	-
Department of Behavioral Health				
CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM	14.267		173,620	-
PROJECTS FOR ASSISTANCE IN TRANSITION FROM HOMELESSNESS (PATH)	93.150		188,946	-
SUBSTANCE ABUSE MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES (SAMHS) - PROJECTS OF REGIONAL AND NATIONAL SIGNIFICANCE	93.243		8,233,163	-
SUBSTANCE ABUSE AND MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES-ACCESS TO RECOVERY	93.275		(8,506)	-
MEDICARE - HOSPITAL INSURANCE	93.773		3,362,715	-
MEDICAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM	93.778		3,470,692	-
BLOCK GRANTS FOR COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES	93.958		1,038,249	-
BLOCK GRANTS FOR PREVENTION AND TREATMENT OF SUBSTANCE ABUSE	93.959		8,210,204	-
Total Department of Behavioral Health			24,669,083	-
<u>PRIMARY & SECONDARY EDUCATION CLUSTER:</u>				
District of Columbia Public Schools				
HIGHWAY TRAINING AND EDUCATION	20.215		66,466	-
FUND FOR THE IMPROVEMENT OF EDUCATION	84.215		457,549	-
DC SCHOOL CHOICE INCENTIVE PROGRAM	84.370		30,007,606	-
TEACHER INCENTIVE FUND PROGRAM	84.374		11,058,937	-
HEAD START	93.600		14,190,202	-
COOP AGREEMENTS TO SUPPORT COMPREHENSIVE SCHOOL HEALTH PROGRAM TO PREVENT THE SPREAD OF HIV AND OTHER IMPORTANT HEALTH PROBLEMS	93.938		314,970	-
Total District of Columbia Public Schools			56,095,730	-
Office of the State Superintendent of Education				
SCHOOL BREAKFAST PROGRAM	10.553		10,110,929	10,110,929
NATIONAL SCHOOL LUNCH PROGRAM	10.555		27,125,063	27,125,063
SPECIAL MILK PROGRAM FOR CHILDREN	10.556		5,768	5,768
CHILD AND ADULT CARE FOOD PRGRAM	10.558		8,433,055	8,277,887
SUMMER FOOD SERVICE PROGRAM FOR CHILDREN	10.559		2,641,967	2,555,890
STATE ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES FOR CHILD NUTRITION	10.560		1,830,466	-
EMERGENCY FOOD ASSISTANCE PROGRAM (ADMINISTRATIVE COST)	10.568		227,375	178,763
FARM TO SCHOOL GRANT PROGRAM	10.575		8,237	-
CHILD NUTRITION DISCRETIONARY GRANTS LIMITED AVAILABILITY	10.579		82,401	82,401
CHILD NUTRITION DISCRETIONARY GRANTS LIMITED AVAILABILITY, NON-CASH AWARD	10.579		2,139,893	1,331,836
FRESH FRUIT AND VEGETABLE PROGRAM	10.582		1,543,405	1,443,209
ADULT EDUCATION - BASIC GRANTS TO STATES	84.002		1,043,533	1,034,574
TITLE I GRANTS TO LOCAL EDUCATIONAL AGENCIES (LEA)	84.010		43,881,487	43,457,788
TITLE I STATE AGENCY PROGRAM FOR NEGLECTED & DELINQUENT CHILDREN	84.013		160,410	158,811
SPECIAL EDUCATION - GRANT TO STATES	84.027		17,672,648	14,928,723
CAREER & TECHNICAL EDUCATION - BASIC GRANTS TO STATES	84.048		4,253,092	3,608,068
SPECIAL EDUCATION - PRESCHOOL GRANTS	84.173		241,728	241,728
SPECIAL EDUCATION - GRANTS FOR INFANTS - FAMILIES	84.181		2,180,159	-
EDUCATION FOR HOMELESS CHILDREN AND YOUTH	84.196		193,108	152,614
CHARTER SCHOOLS	84.282		1,154,877	883,010

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this schedule.

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards by District Agency

Year Ended September 30, 2016

Federal Grantor / Pass-Through Grantor / Program or Cluster Title	Federal CFDA Number	Pass Through Entity Identifying Number	Total Federal Expenditures	Passed Through to Subrecipients
PRIMARY & SECONDARY EDUCATION CLUSTER:				
Office of the State Superintendent of Education (continued)				
21ST CENTURY COMMUNITY LEARNING CENTERS-AFTER SCHOOL	84.287		7,150,429	7,135,278
ENGLISH LANGUAGE ACQUISITION	84.365		918,307	808,815
MATHEMATICS & SCIENCE PARTNERSHIP	84.366		1,262,713	1,228,171
IMPROVING TEACHER QUALITY STATE GRANTS	84.367		10,492,350	10,086,769
GRANTS FOR STATE ASSESSMENTS & RELATED ACTIVITIES	84.369		4,470,780	-
DC SCHOOL CHOICE INCENTIVE PROGRAM	84.370		17,570,770	17,137,732
STATEWIDE LONGTITUDINAL DATA SYSTEMS	84.372		891,766	-
SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT GRANTS	84.377		1,302,290	1,221,273
COLLEGE ACCESS CHALLENGE GRANT PROGRAM	84.378		1,139,849	673,763
COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS TO PROMOTE ADOLESCENT HEALTH THROUGH SCHOOL-BASED HIV/STD PREVENTION AND SCHOOL-BASED SURVEILLANCE	93.079		380,147	70,650
AFFORDABLE CARE ACT PERSONAL RESPONSIBILITY EDUCATION PROGRAM	93.092		252,802	99,842
MATERNAL & CHILD HEALTH FEDERAL CONSOLIDATED PROGRAMS	93.110		104,061	-
CHILD CARE & DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT - DISCRETIONARY	93.575		5,526,985	3,814,613
CHILD CARE MANDATORY AND MATCHING FUNDS OF THE CHILD CARE AND DEVELOPMENT FUND	93.596		4,566,974	4,566,974
HEAD START	93.600		1,493,598	1,313,331
Total Office of the State Superintendent of Education			182,453,422	163,734,273
University of the District of Columbia				
AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH_BASIC AND APPLIED RESEARCH	10.001		73,848	-
SPECIALTY CROP BLOCK GRANT PROGRAM - FARM BILL	10.170		201,269	-
GRANTS FOR AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH, SPECIAL RESEARCH GRANTS	10.200		15,000	-
PAYMENTS TO AGRICULTURAL EXPERIMENT STATIONS UNDER THE HATCH ACT	10.203		842,710	-
COOPERATIVE EXTENSION SERVICE	10.500		1,481,636	-
INVESTMENTS FOR PUBLIC WORKS AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT FACILITIES	11.300		53,418	-
SCIENCE, TECHNOLOGY, BUSINESS AND/OR EDUCATION OUTREACH	11.620		17,560	-
MILITARY MEDICAL RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	12.420		228,103	-
BASIC, APPLIED, AND ADVANCED RESEARCH IN SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING	12.630		77,456	-
ASSISTANCE TO WATER RESOURCES RESEARCH INSTITUTES	15.805		71,544	-
TRADE ADJUSTMENT ASSISTANCE COMMUNITY COLLEGE AND CAREER TRAINING (TAACCT) GRANTS	17.282		1,927,787	-
LOW-INCOME TAXPAYER CLINICS	21.008		89,296	-
EDUCATION	43.008		11,801	-
EDUCATION AND HUMAN RESOURCES	47.076		1,103,050	-
FEDERAL SUPPLEMENTAL EDUCATIONAL OPPORTUNITY GRANTS (SEOG)	84.007		580,883	-
HIGHER EDUCATION - INSTITUTIONAL AID	84.031		4,519,350	-
FEDERAL WORK-STUDY PROGRAM	84.033		152,438	-
TRIO - TALENT SEARCH	84.044		404,322	-
TRIO - UPWARD BOUND	84.047		277,270	-
FEDERAL PELL GRANT PROGRAM	84.063		8,130,207	-
FEDERAL DIRECT STUDENT LOANS	84.268		25,059,186	-
SPECIAL EDUCATION-PERSONNEL DEVELOPMENT TO IMPROVE SERVICES & RESULTS FOR CHILDREN WITH DISABILITIES	84.325		15,869	-
SUBSTANCE ABUSE MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES (SAMHS) - PROJECTS OF REGIONAL AND NATIONAL SIGNIFICANCE	93.243		440,004	-
CANCER CAUSE AND PREVENTION RESEARCH	93.393		348,636	-
COMMUNITY SERVICES BLOCK GRANT_DISCRETIONARY AWARDS	93.570		249,231	-
BIOMEDICAL RESEARCH AND RESEARCH TRAINING	93.859		112,957	-
SCHOLARSHIPS FOR HEALTH PROFESSIONS STUDENTS FROM DISADVANTAGED BACKGROUNDS	93.925		476,355	-
SENIOR COMPANION PROGRAM	94.016		285,498	-
HOMELAND SECURITY-RELATED SCIENCE, TECHNOLOGY, ENGINEERING AND MATHEMATICS (HS STEM)				
CAREER DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM	97.104		414,614	-
Total University of the District of Columbia			47,661,298	-

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this schedule.

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards by District Agency

Year Ended September 30, 2016

<i>Federal Grantor / Pass-Through Grantor / Program or Cluster Title</i>	<i>Federal CFDA Number</i>	<i>Pass Through Entity Identifying Number</i>	<i>Total Federal Expenditures</i>	<i>Passed Through to Subrecipients</i>
GOVERNMENT SERVICES CLUSTER:				
Department of Transportation				
URBAN & COMMUNITY FORESTRY PROGRAM	10.675		22,087	-
FOREST HEALTH PROTECTION	10.680		10,000	-
HIGHWAY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM	20.200		1,407,637	-
HIGHWAY PLANNING AND CONSTRUCTION	20.205		124,514,314	-
HIGHWAY TRAINING AND EDUCATION	20.215		27,029	-
ARRA - HIGH-SPEED RAIL CORRIDORS AND INTERCITY PASSENGER RAIL SERVICE - CAPITAL ASSISTANCE GRANTS	20.319		2,513,667	-
ENHANCED MOBILITY OF SENIORS AND INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES	20.513		(12,381)	-
STATE AND COMMUNITY HIGHWAY SAFETY	20.600		3,615,224	668,271
Total Department of Transportation			132,097,577	668,271
District Department of the Environment				
ATLANTIC COASTAL FISHERIES COOPERATIVE MANAGEMENT ACT	11.474		49,237	-
STATE MEMORANDUM OF AGREEMENT PROGRAM FOR THE REIMBURSEMENT OF TECHNICAL SERVICES	12.113		498,255	-
SPORT FISH RESTORATION PROGRAM	15.605		1,557,061	-
CLEAN VESSEL ACT	15.616		37,126	-
STATE WILDLIFE GRANTS	15.634		94,097	12,014
LOCAL LAW ENFORCEMENT BLOCK	15.U00		20,000	-
AIR POLLUTION CONTROL PROGRAM SUPPORT	66.001		1,340,836	-
STATE INDOOR RADON GRANTS	66.032		86,460	-
SURVEYS, STUDIES, INVESTIGATIONS ACTIVITIES RELATING TO THE CLEAN AIR ACT	66.034		98,497	-
CONSTRUCTION GRANTS FOR WASTEWATER TREATMENT WORKS	66.418		3,488,478	1,067,060
WATER POLLUTION CONTROL STATE, INTERSTATE, TRIBAL PROGRAM SUPPORT	66.419		1,216,426	40,000
WATER QUALITY MANAGEMENT PLANNING	66.454		100,000	60,000
NONPOINT SOURCE IMPLEMENTATION GRANTS	66.460		1,175,887	56,611
CHESAPEAKE BAY PROGRAM	66.466		3,180,213	1,032,382
		0602.12.033197,		
CHESAPEAKE BAY PROGRAM - NATIONAL FISH & WILDLIFE FOUNDATION	66.466	0602.13.040169	448,494	-
CAPITALIZATION GRANTS FOR DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUNDS	66.468		1,753	-
PERFORMANCE PARTNERSHIP GRANTS	66.605		252,926	252,926
POLLUTION PREVENTION GRANTS PROGRAM	66.708		461,312	-
HAZARDOUS WASTE MANAGEMENT STATE PROGRAM SUPPORT	66.801		343,605	-
SUPERFUND STATE, POLITICAL SUBDIVISION, AND INDIAN TRIBE SITE SPECIFIC COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS	66.802		(366,376)	-
UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK PREVENTION, DETECTION & COMPLIANCE PROGRAM	66.804		1,145,267	-
SUPERFUND STATE AND TRIBE CORE PROGRAM COOP AGREEMENTS	66.809		296,721	-
STATE AND TRIBAL RESPONSE PROGRAM GRANTS	66.817		(163)	-
STATE ENERGY PROGRAM	81.041		176,471	-
WEATHERIZATION ASSISTANCE FOR LOW-INCOME PERSONS	81.042		267,763	130,324
STATE HEATING OIL AND PROPANE PROGRAM	81.138		10,175	-
LOW INCOME HOME ENERGY ASSISTANCE	93.568		10,447,479	731,801
CHILD LEAD POISONING PREVENTION SURVEILLANCE FINANCED IN PART BY PREVENTION AND PUBLIC HEALTH (PPHF) PROGRAM	93.753		427,847	104,980
COMMUNITY ASSISTANCE PROGRAM STATE SUPPORT SERVICES ELEMENT (CAP-SSSE)	97.023		56,554	-
COOPERATING TECHNICAL PARTNERS	97.045		37,390	25,936
Total District Department of the Environment			26,949,791	3,514,034
Department of Motor Vehicles				
NATIONAL MOTOR CARRIER SAFETY	20.218		253,129	-
Total Department of Motor Vehicles			253,129	-

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this schedule.

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards by District Agency

Year Ended September 30, 2016

Federal Grantor / Pass-Through Grantor / Program or Cluster Title	Federal CFDA Number	Pass Through Entity Identifying Number	Total Federal Expenditures	Passed Through to Subrecipients
GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS CLUSTER:				
Office of the Mayor				
RETIRED AND SENIOR VOLUNTEER PROGRAM	94.002		142,608	95,000
STATE COMMISSIONS	94.003		65,551	65,551
AMERICORPS	94.006		2,943,348	2,943,348
Total Office of the Mayor			3,151,507	3,103,899
Office of the Inspector General				
STATE MEDICAID FRAUD CONTROL UNITS	93.775		2,091,725	-
Total Office of the Inspector General			2,091,725	-
Office on Aging				
COMMODITY SUPPLEMENTAL FOOD PROGRAM	10.565		399,292	399,292
SENIOR FARMERS MARKET NUTRITION PROGRAM	10.576		16,359	12,360
SPECIAL PROGRAMS FOR THE AGING - TITLE VII, CHAPTER 3 - PROGRAMS FOR PREVENTION OF ELDER ABUSE, NEGLECT, AND EXPLOITATION	93.041		23,626	23,626
SPECIAL PROGRAMS FOR THE AGING - TITLE VII, CHAPTER 2 - LONG TERM CARE OMBUDSMAN SERVICES FOR OLDER INDIVIDUALS	93.042		172,192	172,192
SPECIAL PROGRAMS FOR THE AGING - TITLE III, PART B - GRANTS FOR SUPPORTIVE SERVICES AND SENIOR CENTERS	93.044		1,664,642	1,287,619
SPECIAL PROGRAMS FOR THE AGING - TITLE III, PART C - NUTRITION SERVICES	93.045		3,376,110	36,424
SPECIAL PROGRAMS FOR THE AGING - TITLE IV & TITLE II DISCRETIONARY PROJECTS	93.048		(1)	-
NATIONAL FAMILY CAREGIVERS SUPPORT TITLE III PART E	93.052		718,986	718,986
NUTRITION SERVICES INCENTIVE PROGRAM	93.053		793,669	-
DC LIFESPAN RESPITE PROGRAM	93.072		38,075	37,878
AFFORDABLE CARE ACT - MEDICARE IMPROVEMENTS FOR PATIENTS AND PROVIDERS	93.518		14,721	14,721
ALZHEIMER'S DISEASE INITIATIVE: SPECIALIZED SUPPORTIVE SERVICE PROJECT (ADISS) THRU PREVENTION AND PUBLIC HEALTH FUNDS (PPHF)	93.763		252,089	155,479
CENTERS FOR MEDICARE & MEDICAID SERVICES (CMS) RESEARCH, DEMONSTRATIONS AND EVALUATIONS	93.779		159,139	159,139
Total Office on Aging			7,628,899	3,017,716
Office of the Attorney General				
CHILD SUPPORT ENFORCEMENT PROGRAM	93.563		17,838,069	-
CHILD SUPPORT ENFORCEMENT RESEARCH	93.564		52,818	-
GRANTS TO STATES FOR ACCESS AND VISITATION PROGRAM	93.597		99,987	-
Total Office of the Attorney General			17,990,874	-
DC Public Library				
GRANTS TO STATES	45.310		924,058	-
Total DC Public Library			924,058	-
Department of Employment Services				
LABOR FORCE STATISTICS	17.002		643,027	-
REGISTERED APPRENTICESHIP	17.201		6,242	-
EMPLOYMENT SERVICE/WAGNER-PEYSER FUNDED ACTIVITIES	17.207		2,852,935	-
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE	17.225		125,258,153	-
ARRA - UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE	17.225		1,826,263	-
SENIOR COMMUNITY SERVICE EMPLOYMENT PROGRAM	17.235		496,586	-
TRADE ADJUSTMENT ASSISTANCE	17.245		38,256	-
WIA ADULT PROGRAM	17.258		2,244,948	-
WIA YOUTH ACTIVITIES	17.259		2,651,128	-
WORK OPPORTUNITY TAX CREDIT PROGRAM (WOTC)	17.271		66,000	-
TEMPORARY LABOR CERTIFICATION FOR FOREIGN WORKERS	17.273		(4,259)	-
WIA DISLOCATED WORKER FORMULA GRANTS	17.278		3,408,786	-
WORKFORCE INVESTMENT ACT (WIA) DISLOCATED WORKER NATIONAL RESERVE TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE TRAINING	17.281		42,548	-
CONSULTATION AGREEMENTS	17.504		468,588	-
DISABLED VETERANS OUTREACH PROGRAM	17.801		456,166	-
LOCAL VETERANS EMPLOYMENT REPRESENTATIVE	17.804		203,581	-
Total Department of Employment Services			140,658,948	-

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this schedule.

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards by District Agency

Year Ended September 30, 2016

Federal Grantor / Pass-Through Grantor / Program or Cluster Title	Federal CFDA Number	Pass Through Entity Identifying Number	Total Federal Expenditures	Passed Through to Subrecipients
GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS CLUSTER:				
Board of Election and Ethics				
HELP AMERICA VOTE ACT REQUIREMENTS PAYMENTS	90.401		2,255,466	-
Total Board of Election and Ethics			2,255,466	-
Office of the Chief Technology Officer				
STATE AND LOCAL IMPLEMENTATION GRANT PROGRAM	11.549		178,474	-
Total Office of the Chief Technology Officer			178,474	-
PUBLIC SAFETY & JUSTICE CLUSTER:				
Homeland Security / Emergency Management				
NON-PROFIT SECURITY PROGRAM	97.008		614,598	614,598
HAZARD MITIGATION GRANT	97.039		493	-
EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT PERFORMANCE GRANTS	97.042		3,094,088	-
PORT SECURITY GRANT PROGRAM	97.056		156,325	156,325
HOMELAND SECURITY GRANT PROGRAM	97.067		51,982,381	46,523,520
SECURING THE CITIES PROGRAM	97.106		340,960	340,960
Total Homeland Security / Emergency Management			56,188,845	47,635,403
Metropolitan Police Department				
NATIONAL CRIMINAL HISTORY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (NCHIP)	16.554		210,382	-
PUBLIC SAFETY PARTNERSHIP & COMMUNITY POLICING GRANTS	16.710		373,112	-
ARRA - PUBLIC SAFETY PARTNERSHIP & COMMUNITY POLICING GRANTS	16.710		1,810,646	537,742
EDWARD BYRNE MEMORIAL JUSTICE ASSISTANCE GRANT PROGRAM	16.738		823,656	-
SUPPORT FOR ADAM WALSH IMPLEMENTATION GRANT PROGRAM	16.750		66,917	-
NATIONAL MOTOR CARRIER SAFETY	20.218		636,595	-
SAFETY DATA IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	20.234		5,188	-
BOATING SAFETY FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE	97.012		654,230	-
Total Metropolitan Police Department			4,580,726	537,742
Fire and Emergency Medical Services				
RAIL FIXED GUIDEWAY PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM STATE SAFETY OVERSIGHT FORMULA GRANT PROGRAM	20.528		136,635	-
ASSISTANCE TO FIREFIGHTERS GRANT	97.044		778,573	-
Total Fire and Emergency Medical Services			915,208	-
Criminal Justice Coordinating Council				
EDWARD BYRNE MEMORIAL COMPETITIVE GRANT PROGRAM	16.751		1,873	-
STATE JUSTICE STATISTICS PROGRAM FOR STATISTICAL ANALYSIS CENTERS	16.550		60,000	-
Total Criminal Justice Coordinating Council			61,873	-
DC National Guard				
NATIONAL GUARD MILITARY OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE (O&M) PROJECTS	12.401		4,210,551	-
NATIONAL GUARD CHALLENGE PROGRAM	12.404		2,960,017	-
Total DC National Guard			7,170,568	-
Office of Justice Grants Administration/Office of Victim Services				
SEXUAL ASSAULT SERVICES FORMULA PROGRAM	16.017		12,073	-
JUVENILE ACCOUNTABILITY INCENTIVE BLOCK GRANT PROGRAM	16.523		25,000	25,000
JUVENILE JUSTICE AND DELINQUENCY PREVENTION - ALLOCATION TO STATES	16.540		331,627	331,627
CRIME VICTIM ASSISTANCE PROGRAM	16.575		4,104,936	3,945,945
CRIME VICTIM ASSISTANCE/DISCRETIONARY GRANTS	16.582		158,256	108,215
VIOLENCE AGAINST WOMEN FORMULA GRANTS	16.588		777,607	703,518
GRANTS TO ENCOURAGE ARREST POLICIES & ENFORCEMENT OF PROTECT ORDERS	16.590		196,243	184,976
RESIDENTIAL SUBSTANCE ABUSE TREATMENT FOR STATE PRISONERS	16.593		29,567	29,567
PROJECT SAFE NEIGHBORHOODS	16.609		5,961	5,961
EDWARD BYRNE MEMORIAL JUSTICE ASSISTANCE GRANT PROGRAM	16.738		1,161,968	1,013,425
PAUL COVERDELL FORENSIC SCIENCES IMPROVEMENT GRANT PROGRAM	16.742		72,094	72,094
SUPPORT FOR ADAM WALSH IMPLEMENTATION GRANT PROGRAM	16.750		49,533	49,533
EDWARD BYRNE MEMORIAL COMPETITIVE GRANT PROGRAM	16.751		159,015	159,015
SECOND CHANCE ACT PRISONER REENTRY INITIATIVE	16.812		184,186	184,186
VISION 21	16.826		276,709	233,149
Total Office of Justice Grants Administration/Office of Victim Services			7,544,775	7,046,211

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this schedule.

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards by District Agency

Year Ended September 30, 2016

Federal Grantor / Pass-Through Grantor / Program or Cluster Title	Federal CFDA Number	Pass Through Entity Identifying Number	Total Federal Expenditures	Passed Through to Subrecipients
<u>PUBLIC SAFETY & JUSTICE CLUSTER:</u>				
Deputy Mayor for Public Safety and Justice				
JUVENILE ACCOUNTABILITY INCENTIVE BLOCK GRANT PROGRAM	16.523		(928)	(928)
CRIME VICTIM ASSISTANCE PROGRAM	16.575		(2,853)	-
CRIME VICTIM ASSISTANCE/DISCRETIONARY GRANTS	16.582		(20,243)	-
VIOLENCE AGAINST WOMEN FORMULA GRANTS	16.588		(13,983)	-
GRANTS TO ENCOURAGE ARREST POLICIES & ENFORCEMENT OF PROTECT ORDERS	16.590		(6,382)	-
RESIDENTIAL SUBSTANCE ABUSE TREATMENT FOR STATE PRISONERS	16.593		(34,099)	(34,099)
PREA PROGRAM: DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS TO ESTABLISH "ZERO TOLERANCE" CULTURES FOR SEXUAL ASSAULT IN CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES	16.735		(918)	-
EDWARD BYRNE MEMORIAL JUSTICE ASSISTANCE GRANT PROGRAM	16.738		(9,523)	-
SECOND CHANCE ACT PRISONER REENTRY INITIATIVE	16.812		(11,222)	(11,222)
VISION 21	16.826		(7,455)	-
Total Deputy Mayor for Public Safety and Justice			(107,606)	(46,249)
Department of Forensics Sciences				
FORENSIC DNA BACKLOG REDUCTION PROGRAM	16.741		242,337	-
Total Department of Forensics Sciences			242,337	-
Office of Administrative Hearing				
MEDICAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM	93.778		70,000	-
Total Office of Administrative Hearing			70,000	-
<u>ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND REGULATION CLUSTER:</u>				
Office of Municipal Planning				
HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND GRANTS-IN-AID	15.904		506,791	-
Total Office of Municipal Planning			506,791	-
Commission on Arts & Humanities				
PROMOTION OF THE ARTS - PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENTS	45.025		691,900	-
Total Commission on Arts & Humanities			691,900	-
Department of Housing and Community Development				
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANTS/ENTITLEMENT GRANTS (CDBG)	14.218		26,972,716	20,183,901
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANTS/ENTITLEMENT GRANTS (CDBG), OUTSTANDING LOAN BEGINNING BALANCE	14.218		279,668,603	-
HOME INVESTMENT PARTNERSHIPS PROGRAM (HOME)	14.239		11,826,877	10,748,028
HOME INVESTMENT PARTNERSHIPS PROGRAM (HOME), OUTSTANDING LOAN BEGINNING BALANCE	14.239		105,889,860	-
LEAD-BASED PAINT HAZARD CONTROL IN PRIVATELY-OWNED HOUSING	14.900		57,564	55,457
LEAD HAZARD REDUCTION DEMONSTRATION GRANT PROGRAM	14.905		454,243	441,632
Total Department of Housing and Community Development			424,869,863	31,429,018
Public Service Commission				
PIPELINE SAFETY PROGRAM STATE BASE GRANT	20.700		403,500	-
PHMSA PIPELINE SAFETY PROGRAM ONE CALL GRANT	20.721		4,939	-
Total Public Service Commission			408,439	-
Deputy Mayor for Economic Development				
COMMUNITY ECONOMIC ADJUSTMENT ASSISTANCE FOR ESTABLISHMENT, EXPANSION, REALIGNMENT, OR CLOSURE OF A MILITARY INSTALLATION	12.607		470,164	-
Total Deputy Mayor for Economic Development			470,164	-
Department of Small & Local Business Development				
PROCUREMENT TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE FOR BUSINESS FIRMS	12.002		280,651	-
FEDERAL AND STATE TECHNOLOGY PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM	59.058		39,998	-
STATE TRADE AND EXPORT PROMOTION PILOT GRANT PROGRAM (SBA)	59.061		(10,159)	-
Total Department of Small & Local Business Development			310,490	-

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this schedule.

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards by District Agency

Year Ended September 30, 2016

<i>Federal Grantor / Pass-Through Grantor / Program or Cluster Title</i>	<i>Federal CFDA Number</i>	<i>Pass Through Entity Identifying Number</i>	<i>Total Federal Expenditures</i>	<i>Passed Through to Subrecipients</i>
<u>ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND REGULATION CLUSTER:</u>				
Department of Insurance, Securities and Banking				
AFFORDABLE CARE ACT (ACA) GRANTS TO STATES FOR HEALTH INSURANCE PREMIUM REVIEW	93.511		984,189	-
Total Department of Insurance, Securities and Banking			984,189	-
<u>OFFICE OF THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICE CLUSTER:</u>				
Office of the Chief Financial Officer				
STATE ADMINISTRATIVE MATCHING GRANTS FOR THE SUPPLEMENTAL NUTRITION	10.561		395,830	-
Total Office of the Chief Financial Officer			395,830	-
Total Expenditures of Federal Awards			\$ 3,878,087,444	\$ 328,338,215

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this schedule.

Government of the District of Columbia

Notes to Schedules of Expenditures of Federal Awards Year Ended September 30, 2016

1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Reporting Entity

The Schedules of Expenditures of Federal Awards (the "Schedules" or the "SEFA") include the activity of all federal award programs administered by the Government of the District of Columbia (the "District"), except for the District of Columbia Housing Finance Agency (HFA), for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2016. This component unit engaged other auditors to perform an audit in accordance with Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance), and, as such the federal awards for this entity are excluded from the Schedules.

Federal award programs include direct expenditures, monies passed through to nonstate agencies (i.e., payments to subrecipients), nonmonetary assistance, and loan programs.

Basis of Presentation

The Schedules present total federal awards expended for each individual federal program in accordance with the Uniform Guidance. Federal award program titles are reported as presented in the Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (Catalog). Federal award program titles not presented in the Catalog are identified by Federal awarding agency's two digit prefix (or 99) followed by (contract number or UNKNOWN).

Basis of Accounting

The expenditures for each of the federal award programs are presented in the Schedules on a modified accrual basis. The modified accrual basis of accounting incorporates an estimation approach to determine the amount of expenditures incurred if not yet billed by a vendor. Thus, those Federal programs presenting negative amounts on the Schedules are the result of prior year estimates being overstated and/or reimbursements due back to the grantor.

Matching Costs

Matching costs, the nonfederal share of certain programs costs, are not included in the Schedules.

2. Relationship to Federal Financial Reports

The regulations and guidelines governing the preparation of Federal financial reports vary by Federal agency and among programs administered by the same agency. Accordingly, the amounts reported in the Federal financial reports do not necessarily agree with the amounts reported in the accompanying Schedules, which are prepared on the basis explained in Note 1.

3. Indirect Cost Rate

The District did not elect to use the 10-percent de minimis indirect cost rate allowed under the Uniform Guidance.

Government of the District of Columbia

Notes to Schedules of Expenditures of Federal Awards Year Ended September 30, 2016

4. Federally Funded Loan Programs

Community Development Block Grants (CFDA #14.218)

The amount of total program expenditures in the accompanying schedules is \$26,972,716, which includes current year loan disbursements. The outstanding loans cumulative balance as of September 30, 2016, is \$281,943,798.

Home Investment Partnerships Program (CFDA #14.239)

The amount of total program expenditures in the accompanying schedules is \$11,826,877, which includes current year loan disbursements. The outstanding loans cumulative balance as of September 30, 2016, is \$110,932,460.

Federal Direct Student Loan Program (CFDA #84.268)

The District, through the University of the District of Columbia (UDC), participates in the Federal Direct Student Education Loan Program. Beginning July 1, 2010, UDC began participating in the Federal Direct Loans Program. In fiscal year 2016, new loans made to students enrolled at UDC under the Federal Loan Program, CFDA #84.268 totals \$25,059,186. This amount is included in the Schedules.

Beginning Balance	\$	130,330,522
Add: New Loans		25,059,186
		155,389,708
Less: Principal payments		-
Ending Balance	\$	155,389,708

5. Rebates from the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC)

During fiscal year 2016, the District received cash rebates from infant formula manufacturers totaling \$3,974,465 on sales of formula to participants in the WIC program (CFDA #10.557), which are netted against total expenditures included in the Schedules.

Rebate contracts with infant formula manufacturers are authorized by 7 CFR 246.16(m) as a cost containment measure. Rebates represent a reduction of expenditures previously incurred for WIC food benefit costs.

6. Unemployment Insurance

State unemployment tax revenues and government, tribal, and non-profit reimbursements in lieu of State taxes (State UI funds) must be deposited to the Unemployment Trust Fund in the U.S. Treasury, and are primarily used to pay benefits under the federally-approved State unemployment law. Consequently, State UI funds as well as Federal funds are included in the total expenditures of CFDA #17.225 in the accompanying Schedules.

Government of the District of Columbia

Notes to Schedules of Expenditures of Federal Awards Year Ended September 30, 2016

The composition of CFDA #17.225 in fiscal year 2016 is as follows:

State UI Benefits	\$ 109,192,649
Federal UI and Extended UI Benefits	4,665,143
Federal UI Administrative Expenditures	11,400,361
Subtotal	125,258,153
Additional Federal Unemployment Compensation ARRA - Federal UI	1,826,263
Total	\$ 127,084,416

Schedule of Findings and
Questioned Costs

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2016

Section I - Summary of Auditor's Results

Basic Financial Statements

1. The basic financial statements of the District as of and for the year ended September 30, 2016, were audited by other auditors whose report dated January 25, 2017, expressed unmodified opinions on the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregate discretely presented component units, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the District.
2. The audit by other auditors identified no material weaknesses and no significant deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting in connection with the basic financial statements of the District as of and for the year ended September 30, 2016.
3. The audit by other auditors identified no instances of noncompliance that are material to the basic financial statements of the District as of and for the year ended September 30, 2016.

Schedules of Expenditures of Federal Awards (SEFA)

Type of auditor's report issued on the SEFA: Unmodified

Internal control over financial reporting on the SEFA:

- Material weakness(es) identified? _____ yes X no
- Significant deficiency(ies) identified? _____ yes X none reported
- Noncompliance material to financial statements noted? _____ yes X no

Federal Awards

Internal control over major federal programs:

- Material weakness(es) identified? X yes _____ no
- Significant deficiency(ies) identified? X yes _____ none reported

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2016

Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for major programs:

#	Major Program/Cluster	CFDA Number(s)	Type of Report Issued
1	Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program Cluster	10.551, 10.561	Qualified
2	Child Nutrition Cluster	10.553, 10.555, 10.556, 10.559	Qualified
3	Community Development Block Grants/Entitlement Grants Cluster	14.218	Unmodified
4	HOME Investment Partnerships Program	14.239	Unmodified
5	Student Financial Assistance Cluster	84.007, 84.033, 84.063, 84.268, 93.925	Unmodified
6	Special Education Cluster	84.027, 84.173	Unmodified
7	Rehabilitation Services - Vocational Rehabilitation Grants to States	84.126	Qualified
8	Teacher Incentive Fund Program	84.374	Unmodified
9	Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Cluster	93.558	Adverse
10	Low Income Home Energy Assistance	93.568	Unmodified
11	Head Start	93.600	Unmodified
12	Foster Care - Title IV-E	93.658	Adverse
13	Adoption Assistance - Title IV-E	93.659	Adverse
14	Children's Health Insurance Program	93.767	Unmodified
15	Medicaid Cluster	93.775, 93.777, 93.778	Unmodified
16	HIV Emergency Relief Project Grants	93.914	Qualified
17	Social Security Disability Insurance Cluster	96.001	Qualified

There were audit findings that are required to be reported in accordance with 2 CFR 200.516(a) of the Uniform Guidance for the year ended September 30, 2016.

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2016

The major Federal programs of the District for the year ended September 30, 2016 were as follows:

#	Major Program/Cluster	CFDA Number(s)
1	Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program Cluster	10.551, 10.561
2	Child Nutrition Cluster	10.553, 10.555, 10.556, 10.559
3	Community Development Block Grants/Entitlement Grants Cluster	14.218
4	HOME Investment Partnerships Program	14.239
5	Student Financial Assistance Cluster	84.007, 84.033, 84.063, 84.268, 93.925
6	Special Education Cluster	84.027, 84.173
7	Rehabilitation Services - Vocational Rehabilitation Grants to States	84.126
8	Teacher Incentive Fund Program	84.374
9	Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Cluster	93.558
10	Low Income Home Energy Assistance	93.568
11	Head Start	93.600
12	Foster Care - Title IV-E	93.658
13	Adoption Assistance - Title IV-E	93.659
14	Children's Health Insurance Program	93.767
15	Medicaid Cluster	93.775, 93.777, 93.778
16	HIV Emergency Relief Project Grants	93.914
17	Social Security Disability Insurance Cluster	96.001

The dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B programs was \$11,634,262 for Federal awards for the year ended September 30, 2016.

Auditee qualified as low risk auditee? _____ Yes X No

Section II - Financial Statement Findings

There were no findings related to the basic financial statements and the schedules of expenditures of federal awards which are required to be reported in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards (GAGAS).

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2016

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs

Finding Number: 2016-001
Prior Year Finding Number: 2015-001
Compliance Requirement: Special Tests and Provisions - ADP System for SNAP

Program:
U.S. Department of Agriculture

Government Department/Agency:
Department of Human Services (DHS)

Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program Cluster (SNAP)
CFDA #: 10.551, 10.561
Award #: Various
Award Year: 10/01/2015 - 09/30/2016

Criteria - The Uniform Guidance in 2 CFR Section 200.303 requires that non-Federal entities receiving Federal awards (i.e., auditee management) establish and maintain internal control designed to reasonably ensure compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the Federal award.

Per 2 CFR Section 272.10(a), "All State agencies are required to sufficiently automate their SNAP operations and computerize their systems for obtaining, maintaining, utilizing, and transmitting information concerning SNAP."

Per 2 CFR Section 272.10(b), "In order to meet the requirements of the Act and ensure the efficient and effective administration of the program, a SNAP system, at a minimum, shall be automated in each of the following program areas (1) Certification and (2) Issuance Reconciliation and Reporting. Under Certification - States agencies must determine eligibility and calculate benefits or validate the eligibility worker's calculations by processing and storing all casefile information necessary for the eligibility determination and benefit computation (including but not limited to all household members' names, addresses, dates of birth, social security numbers, individual household members' earned and unearned income by source, deductions, resources and household size). Also, State agencies must redetermine or revalidate eligibility and benefits based on notices of change in households' circumstances."

Condition - The District's Department of Human Services (DHS) is required to accurately and completely process and store all case file information for eligibility determination and benefit calculation for SNAP. During our testing over beneficiary eligibility for SNAP, we noted deficiencies in the eligibility determination process. Specifically, we noted the following:

- For four (4) out of 60 samples, the applications related to the latest fiscal year 2016 recertifications shown in Automated Client Eligibility Determination System (ACEDS) were not found in the Document Imaging Management System (DIMS). DHS paid these beneficiaries \$12,833 during the fiscal year.
- For one (1) out of 60 samples, the Social Security Number of one of the children was incorrect in ACEDS and was corrected at the time of the audit. DHS paid this beneficiary \$3,225 during the fiscal year.

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2016

- For four (4) out of 60 samples, the beneficiary was paid a lower benefit amount per month than the amount recalculated. We determined that DHS paid \$141 less per month in federal awards during the sampled months related to these four (4) SNAP beneficiaries, which resulted in total underpayments of \$362.

These amounts represent 9% of the total amounts paid by DHS in claims related to the 60 beneficiary payments sampled of \$173,473. DHS paid a total of \$209,951,858 in beneficiary payments to all SNAP beneficiaries in fiscal year 2016.

Questioned Costs - Known amount is \$15,696.

Context - This is a condition identified per review of DHS' compliance with specified requirements using a statistically valid sample.

Effect - Without properly maintaining documentation to support eligibility determinations, ineligible beneficiaries may receive benefits under the SNAP grant and DHS may make payments on behalf of those beneficiaries resulting in noncompliance with the eligibility requirements. Inaccurate beneficiary allotment payments could result in participants receiving benefits that they are not entitled to receive under the program.

Cause - DHS did not consistently adhere to its established policies and procedures requiring it to maintain documentation supporting participant eligibility and ensure accurate beneficiary payments.

Recommendation - We recommend that DHS strengthen its existing policies and procedures over the review and maintenance of appropriate documentation to ensure compliance with eligibility requirements.

Related Noncompliance - Material noncompliance.

Views of Responsible Officials and Planned Corrective Actions - DHS concurs with the recommendation. The District's corrective action is described in the Management's Corrective Action Plan included as Appendix B of the attached Management's Section.

DHS does not concur with finding one (1) out of 60 that has the social security number of the child that is incorrect in ACEDS. The system uses the social security number of the primary individual, which is the mother, as the primary to verify the eligibility of the child. The social security number of the child was loaded incorrectly into ACEDS but was correct on the social security card of the child, located in DIMS. The payment of \$3,225 was correct in determining the benefits received.

BDO Response - We have reviewed management's response and our finding remains as indicated, as what was provided during the audit was incorrect.

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2016

Finding Number: 2016-002
Prior Year Finding Number: 2015-002
Compliance Requirement: Special Tests and Provisions - EBT Card Security

Program:

U.S. Department of Agriculture

Government Department/Agency:

Department of Human Services (DHS)

Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program Cluster (SNAP)

CFDA #: 10.551, 10.561

Award #: Various

Award Year: 10/01/2015 - 09/30/2016

Criteria - The Uniform Guidance in 2 CFR Section 200.303 requires that non-Federal entities receiving Federal awards (i.e., auditee management) establish and maintain internal control designed to reasonably ensure compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the Federal award.

Per 7 CFR Section 274.8(b)(3), As an addition to or component of the Security Program required of Automated Data Processing (ADP) systems, the State agency shall ensure that the following electronic benefits transfer (EBT) security requirements are established:

- (i) Storage and control measures to control blank unissued EBT cards and PINs, and unused or spare POS devices;
- (ii) Measures to ensure communication access control. Communication controls shall include the transmission of transaction data and issuance information from POS terminals to work-stations and terminals at the data processing center;
- (iii) Message validation;
- (iv) Administrative and operational procedures;
- (v) A separate EBT security component shall be incorporated into the State agency Security Program for ADP systems. The periodic risk analyses required by the Security Program shall address the following items specific to an EBT system - (B) Completeness and timeliness of the reconciliation system; and
- (vi) The State agency shall incorporate the contingency plan approved by FNS into the Security Program.

Condition - DHS is required to maintain adequate security over, and documentation/records for EBT cards, to prevent their theft, embezzlement, loss damage, destruction, unauthorized transfer, negotiation, or use. DHS has contracted with Fidelity National Information Service (FIS) for the issuance and security of the EBT cards; however, it is DHS' ultimate responsibility to ensure the contractor has controls in place to maintain adequate security over, and documentation/records of EBT cards. During our tests of the design and implementation of internal controls, we noted the following issues:

- For one (1) out of 60 samples, although the number of cards issued on the EBT balance sheet for both work stations reconciled with the card issuance log, for work station 2 the ending balance on the EBT balance sheet was off by one (1).

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2016

- For seven (7) out of 60 samples, although both EBT balance sheets reconciled with the EBT card issuance logs included in the package, we noted the following deficiencies:
 - For three (3) of the samples, United Planning Organization (UPO) EBT Center Intake Forms were missing from the package. In addition, for one (1) of these samples, the card numbers written on the EBT card issuance log did not agree with the numbers on the UPO EBT Center Intake Forms.
 - For two (2) of the samples, the card numbers written on the EBT card issuance log did not agree with the numbers on the UPO EBT Center Intake Forms. In addition, for one (1) of these two (2) samples, an extra UPO EBT Center Intake Form was included in the package.
 - For one (1) of the samples, an extra UPO EBT Center Intake Form was included in the package.
 - For one (1) of the samples, UPO EBT Intake Form was not filed with the correct page of the EBT issuance log.
- For three (3) out of 60 samples, although the EBT balance sheets for both workstations agreed with the received and returned amounts on the EBT Issuance Logs, we noted that the destructions were not included on the Destruction Log.
- For two (2) out of 60 samples, EBT issuance logs were missing from the reconciliation package.

Questioned Costs - None.

Context - This is a condition identified per review of DHS' compliance with specified requirements using a statistically valid sample.

Effect - Without adequate internal controls to ensure compliance with EBT Card Security requirements, there is an increased risk that the inventory of EBT cards will not be properly maintained and accounted for.

Cause - DHS does not have adequate policies and procedures in place to ensure adequate safeguarding/documentation of EBT cards.

Recommendation - We recommend that DHS implement formal policies and procedures to maintain adequate security over, and documentation/records for EBT Cards.

Related Noncompliance - Noncompliance.

Views of Responsible Officials and Planned Corrective Actions - DHS and Office of Finance and Treasury concur with the recommendation. The District's corrective action is described in the Management's Corrective Action Plan included as Appendix B of the attached Management's Section.

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2016

Finding Number: 2016-003
Prior Year Finding Number: 2015-003
Compliance Requirement: Eligibility

Program:

U.S. Department of Agriculture

Child Nutrition Cluster

CFDA #: 10.553, 10.555, 10.556, 10.559

Award #: 1DC300302

Award Year: 10/01/2015 - 09/30/2016

Government Department/Agency:

District of Columbia Public Schools
(DCPS)

Criteria - The Uniform Guidance in 2 CFR Section 200.303 requires that non-Federal entities receiving Federal awards (i.e., auditee management) establish and maintain internal control designed to reasonably ensure compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the Federal award.

7 CFR Section 210.8 states:

"Claims for reimbursement: The school food authority shall establish internal controls which ensure the accuracy of lunch counts prior to the submission of the monthly Claim for Reimbursement. At a minimum, these internal controls shall include: an on-site review of the lunch counting and claiming system employed by each school within the jurisdiction of the school food authority; comparisons of daily free, reduced price and paid lunch counts against data which will assist in the identification of lunch counts in excess of the number of free, reduced price and paid lunches served each day to children eligible for such lunches; and a system for following up on those lunch counts which suggest the likelihood of lunch counting problems."

Condition - We selected a sample of 120 students in fiscal year 2016 to test DCPS' compliance with eligibility requirements. The sample of 120 consisted of 60 students who were determined to be eligible through the application process, and 60 students who were directly certified through participation in other federal assistance programs. During our testwork over the eligibility requirement for the Child Nutrition Cluster, we noted deficiencies in DCPS' eligibility determination process. These deficiencies also affected DCPS' ability to report complete and accurate meal count claims for reimbursement to the Office of the State Superintendent of Education (OSSE). Specifically, we noted the following:

- For schools participating in Community Eligibility Provision (CEP), claim reimbursement is based on total meals served. Although DCPS claimed the correct total meals served, we noted four (4) students under CEP wherein the students were marked absent per the student account history in WebSMARTT.
- For one (1) student, per the student account history in WebSMARTT, the student was served a meal on a day that the student's attendance record documented him absent.
- For one (1) student, per review of the application, the student should have been eligible for reduced price meal status; however, the student was determined to be eligible for paid meal status.

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2016

- For six (6) students, the individual received a free meal status in WebSMARTT through Direct Certification, but did not qualify for free meals for the school year 2015-2016 upon review of the D.C. Statewide Longitudinal Education Data (SLED) database.
- For one (1) student, per review of the application, the student was eligible for paid meal status. Per review of the student account history in WebSMARTT, the student received a paid meal status; however, the student received free meals.

Questioned Costs - Known amount is \$181.

Context - This is a condition identified per review of DCPS' compliance with specified requirements using a statistically valid sample.

Effect - DCPS did not comply with the eligibility and reporting requirements of the Child Nutrition Cluster.

Cause - DCPS does not have fully effective internal controls over the eligibility determination process to ensure participants are accurately being assessed for free and reduced price lunch, and that meal count claims submitted for reimbursement include only claims for students who are eligible. Additionally, DCPS must reassess existing controls to ensure that the student receiving the meal is properly identified to avoid having a served meal associated with a child marked as absent.

Recommendation - We recommend DCPS establish adequate controls over eligibility and reporting that ensure compliance with the requirements of the Child Nutrition Cluster. This includes (1) Ensuring that the eligibility determination is sufficiently reviewed such that all errors in the determination process are detected; (2) Data corrections are properly recorded in WebSMARTT; (3) Enhance controls at the point of sale to improve the accuracy of identifying the student as they are served the meal; and (4) Additional edit checks within the WebSMARTT application to prevent inaccurate system eligibility determinations.

Related Noncompliance - Material noncompliance.

Views of Responsible Officials and Planned Corrective Actions - DCPS partially agrees with the conditions and recommendations of this finding.

For the first and second conditions: According to the Community Eligibility Provision (CEP) manual, schools participating in CEP are required to keep an accurate count of total meals served utilizing a POS system of their choice. It is not a requirement to establish a unique identifier that connects the student to the meal that is being served. While there was an issue with the identification of these students, the total meals served on this day was accurate, and the meal accuracy is the only requirement of CEP accountability.

For the third condition: The testing here identified one student in the sample who was miscategorized out of over 6,000 that were collected in School Year (SY) 15-16. The single finding appears to be a reasonable human key-stroke error and the cost impact is marginal.

For the fourth condition: Students can be directly certified, meaning they are determined eligible for free or reduced lunch based on participation in government assistance programs including SNAP and TANF. DCPS misaligned the direct certification data time period. This issue was discovered in the 2015 Single Audit and a finding was issued, however, the corrective action was implemented in August 2016

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2016

and as result of the timing of the correction, a repeat finding was anticipated by DCPS.

For the last condition: The testing here identified one student in the sample that was miscategorized out of over 6,000 that were collected in SY 15-16. The single finding appears to be a reasonable human key-stroke error and the cost impact is marginal.

The District's corrective action is described in the Management's Corrective Action Plan included as Appendix B of the attached Management's Section.

BDO Response - We have reviewed management's response and our finding remains as indicated.

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2016

Finding Number: 2016-004
Prior Year Finding Number: 2015-006
Compliance Requirement: Activities Allowed or Unallowed and Allowable Costs/Cost Principles

Program:

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)

Community Development Block Grant/Entitlement Grants (CDBG)

CFDA #: 14.218

Award #: B15-MC-11-0001

Award Years: 7/1/2014 - 06/30/2019

Government Department/Agency:

Department of Housing and
Community Development (DHCD)

Criteria - The Uniform Guidance in 2 CFR Section 200.303 requires that non-Federal entities receiving Federal awards (i.e., auditee management) establish and maintain internal control designed to reasonably ensure compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the Federal award.

Per 2 CFR Section 200.430 Compensation - Personal services:

"Costs of compensation are allowable to the extent that they satisfy the specific requirements of this part, and that the total compensation for individual employees:

- (1) Is reasonable for the services rendered and conforms to the establish written policy of the non-Federal entity consistently applied to both Federal and non-Federal activities;
- (2) Follows an appointment made in accordance with a non-Federal entity's laws and/or rules or written policies and meets the requirements of Federal statute, where applicable; and
- (3) Is determined and supported as provided in paragraph (i) of this section, Standards for Documentation of Personnel Expenses, when applicable."

2 CFR Section 200.430(i)

" *Standards for Documentation of Personnel Expenses* (1) Charges to Federal awards for salaries and wages must be based on records that accurately reflect the work performed. These records must:

- (i) Be supported by a system of internal control which provides reasonable assurance that the charges are accurate, allowable, and properly allocated;
- (ii) Be incorporated into the official records of the non-Federal entity;
- (iii) Reasonably reflect the total activity for which the employee is compensated by the non-Federal entity, not exceeding 100% of compensated activities;
- (iv) Encompass both federally assisted and all other activities compensated by the non-Federal entity on an integrated basis, but may include the use of subsidiary records as defined in the non-Federal entity's written policy;
- (v) Comply with the established accounting policies and practices of the non-Federal entity;

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2016

- (vi) [Reserved]
- (vii) Support the distribution of the employee's salary or wages among specific activities or cost objectives if the employee works on more than one Federal award; a Federal award and non-Federal award; an indirect cost activity and a direct cost activity; two or more indirect activities which are allocated using different allocation bases; or an unallowable activity and a direct or indirect cost activity.
- (viii) Budget estimates (i.e., estimates determined before the services are performed) alone do not qualify as support for charges to Federal awards.

Condition - At DHCD, employees charge hours worked each pay period on a timesheet to specific cost centers or combo codes as referred by the Agency. In one (1) out of 60 payroll transactions tested, the time per the timesheet did not agree with the PeopleSoft 485 report.

Questioned Costs - Not determinable.

Context - This is a condition identified per review of DHCD's compliance with specified requirements using a statistically valid sample. The payroll transactions tested totaled \$123,103. Total payroll costs charged to the CDBG grant was \$2,689,987 during the fiscal year.

Effect - DHCD was unable to demonstrate that the payroll expenditures charged to the CDBG grant accurately reflected the time incurred on the program and were properly supported in accordance with Uniform Guidance time and effort reporting requirements.

Cause - DHCD continued to use the PeopleSoft 485 report to charge payroll expenditures to the program. The PeopleSoft 485 report reflects the allocation of payroll expenditures for employees who worked on multiple federal programs, which is based on predetermined percentages entered into the PeopleSoft at the beginning of the fiscal year. These percentages were based on management's estimate of the hours they expected each employee to work on their respective programs, which was submitted as part of their grant application. However, management did not perform a periodic comparison of the employees' estimated hours per the PeopleSoft 485 report to the actual hours incurred, and make any necessary adjustment as required by 2 CFR Section 200.430(i).

Recommendation - We recommend that the DHCD ensures that employees are effectively and accurately using the combo codes to allocate time to the programs in which they worked and that they agree to the 485 report.

Related Noncompliance - Noncompliance.

Views of Responsible Officials and Planned Corrective Actions - Management concurs with the finding. The difference between 485 report and time on the individual timesheet was due to employee incorrectly using a combo code during a leave status. The District's corrective action is described in the Management's Corrective Action Plan included as Appendix B of the attached Management's Section.

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2016

Finding Number: 2016-005
Prior Year Finding Number: 2015-009
Compliance Requirement: Activities Allowed or Unallowed and Allowable Costs/Cost Principles

Program:

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)

Government Department/Agency:

Department of Housing and
Community Development (DHCD)

Home Investment Partnerships Program (HOME)

CFDA #: 14.239

Award #: M-15-MC-11-001

Award Years: 07/01/2014 - 06/30/2019
03/16/2016- 09/01/2023

Criteria - The Uniform Guidance in 2 CFR Section 200.303 requires that non-Federal entities receiving Federal awards (i.e., auditee management) establish and maintain internal control designed to reasonably ensure compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the Federal award.

Per 2 CFR Section 200.430 Compensation - Personal services:

"Costs of compensation are allowable to the extent that they satisfy the specific requirements of this part, and that the total compensation for individual employees:

- (1) Is reasonable for the services rendered and conforms to the establish written policy of the non-Federal entity consistently applied to both Federal and non-Federal activities;
- (2) Follows an appointment made in accordance with a non-Federal entity's laws and/or rules or written policies and meets the requirements of Federal statute, where applicable; and
- (3) Is determined and supported as provided in paragraph (i) of this section, Standards for Documentation of Personnel Expenses, when applicable."

2 CFR Section 200.430(i)

" *Standards for Documentation of Personnel Expenses* (1) Charges to Federal awards for salaries and wages must be based on records that accurately reflect the work performed. These records must:

- (i) Be supported by a system of internal control which provides reasonable assurance that the charges are accurate, allowable, and properly allocated;
- (ii) Be incorporated into the official records of the non-Federal entity;
- (iii) Reasonably reflect the total activity for which the employee is compensated by the non-Federal entity, not exceeding 100% of compensated activities;
- (iv) Encompass both federally assisted and all other activities compensated by the non-Federal entity on an integrated basis, but may include the use of subsidiary records as defined in the non-Federal entity's written policy;
- (v) Comply with the established accounting policies and practices of the non-Federal entity;
- (vi) [Reserved]

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2016

- (vii) Support the distribution of the employee's salary or wages among specific activities or cost objectives if the employee works on more than one Federal award; a Federal award and non-Federal award; an indirect cost activity and a direct cost activity; two or more indirect activities which are allocated using different allocation bases; or an unallowable activity and a direct or indirect cost activity.
- (viii) Budget estimates (i.e., estimates determined before the services are performed) alone do not qualify as support for charges to Federal awards.

Condition - At DHCD, employees charge hours worked each pay period on a timesheet to specific cost centers or combo codes as referred by the Agency. In our testing of 60 payroll transactions, some of the payroll transactions were not adequately supported by documentation. Specifically,

- For one (1) out of 60 payroll transactions tested, the time per the timesheet did not agree to the time allocated per the 485 report.
- For two (2) out of 60 payroll transactions tested, the timesheets either did not have any combo codes or a HOME combo code listed.

Questioned costs - Not determinable.

Context - This is a condition identified per review of DHCD's compliance with specified requirements using a statistically valid sample. The payroll transactions tested totaled \$94,603. Total payroll costs charged to the Home grant was \$433,369 during the fiscal year.

Effect - DHCD was unable to demonstrate that the payroll expenditures charged to the Home grant accurately reflected the time incurred on the program and were properly supported in accordance with Uniform Guidance time and effort reporting requirements.

Cause - DHCD continued to use the PeopleSoft 485 report to charge payroll expenditures to the program. The PeopleSoft 485 report reflects the allocation of payroll expenditures for employees who worked on multiple federal programs, which is based on predetermined percentages entered into the PeopleSoft at the beginning of the fiscal year. These percentages were based on management's estimate of the hours they expected each employee to work on their respective programs, which was submitted as part of their grant application. However, management did not perform a periodic comparison of the employees' estimated hours per the PeopleSoft 485 report to the actual hours incurred, and make any necessary adjustment as required by 2 CFR Section 200.430(i).

Recommendation - We recommend that the DHCD ensures that employees are effectively and accurately using the combo codes to allocate time to the programs in which they worked.

Related Noncompliance - Noncompliance.

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2016

Views of Responsible Officials and Planned Corrective Actions - Management concurs with the finding. The District's corrective action is described in the Management's Corrective Action Plan included as Appendix B of the attached Management's Section.

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2016

Finding Number: 2016-006
Prior Year Finding Number: N/A
Compliance Requirement: Activities Allowed or Unallowed and Allowable Costs/Cost Principles

Program:

U.S. Department of Education

Government Department/Agency:

Department on Disability Services
(DDS)

Rehabilitation Services - Vocational Rehabilitation Grants to States

CFDA #: 84.126

Award #: H126A160011

Award Year: 10/01/15 - 09/30/2016

Criteria - The Uniform Guidance in 2 CFR Section 200.303 requires that non-Federal entities receiving Federal awards (i.e., auditee management) establish and maintain internal control designed to reasonably ensure compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the Federal award.

In addition, per 2 CFR Section 200.403, "Except where otherwise authorized by statute, costs must meet the following general criteria in order to be allowable under Federal awards:

- (a) Be necessary and reasonable for the performance of the Federal award and be allocable thereto under these principles.
- (b) Conform to any limitations or exclusions set forth in these principles or in the Federal award as to types or amount of cost items.
- (c) Be consistent with policies and procedures that apply uniformly to both federally-financed and other activities of the non-Federal entity.
- (d) Be accorded consistent treatment. A cost may not be assigned to a Federal award as a direct cost if any other cost incurred for the same purpose in like circumstances has been allocated to the Federal award as an indirect cost.
- (e) Be determined in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP), except, for state and local governments and Indian tribes only, as otherwise provided for in this part.
- (f) Not be included as a cost or used to meet cost sharing or matching requirements of any other federally-financed program in either the current or a prior period.
- (g) Be adequately documented."

Condition - For six (6) of the 40 non-payroll expenses selected for testing, DDS did not provide adequate documentation to support the costs incurred in maintenance of consumers. These costs include payments made to clients to pay for items required for their successful employment. Items include but are not limited to school supplies, work uniforms, required work attire, training materials etc. These amounts represent 3% of the total amount paid by DDS related to the 40 payments sampled of \$110,025.

Questioned Costs - Known amount \$3,648.

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2016

Context - This is a condition identified per review of DDS' compliance with specified requirements using a statistically valid sample.

Effect - Without adequate controls in place to ensure costs are properly reviewed for allowability, DDS may not be in compliance with the allowability requirement and could request reimbursement for unallowed costs.

Cause - DDS did not consistently adhere to their internal policies and procedures to ensure that transactions are properly supported and authorized to ensure that costs are allowable.

Recommendation - We recommend that DDS strengthen their policies and procedures over the review and maintenance of appropriate documentation to ensure compliance with allowability requirements.

Related Noncompliance - Noncompliance.

Views of Responsible Officials and Planned Corrective Actions - The agency concurs with the finding but also observes that some of the documents did not occur in the year being reviewed but a prior year. Currently, the agency documents the services provided, duration of services, the authorization amount and the general maintenance note and objective in the individualized plan for employment. The District's corrective action is described in the Management's Corrective Action Plan included as Appendix B of the attached Management's Section.

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2016

Finding Number: 2016-007
Prior Year Finding Number: 2015-014
Compliance Requirement: Eligibility

Program:

U.S. Department of Education

Government Department/Agency:

Department on Disability Services
(DDS)

Rehabilitation Services - Vocational Rehabilitation Grants to States

CFDA #: 84.126

Award #: H126A160011

Award Year: 10/01/15 - 09/30/2016

Criteria - The Uniform Guidance in 2 CFR Section 200.303 requires that non-Federal entities receiving Federal awards (i.e., auditee management) establish and maintain internal control designed to reasonably ensure compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the Federal award.

According to 29 U.S. Code Section 722(a)(1), "An individual is eligible for Vocational Rehabilitation (VR) services if the individual (a) has undergone an assessment for determining eligibility and vocational rehabilitation needs and as a result has been determined to be an individual with a disability under U.S. Code Section 705(20)(A); and (b) requires vocational rehabilitation services to prepare for, secure, retain, advance in, or regain employment that is consistent with the individual's strengths, resources, priorities, concerns, abilities, capabilities, interests, and informed choice."

Per 29 U.S. Code Section 705(A), "An individual with a disability" means any individual who (i) has a physical or mental impairment which for such individual constitutes or results in a substantial impediment to employment; and (ii) can benefit in terms of an employment outcome from VR services provided."

Per 29 U.S. Code Section 722(a)(3), "An individual who is a beneficiary of Social Security Disability Insurance (SSDI) or a recipient of Supplemental Security Income is presumed to be eligible for VR services (provided that the individual intends to achieve an employment outcome consistent with the unique strengths, resources, priorities, concerns, abilities, capabilities, interests, and informed choice of the individual) unless the State VR Agency can demonstrate by clear and convincing evidence that such individual is incapable of benefiting in terms of an employment outcome from VR services due to the severity of the disability of the individual."

Per 29 U.S. Code Section 722(a)(6), "The VR agency must determine whether an individual is eligible for VR services within a reasonable period of time, not to exceed 60 days, after the individual has submitted an application for the services unless:

- a. Exceptional and unforeseen circumstances beyond the control of the State VR agency preclude making an eligibility determination within 60 days and the State Agency and the individual agree to a specific extension of time.
- b. The State VR agency is exploring an individual's abilities, capabilities, and capacity to perform in work situations through trial work experience in order to determine the eligibility of the

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2016

individual or the existence of clear and convincing evidence that the individual is incapable of benefiting in terms of an employment outcome from VR services.”

Per 34 CFR Section 361.45, “For each individual determined to be eligible for VR services, an individualized plan for employment (IPE) must be developed and implemented in a timely manner. The designated State unit must ensure that the IPE agreed to and signed by the eligible individual or, as appropriate, the individual’s representative; and approved and signed by a qualified vocational rehabilitation counselor employed by the designated State Unit. It further states that the IPE must be reviewed at least annually by a qualified vocational rehabilitation counselor and the eligible individual or as appropriate, the individual’s representative to assess the eligible individual’s progress in achieving the identified employment outcome. Amendments to the IPE do not take effect until agreed to and signed by the eligible individual or, as appropriate, the individual’s representative and by a qualified vocational rehabilitation counselor employed by the designated State unit.”

Condition - We selected a sample of 60 VR program participants to test DDS compliance with eligibility requirements. Based on our review, we noted that management’s control over compliance with the program’s eligibility requirements were not operating effectively to prevent or detect noncompliance. Specifically, we noted the following:

- For two (2) out of 60 items tested, determination of eligibility was not made within 60 days of date of application and no waiver letter was issued.
- For one (1) out of 60 items tested, eligibility was determined before the assessment was done. The individual whose eligibility was determined before its assessment was done was not a SSDI recipient.
- One (1) out of 60 items tested was an Independent Living Client. Services to this individual were erroneously charged to the VR program.

Questioned Costs - Not determinable.

Context - This is a condition identified per review of DDS’ compliance with specified requirements using a statistically valid sample.

Effect - DDS was not in compliance with the eligibility requirements of the VR program.

Cause - DDS did not consistently adhere to established policies and procedures regarding the determination of eligibility.

Recommendation - We recommend that DDS strengthen their controls over the preparation and monitoring of the VR’s participant case files to ensure that eligibility determinations are completed timely, that eligibility determinations receive the required approvals, and that the case files include all relevant documentation and signatures.

Related Noncompliance - Material noncompliance.

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2016

Views of Responsible Officials and Planned Corrective Actions - Upon review of the first condition, the agency concurs with the audit review. However, in one of the two cases eligibility occurred in 2010 and the other in 2015. Neither findings of noncompliance of eligibility occurred in the current year which is the year under review.

Upon review of the second condition, the agency concurs with the finding. However, the non-compliance occurred in 2015 and not the current year under review. Counselors have received trainings on eligibility determination and the required compliance.

Upon review of the third condition, the agency found that the case management system authorizations were made out to Independent Living/Foundations of Adjustment to Blindness. No corrective action or measure was required by the counselor. A corrective action is required by accounting to ensure that the ledger system charges the correct grant.

The District's corrective action is described in the Management's Corrective Action Plan included as Appendix B of the attached Management's Section.

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2016

Finding Number: 2016-008
Prior Year Finding Number: N/A
Compliance Requirement: Special Tests and Provisions - Completion of Individualized Plan for Employment (IPEs)

Program:

U.S. Department of Education

Government Department/Agency:

Department on Disability Services
(DDS)

Rehabilitation Services - Vocational Rehabilitation Grants to States

CFDA #: 84.126

Award #: H126A160011

Award Year: 10/01/15 - 09/30/2016

Criteria - The Uniform Guidance in 2 CFR Section 200.303 requires that non-Federal entities receiving Federal awards (i.e., auditee management) establish and maintain internal control designed to reasonably ensure compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the Federal award.

According to 29 U.S. Code Section 722(b)(1), "If an individual is determined to be eligible for vocational rehabilitation (VR) services, the designated State unit shall complete the assessment for determining eligibility and vocational rehabilitation needs, as appropriate, and shall provide the eligible individual or the individual's representative, in writing and in an appropriate mode of communication, with information on the individual's options for developing an individualized plan for employment."

In addition, according to 29 U.S. Code Section 722(b)(3)(F), "The individualized plan for employment shall be developed as soon as possible, but not later than a deadline of 90 days after the date of the determination of eligibility, unless the designated State unit and the eligible individual agree to an extension of that deadline to a specific date by which the individualized plan for employment shall be completed."

29 U.S. Code Section 722 (b)(3)(C) also specifies that, "An individualized plan for employment shall be:

- (i) agreed to, and signed by, such eligible individual or, as appropriate, the individual's representative; and
- (ii) approved and signed by a qualified vocational rehabilitation counselor employed by the designated State unit."

Condition - We selected a sample of 60 vocational rehabilitation program participants to test DDS compliance with Special Tests and Provisions - Completion of IPEs requirement. Based on our review, we noted that management's control over compliance with the program's Special Tests and Provisions - Completion of IPEs requirement were not operating effectively to prevent or detect noncompliance. Specifically, we noted the following:

- For two (2) out of 60 items tested, the client IPEs were completed later than 90 days from the date of determination of eligibility. No request for extension was made or issued.

Questioned Costs - Not determinable.

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2016

Context - This is a condition identified per review of DDS' compliance with specified requirements using a statistically valid sample.

Effect - DDS was not in compliance with VR program Special Tests and Provisions - Completion of IPEs requirement.

Cause - DDS did not consistently adhere to established policies and procedures regarding the timely development of IPEs, and maintenance of participant IPE case files. In particular, DDS did not perform adequate reviews to ensure that IPEs were developed within the specified time limit.

Recommendation - We recommend that DDS strengthen their controls over the development of IPE for clients to ensure that IPEs are completed timely, and that the case files include all relevant documentation and signatures.

Related Noncompliance - Noncompliance.

Views of Responsible Officials and Planned Corrective Actions - The agency concurs with the audit findings. However, non-compliance occurred in 2011 and 2015 for the referenced cases, not the current year under review. The District's corrective action is described in the Management's Corrective Action Plan included as Appendix B of the attached Management's Section.

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2016

Finding Number: 2016-009
Prior Year Finding Number: N/A
Compliance Requirement: Eligibility

Program:

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

Government Department/Agency:

Department of Human Services (DHS)

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Cluster (TANF)

CFDA #: 93.558

Award #: Various

Award Year: 10/01/2015 - 09/30/2016

Criteria - The Uniform Guidance in 2 CFR Section 200.303 requires that non-Federal entities receiving Federal awards (i.e., auditee management) establish and maintain internal control designed to reasonably ensure compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the Federal award.

Per 45 CFR Section 205.60 (a), "The State agency will maintain or supervise the maintenance of records necessary for the proper and efficient operation of the plan, including records regarding applications, determination of eligibility, the provision of financial assistance, and the use of any information obtained under Section 205.55, with respect to individual applications denied, recipients whose benefits have been terminated, recipients whose benefits have been modified, and the dollar value of these denials, terminations and modifications. Under this requirement, the agency will keep individual records which contain pertinent facts about each applicant and recipient. The records will include information concerning the date of application and the date and basis of its disposition; facts essential to the determination of initial and continuing eligibility (including the individual's social security number, need for, and provision of financial assistance); and the basis for discontinuing assistance."

Condition - During our testing over beneficiary eligibility compliance requirements of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) program, we selected a sample of 60 beneficiaries in fiscal year 2016 to test DHS' compliance with eligibility requirements. We noted the following:

- For four (4) out of 60, the applications related to the latest fiscal year 2016 recertifications shown in Automated Client Eligibility Determination System (ACEDS) were not found in the Document Imaging Management System (DIMS).
- For one (1) out of 60, DHS could not provide the last application that was submitted requesting TANF benefits, as the latest application was for the recertification of SNAP benefits only.
- For one (1) out of 60, the physical application provided had no signatures.
- For one (1) out of 60, the parentage of a child in the TANF family as reported on the application did not agree with the parentage reflected in ACEDS.
- For five (5) out of 60, the income reported on the application did not agree with the amount in ACEDS.
- For two (2) out of 60, the Social Security numbers included on the applications did not agree to ACEDS.

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2016

- For two (2) out of 60, the countable income was above the payment level for a household of three, so household should have been ineligible.
- For 60 out of 60, the Agency was unable to provide support that would allow us to test whether a TANF beneficiary had been convicted of a felony within the 10 years prior to receipt of the TANF benefits or was a fleeing felon.

These amounts represent 100% of the total eligibility amounts tested related to the 60 sampled items of \$203,068.

Questioned Costs - Known amount is \$203,068.

Context - This is a condition identified per review of DHS' compliance with specified requirements using a statistically valid sample.

Effect - Without properly maintaining documentation to support eligibility determinations, ineligible beneficiaries may receive benefits under the TANF grant and DHS may make payments on behalf of those beneficiaries resulting in noncompliance with the eligibility requirements.

Cause - DHS did not consistently adhere to its established policies and procedures requiring it to maintain documentation supporting participant eligibility.

Recommendation - We recommend that DHS strengthen its existing policies and procedures over the review and maintenance of appropriate documentation to ensure compliance with eligibility requirements.

Related Noncompliance - Material noncompliance.

Views of Responsible Officials and Planned Corrective Actions - DHS concurs with the recommendation. The agency currently has established processes for participant documents and documentation to be recorded and scanned into the Document Imaging Management System (DIMS) and entered into the customer's electronic record. The District's corrective action is described in the Management's Corrective Action Plan included as Appendix B of the attached Management's Section.

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2016

Finding Number: 2016-010
Prior Year Finding Number: N/A
Compliance Requirement: Special Tests and Provisions - Income Eligibility and Verification System

Program:

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

Government Department/Agency:

Department of Human Services (DHS)

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Cluster (TANF)
CFDA #: 93.558
Award #: Various
Award Year: 10/01/2015 - 09/30/2016

Criteria - The Uniform Guidance in 2 CFR Section 200.303 requires that non-Federal entities receiving Federal awards (i.e., auditee management) establish and maintain internal control designed to reasonably ensure compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the Federal award.

Per 45 CFR Section 205.56(a)(1)(i), "The State agency shall review and compare the information obtained from each data exchange against information contained in the case record to determine whether it affects the applicant's or the recipient's eligibility or the amount of assistance."

Per 45 CFR Section 205.60 (a), "The State agency will maintain or supervise the maintenance of records necessary for the proper and efficient operation of the plan, including records regarding applications, determination of eligibility, the provision of financial assistance, and the use of any information obtained under Section 205.55, with respect to individual applications denied, recipients whose benefits have been terminated, recipients whose benefits have been modified, and the dollar value of these denials, terminations and modifications. Under this requirement, the agency will keep individual records which contain pertinent facts about each applicant and recipient. The records will include information concerning the date of application and the date and basis of its disposition; facts essential to the determination of initial and continuing eligibility (including the individual's social security number, need for, and provision of financial assistance); and the basis for discontinuing assistance."

Condition - During our test work of 60 cases selected to test the Special Tests and Provisions - Income Eligibility and Verification System, we noted that DHS was unable to provide sufficient documentation to support all eligibility determinations tested during fiscal year 2016 audit. Specifically, out of the 60 beneficiary disbursements tested, we noted the following exceptions:

- One (1) instance where there was a payment made to an individual who was not eligible for TANF benefits.
- Twenty-one (21) instances where the Social Services Representative (SSR) failed to include the Beneficiary Data Exchange (BENDEX) print-screen in the application package in the Document Imaging Management System (DIMS). BENDEX is a daily computer match by Social Security numbers between the public assistance case files and Social Security records. DIMS is the depository used by DHS for all applications and related documents.

Questioned Costs - Not determinable.

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2016

Context - This is a condition identified per review of DHS' compliance with specified requirements using a statistically valid sample.

Effect - The District is not in full compliance with its policies and with Federal program compliance requirements surrounding records maintenance. Further, ineligible TANF beneficiaries may receive benefits under the TANF grant and the District may make payment on behalf of those beneficiaries.

Cause - Controls are not adequate to ensure that the District adheres to its established policies and procedures requiring it to maintain documentation supporting participant eligibility.

Recommendation - We recommend that DHS enforce existing policies and procedures and implement additional policies and procedures for maintaining and monitoring case record documentation to ensure that Income Eligibility and Verification System requirements are complied with.

Related Noncompliance - Material noncompliance.

Views of Responsible Officials and Planned Corrective Actions - DHS concurs with the recommendation. The District's corrective action is described in the Management's Corrective Action Plan included as Appendix B of the attached Management's Section.

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2016

Finding Number: 2016-011
Prior Year Finding Number: 2015-019
Compliance Requirement: Special Tests and Provisions - Penalty for Refusal to Work

Program:

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Cluster (TANF)
CFDA #: 93.558
Award #: Various
Award Year: 10/01/2015 - 09/30/2016

Government Department/Agency:

Department of Human Services
(DHS)/Economic Security
Administration (ESA)

Criteria - The Uniform Guidance in 2 CFR Section 200.303 requires that non-Federal entities receiving Federal awards (i.e., auditee management) establish and maintain internal control designed to reasonably ensure compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the Federal award.

Per 45 CFR Section 261.14 (a) and (b), "If an individual refuses to engage in work required under Section 407 of the Act, the State must reduce or terminate the amount of assistance payable to the family, subject to any good cause or other exceptions the State may establish. Such reduction is governed by the provisions of 45 CFR Section 261.16. The State must, at a minimum, reduce the amount of assistance otherwise payable to the family pro rata with respect to any period during the month in which the individual refuses to work. The State may impose a greater reduction, including terminating assistance."

Condition - During our test work of 60 samples selected to test the Special Tests and Provisions - Penalty for Refusal to Work, we noted 10 instances where beneficiaries continued to receive full TANF funds although there were periods where the beneficiaries did not work and there was no good cause noted to explain why the beneficiaries were not working. Total payments made to these 10 beneficiaries for the months that they did not work subsequent to when they should have been sanctioned were \$16,206.

Questioned Costs - Not determinable.

Context - This is a condition identified per review of DHS' compliance with specified requirements using a statistically valid sample.

Effect - Participants may erroneously receive full federal benefits, when they should have sanctions to reduce their federal benefits under the TANF program.

Cause - Controls are not operating effectively to ensure that the TANF program applies appropriate sanctions on participants who refuse to fulfill the minimum working requirements to receive or maintain benefits.

Recommendation - We recommend that DHS enforce existing policies and procedures and implement additional policies and procedures to ensure that Penalty for Refusal to Work requirements are complied with.

Related Noncompliance - Material noncompliance.

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2016

Views of Responsible Officials and Planned Corrective Actions - DHS agrees with this finding. The District's corrective action is described in the Management's Corrective Action Plan included as Appendix B of the attached Management's Section.

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2016

Finding Number: 2016-012
Prior Year Finding Number: 2015-018
Compliance Requirement: Reporting
Special Tests and Provisions - Penalty for Failure to Comply With Work Verification Plan

Program:

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

Government Department/Agency:

Department of Human Services (DHS)

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Cluster (TANF)

CFDA #: 93.558

Award #: Various

Award Year: 10/01/2015 - 09/30/2016

Criteria - The Uniform Guidance in 2 CFR Section 200.303 requires that non-Federal entities receiving Federal awards (i.e., auditee management) establish and maintain internal control designed to reasonably ensure compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the Federal award.

Per 45 CFR Section 261.61 (a), "A State must support each individual's hours of participation with documentation in the case file. In accordance with Section 261.62, a State must describe in its Work Verification Plan the documentation it uses to verify hours of participation in each activity."

According to the DC State Verification Plan, the D.C. Department of Human Services (DHS), Department of Human Services Monitoring Unit reviews and audits all documentation submitted by vendors reflecting the activities of recipients in TANF Employment program. This documentation includes time sheets, activity logs, school records, pay stubs, and verification of employment, work experience and on-the-job training. The Monitoring Unit completes this audit process to determine if sufficient documentation exists to substantiate reported time and attendance data, to warrant a payment to TANF Employment program vendors, and submission of countable hours for federal reporting purposes.

Per 45 CFR Section 265.7 (a)-(c), "Each State's quarterly reports (the TANF Data Report, the TANF Financial Report (or Territorial Financial Report), and the SSP-MOE Data Report) must be complete and accurate and filed by the due date."

For disaggregated data report, 'a complete and accurate report' means that:

- (1) The reported data accurately reflect information available to the State in case records, financial records, and automated data systems, and include correction of the quarterly data by the end of the fiscal year reporting period;
- (2) The data are free from computational errors and are internally consistent (e.g., items that should add to totals do so);
- (3) The State reports data for all required elements (i.e., no data are missing);
- (4)(i) The State provides data on all families; or (ii) if the State opts to use sampling, the State reports data on all families selected in a sample that meets the specification and procedures in the TANF Sampling Manual (except for families listed in error); and

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2016

- (5) Where estimates are necessary (e.g., some types of assistance may require cost estimates), the State uses reasonable methods to develop these estimates.

For an aggregated data report, "a complete and accurate report" means that:

- (1) The reported data accurately reflect information available to the State in case records, financial records, and automated data systems;
- (2) The data are free from computational errors and are internally consistent (e.g., items that should add to totals do so);
- (3) The State reports data on all applicable elements; and
- (4) Monthly totals are unduplicated counts for all families (e.g., the number of families and the number of out-of-wedlock births are unduplicated counts)."

45 CFR Section 265.7 (f) states that "States must maintain records to adequately support any report, in accordance with Section 75.361 through 75.370 of this title."

Condition - During our test work over the Special Tests and Provisions - Penalty for Failure to Comply with Work Verification Plan and Reporting, we noted:

- For one (1) out of 60 cases tested, DHS was unable to provide any supporting documentation to substantiate the reported participation hours in the ACF-199, TANF Data Report (OMB No. 0970-0309) as required by the DC Work Verification Plan and the Federal Regulation. DHS reported the individual as having met the requirements.
- For five (5) out of 60 cases tested, DHS was unable to provide adequate supporting documentation to substantiate the reported participation hours in the ACF-199, TANF Data Report (OMB No. 0970-0309) as required by the DC Work Verification Plan and the Federal Regulation. DHS reported the individual as having met the requirements.
- For one (1) out of 60 cases tested, DHS had individuals who did not meet the federal work requirements for participation in TANF but were reported as having met the requirements.

In addition, during our test of the design and implementation of internal controls over Reporting and to further test the completeness and accuracy of the ACF-199 report, we selected a certain beneficiary to check whether the beneficiary is properly included or excluded in the report. Upon review of the supporting documentation provided by DHS, we noted that the TANF beneficiary received federally subsidized child care. However, in the ACF-199 report DHS erroneously reported that the beneficiary did not receive subsidized child care.

Questioned Costs - Not determinable.

Context - This is a condition identified per review of DHS' compliance with specified requirements using a statistically valid sample.

Effect - Data within the ACF-199 report may not be complete and accurate. Specifically, if the work participation data is not substantiated, or inconsistencies are noted, it may result in inaccurate data being reported and may lead to an incorrect ACF-199 report, and could result in an incorrect allocation of Federal Funds to the state.

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2016

Cause - Controls are not operating effectively over the documentation of work participation data to ensure that adequate evidence of the work participation is maintained.

Recommendation - We recommend that DHS enforce existing policies and procedures and implement additional controls to ensure that adequate documentation is maintained to substantiate the work participation data reported in the ACF-199 report in accordance with the District of Columbia Work Verification Plan.

We also recommend that DHS implement policies, procedures and controls that will enable an accurate reconciliation between the data sources used in the preparation of the ACF-199 report to ensure proper reporting of data elements, such as child care subsidies.

Related Noncompliance - Noncompliance.

Views of Responsible Officials and Planned Corrective Actions - DHS concurs with the auditor's findings and recommendations. The District's corrective action is described in the Management's Corrective Action Plan included as Appendix B of the attached Management's Section.

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2016

Finding Number: 2016-013
Prior Year Finding Number: N/A
Compliance Requirement: Eligibility

Program:

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

Government Department/Agency:

Department of Energy & Environment
(DOEE)

Low-Income Home Energy Assistance Program (LIHEAP)

CFDA #: 93.568

Award #: G-16B1DCLIEA

Award Year: 10/01/2015 - 09/30/2017

Criteria - The Uniform Guidance in 2 CFR Section 200.303 requires that non-Federal entities receiving Federal awards (i.e., auditee management) establish and maintain internal control designed to reasonably ensure compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the Federal award.

The OMB Compliance Supplement states that "grantees may provide assistance to (a) households in which one or more individuals are receiving Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF), Supplemental Security Income (SSI), Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) benefits, or certain needs-tested veterans benefits; or (b) households with incomes which do not exceed the greater of 150 percent of the State's established poverty level, or 60 percent of the State median income. Grantees may establish lower income eligibility criteria, but no household may be excluded solely on the basis of income if the household income is less than 110 percent of the State's poverty level. Grantees may give priority to those households with the highest home energy costs or needs in relation to income (42 USC 8624(b)(2))."

Per 42 U.S. Code Section 8624(b)(2):

"The chief executive officer of each State shall certify that the State agrees to make payments under this subchapter only with respect to:

- (A) Households in which 1 or more individuals are receiving:
 - (i) Assistance under the State program founded under part A of the title IV of the Social Security Act;
 - (ii) supplemental security income payments under title XVI of the Social Security Act;
 - (iii) supplemental nutrition assistance program benefits under the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008; or
 - (iv) payments under section 1315, 1521, 1541, or 1542 of title 38, or under section 306 of the Veterans' and Survivors' Pension Improvement Act of 1978; or
- (B) Households with incomes which do not exceed the greater of:
 - (i) An amount equal to 150 percent of the poverty level for such State; or
 - (ii) An amount equal to 60 percent of the State median income."

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2016

Condition - We selected a sample of 40 individuals in fiscal year 2016 to test DOEE's compliance with eligibility requirements. We noted the following:

- Two (2) out of 40 had household income incorrectly recorded into the system by the same intake processor. There was evidence of review by a second reviewer, but these discrepancies were not caught. As a result, benefit payments were overpaid by a total of \$351.
- Eleven (11) out of 40 were missing the evidence of review by a second reviewer other than the intake processors.

Questioned Costs - Known amount is \$351.

Context - This is a condition identified per review of DOEE's compliance with specified requirements using a statistically valid sample.

Effect - Without proper review by second reviewers, ineligible beneficiaries may receive benefits or eligible beneficiaries may receive higher benefit payments.

Cause - It appears that DOEE does have internal controls in place but were not operating effectively over the eligibility application process to ensure that eligible beneficiaries' household income are accurately entered into the system and properly reviewed by second reviewers.

Recommendation - We recommend that DOEE strengthen their existing policies and procedures to require the review by the second reviewers, other than intake processors, to be done timely and to ensure household information including household incomes, household sizes, etc. are correctly recorded into the system based on supporting documentation.

Related Noncompliance - Noncompliance.

Views of Responsible Officials and Planned Corrective Actions - DOEE is committed to operating an efficient and effective LIHEAP program in the District. DOEE notes that out of the 40 samples reviewed, the eligibility criteria stated above was met as none of the households reviewed had incomes that exceeded 60 percent of the State median income per 42 U.S. Code Section 8624(b)(2).

Vendor agreements are in place that require the refund of a benefit amount if the benefit cannot be applied to the account (due to moving, death, conversion to other heating or cooling source, or a payment made in error). DOEE has requested a refund from the utilities of the \$351 that was paid in error.

In fiscal year 2016, unlike previous years, DOEE was provided with an additional source of funding that allowed the program to stay open for the full fiscal year. This allowed DOEE to assist more households; however, it also meant that staff did not have the same availability of time to complete all of the secondary reviews. In addition, multiple staff members may be on leave for personal or medical reasons, which results in further limitations on staffing capacity (and is currently taking place in fiscal year 2017).

Finally, DOEE notes that all of the samples were processed according to the Program Integrity plan found in Section 17 of the District's federally-approved LIHEAP State Plan. This federally-approved State Plan does not require secondary reviews for all LIHEAP applications. For example, the Ohio Department of Development randomly selects approximately seven percent of all application files as

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2016

part of the quality control monitoring. DOEE reviewed the recommendation in the U.S. Government Accountability Office's Report to Congressional Requestors on the Low-Income Home Energy Assistance Program; Greater Fraud Prevention Controls Are Needed (June 2010)) and the Final Report of the LIHEAP Program Integrity Working Group, National Center for Appropriate Technology (April, 2012) in preparing this response.

The District's corrective action is described in the Management's Corrective Action Plan included as Appendix B of the attached Management's Section.

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2016

Finding Number: 2016-014
Prior Year Finding Number: 2015-020
Compliance Requirement: Activities Allowed or Unallowed and Allowable Costs/Cost Principles
Period of Performance

Program:

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

Government Department/Agency:

District of Columbia Public Schools
(DCPS)

Head Start

CFDA #: 93.600

Award #: 03CH010214-01-00, 03CH010214-02-00, 03CH3445-02-00, 03CH3445-03-01

Award Year: 07/01/2015 - 08/31/2017

Criteria - The Uniform Guidance in 2 CFR Section 200.303 requires that non-Federal entities receiving Federal awards (i.e., auditee management) establish and maintain internal control designed to reasonably ensure compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the Federal award.

Per 2 CFR Section 200.430 Compensation - Personal Services:

"Costs of compensation are allowable to the extent that they satisfy the specific requirements of this part, and that the total compensation for individual employees:

- (1) Is reasonable for the services rendered and conforms to the establish written policy of the non-Federal entity consistently applied to both Federal and non-Federal activities;
- (2) Follows an appointment made in accordance with a non-Federal entity's laws and/or rules or written policies and meets the requirements of Federal statute, where applicable; and
- (3) Is determined and supported as provided in paragraph (i) of this section, Standards for Documentation of Personnel Expenses, when applicable."

2 CFR Section 200.430(i):

"*Standards for Documentation of Personnel Expenses* (1) Charges to Federal awards for salaries and wages must be based on records that accurately reflect the work performed. These records must:

- (i) Be supported by a system of internal control which provides reasonable assurance that the charges are accurate, allowable, and properly allocated;
- (ii) Be incorporated into the official records of the non-Federal entity;
- (iii) Reasonably reflect the total activity for which the employee is compensated by the non-Federal entity, not exceeding 100% of compensated activities;
- (iv) Encompass both federally assisted and all other activities compensated by the non-Federal entity on an integrated basis, but may include the use of subsidiary records as defined in the non-Federal entity's written policy;
- (v) Comply with the established accounting policies and practices of the non-Federal entity;
- (vi) [Reserved]

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2016

- (vii) Support the distribution of the employee's salary or wages among specific activities or cost objectives if the employee works on more than one Federal award; a Federal award and non-Federal award; an indirect cost activity and a direct cost activity; two or more indirect activities which are allocated using different allocation bases; or an unallowable activity and a direct or indirect cost activity.
- (viii) Budget estimates (i.e., estimates determined before the services are performed) alone do not qualify as support for charges to Federal awards."

2 CFR Section 200.309 states, "A non-Federal entity may charge to the Federal award only allowable costs incurred during the period of performance and any costs incurred before the Federal awarding agency or pass-through entity made the Federal award that were authorized by the Federal awarding agency or pass-through entity."

Condition - In fiscal year 2016, the District of Columbia Public Schools (DCPS) had \$10,737,050 in payroll and benefits expenditures for the Head Start (HS) program. During our testwork over the activities allowed or unallowed and allowable costs/cost principles requirements, we noted that management's process for documenting employee time and effort spent on certain program activities was not in compliance with the standards prescribed by 2 CFR Section 200.430. Specifically, we noted the following:

- For one (1) of the 60 samples tested for payroll and benefits expenditures, we noted DCPS was not able to provide the time and effort certification for the selected individual.
- For two (2) of 60 samples testing for payroll and benefits expenditures, a portion of the payroll and benefits costs charged to the program were outside the period of performance.

Questioned Costs - Not determinable.

Context - This is a condition identified per review of DCPS' compliance with specified requirements using a statistically valid sample.

Effect - DCPS was not in compliance with the allowable costs/activities compliance requirements for the HS program.

Cause - DCPS is not adhering to its internal policies and procedures to ensure that payroll and benefits program allocations are fully supported by actual time worked by the employee in accordance with the prescribed standards. Also, DCPS is not adhering to its internal policies and procedures to ensure that allowable costs charged to the award are incurred within the period of performance.

Recommendation - We recommend that DCPS ensure adherence to its policies and procedures on preparation and retention of time and effort certifications in order to ensure that only allowable payroll and fringe benefit costs are charged to the program. Further, we recommend that DCPS enhance processes and controls to ensure that only expenses incurred within the period of performance are charged to the program.

Related Noncompliance - Noncompliance.

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2016

Views of Responsible Officials and Planned Corrective Actions - DCPS concurs with the auditor's findings and recommendations. The District's corrective action is described in the Management's Corrective Action Plan included as Appendix B of the attached Management's Section.

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2016

Finding Number: 2016-015
Prior Year Finding Number: N/A
Compliance Requirement: Activities Allowed or Unallowed and Allowable Costs/Cost Principles

Program:

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

Government Department/Agency:

Child and Family Services Agency
(CFSA)

Foster Care - Title IV-E

CFDA #: 93.658

Award #: Various

Award Year: 10/01/2015 - 09/30/2016

Criteria - The Uniform Guidance in 2 CFR Section 200.303 requires that non-Federal entities receiving Federal awards (i.e., auditee management) establish and maintain internal control designed to reasonably ensure compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the Federal award.

Per 45 CFR Section 1356.60(c)(3), "Allowable administrative costs do not include the costs of social services provided to the child, the child's family or foster family which provide counseling or treatment to ameliorate or remedy personal problems, behaviors or home conditions."

In addition, costs claimed as foster care maintenance payments that include medical, educational or other expenses not outlined in 42 U.S. Code Section 675(4)(A) are unallowed.

Per 42 U.S. Code Section 675(4)(A), "The term "foster care maintenance payments" means payments to cover the cost of (and the cost of providing) food, clothing, shelter, daily supervision, school supplies, a child's personal incidentals, liability insurance with respect to a child, reasonable travel to the child's home for visitation, and reasonable travel for the child to remain in the school in which the child is enrolled at the time of placement. In the case of institutional care, such term shall include the reasonable costs of administration and operation of such institution as are necessarily required."

Condition - During our testing over allowability, we noted that CFSA does not have adequate controls in place to ensure compliance with allowable cost requirements. Specifically, for five (5) of 60 samples, we noted that the cost of services related to mental health services and educational tutoring, which are not allowed as per criteria above, were charged to the program. These amounts represent 2% of the total sampled items related to the 60 sampled items of \$10,929,843.

Questioned Costs - Known amount is \$242,531.

Context - This is a condition identified per review of CFSA's compliance with specified requirements using a statistically valid sample.

Effect - Without adequate controls in place to ensure costs are properly reviewed for allowability, CFSA could be noncompliant with the allowability requirement and could request funds for costs that are unallowed.

Cause - Management is not adhering to their internal policies and procedures to ensure that transactions are adequately reviewed and approved to ensure they are allowable costs.

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2016

Recommendation - We recommend that CFSA strengthen their policies and procedures requiring adequate review of transactions to ensure they are allowable costs.

Related Noncompliance - Noncompliance.

Views of Responsible Officials and Planned Corrective Actions - The Child and Family Services Agency (CFSA) concurs with the facts of the finding, with explanation.

Of the overall questioned costs identified by the auditor, three expenditures totaling \$117,860 were inadvertently reported on the fiscal year 2016 Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (SEFA) for this grant; however, CFSA did not use these expenditures as part of its claiming for Federal reimbursement under this grant.

The District's corrective action is described in the Management's Corrective Action Plan included as Appendix B of the attached Management's Section.

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2016

Finding Number: 2016-016
Prior Year Finding Number: 2015-022
Compliance Requirement: Cash Management

Program:

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

Government Department/Agency:

Child and Family Services Agency
(CFSA)

Foster Care - Title IV-E

CFDA #: 93.658

Award #: Various

Award Year: 10/01/2015 - 09/30/2016

Criteria - The Uniform Guidance in 2 CFR Section 200.303 requires that non-Federal entities receiving Federal awards (i.e., auditee management) establish and maintain internal control designed to reasonably ensure compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the Federal award.

Per 2 CFR Section 200.305(a), "For states, payments are governed by Treasury-State CMIA agreements and default procedures codified at 31 CFR Part 205."

Per 31 CFR Section 205.11(a), "A State and a Federal Program Agency must minimize the time elapsing between the transfer of funds from the United States Treasury and the State's payout of funds for Federal assistance program purposes, whether the transfer occurs before or after the payout of funds."

Condition - We reviewed eight (8) out of the 20 drawdowns made during fiscal year 2016 and noted that:

- For six (6) out of 8 drawdowns tested, CFSA requested funding before the actual expenditures were incurred; and
- For three (3) out of 8 drawdowns tested, drawdowns were not supported by the actual expenditures incurred and reported in the D.C. System of Accounting and Reporting (SOAR).

The terms of the Treasury-State CMIA agreement for the transfer of financial assistance funds for the program explicitly states that the State request funds only after related cash expenditures have been recorded in SOAR.

Questioned Costs - Not determinable.

Context - This is a condition identified per review of CFSA's compliance with specified requirements using a statistically valid sample.

Effect - CFSA is not in compliance with the provisions of the CMIA agreement and cash management requirements. Requests for federal funds for the program were not based on the amount of actual disbursements and requests did not support the actual expenditures.

Cause - CFSA did not appear to exercise due diligence in requesting federal funds consistent with the CMIA agreement and its actual cash needs.

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2016

Recommendation - We recommend that CFSA comply with the provisions of the CMIA agreement and request funds to meet actual and immediate program needs. In addition, CFSA must ensure that the expenditure detail supports the draw down made.

Related Noncompliance - Material noncompliance.

Views of Responsible Officials and Planned Corrective Actions - The Child and Family Services Agency (CFSA) concurs with the facts of the finding, with explanation. The District's corrective action is described in the Management's Corrective Action Plan included as Appendix B of the attached Management's Section.

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2016

Finding Number: 2016-017
Prior Year Finding Number: 2015-023
Compliance Requirement: Eligibility

Program:

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

Government Department/Agency:

Child and Family Services Agency
(CFSA)

Foster Care - Title IV-E

CFDA #: 93.658

Award #: Various

Award Year: 10/01/2015 - 09/30/2016

Criteria - The Uniform Guidance in 2 CFR Section 200.303 requires that non-Federal entities receiving Federal awards (i.e., auditee management) establish and maintain internal control designed to reasonably ensure compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the Federal award.

45 CFR Section 92.20(b)(2), "Accounting records, "Grantees and sub grantees must maintain records which adequately identify the source and application of funds provided for financially assisted activities. These records must contain information pertaining to grant or subgrant awards and authorizations, obligations, unobligated balances, assets, liabilities, outlays or expenditures, and income."

45 CFR Section 1356.30(f), "In order for a child care institution to be eligible for title IV-E funding, the licensing file for the institution must contain documentation which verifies that safety considerations with respect to the staff of the institution have been addressed."

45 CFR Section 1356.30(a) states, "The Title IV-E agency must provide documentation that criminal records checks have been conducted with respect to prospective foster and adoptive parents."

42 U.S. Code Section 671(a)(20)(A), "In order for a State to be eligible for payments under this part, it shall have a plan approved by the Secretary which provides procedures for criminal records checks of national crime information databases for any prospective foster or adoptive parent before the foster or adoptive parent may be finally approved for placement of a child regardless of whether foster care maintenance payments or adoption assistance payments are to be made on behalf of the child under the State plan."

42 U.S. Code Section 671(a)(20)(B), "In order for a State to be eligible for payments under this part, it shall have a plan approved by the Secretary which provides that the State shall check any child abuse and neglect registry maintained by the State for information on any prospective foster or adoptive parent and on any other adult living in the home of such a prospective parent, and request any other State in which any such prospective parent or other adult has resided in the preceding 5 years, to enable the State to check any child abuse and neglect registry maintained by such other State for such information, before the prospective foster or adoptive parent may be finally approved for placement of a child."

Furthermore, per 45 CFR Section 1356.21(a), "Statutory and regulatory requirements of the Federal foster care program, "To implement the foster care maintenance payments program provisions of the title IV-E plan and to be eligible to receive Federal financial participation (FFP) for foster care

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2016

maintenance payments under this part, a Title IV-E agency must meet the requirements of this section, 45 CFR 1356.22, 45 CFR 1356.30, and Parts 472, 475(1), 475(4), 475(5), 475(6)."

Condition - During our audit we noted that in fiscal year 2016, the Foster Care program had total disbursements of \$17,637,733 for Maintenance payments. We selected a sample of 60 participants representing disbursed federal funds totaling \$53,430, we noted the following deficiencies:

- For two (2) of 60 samples, CFSA was not able to provide the licensing documentation for the selected individuals.
- For four (4) of 60 samples, the licenses provided by CFSA for review did not pertain to the period under audit.
- For eight (8) of 60 samples, CFSA did not provide evidence that criminal record checks, including fingerprint-based checks from the national crime information databases were performed.
- For three (3) of 60 samples, CFSA did not provide evidence that criminal record checks and child protection registry checks were performed for one (1) household member over the age of 18 or facilities staff.
- For two (2) of 60 samples, the neglect registry check provided for review by CFSA did not pertain to the period under audit.

These deficiencies represent 17% of the total disbursements tested.

Questioned Costs - Known amount is \$8,934.

Context - This is a condition identified per review of CFSA's compliance with specified requirements using a statistically valid sample.

Effect - CFSA was not in compliance with the eligibility requirements of the Foster Care program.

Cause - CFSA does not have adequate controls in place to ensure that eligibility files are being properly reviewed and the required documentation is being maintained to evidence compliance with eligibility requirements.

Recommendation - We recommend CFSA strengthen their existing policies and procedures over the review and maintenance of appropriate documentation to ensure compliance with eligibility requirements.

Related Noncompliance - Material noncompliance.

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2016

Views of Responsible Officials and Planned Corrective Actions - CFSA concurs with the facts of all of the findings regarding licensing documentation, criminal record check, and registry checks. The District's corrective action is described in the Management's Corrective Action Plan included as Appendix B of the attached Management's Section.

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2016

Finding Number: 2016-018
Prior Year Finding Number: 2015-024
Compliance Requirement: Matching, Level of Effort, Earmarking
Reporting

Program:

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

Government Department/Agency:

Child and Family Services Agency
(CFSA)

Foster Care - Title IV-E

CFDA #: 93.658

Award #: Various

Award Year: 10/01/2015 - 09/30/2016

Criteria - The Uniform Guidance in 2 CFR Section 200.303 requires that non-Federal entities receiving Federal awards (i.e., auditee management) establish and maintain internal control designed to reasonably ensure compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the Federal award.

2 CFR Section 215.23(a)(4) states, "All contributions, including cash and third party in-kind shall be accepted as part of the recipients' cost sharing or matching when such contribution are allowable under the applicable cost principles."

42 U.S. Code Section 674(a)(1) and 45 CFR Section 1356.60(a), "The percentage of Federal funding in Foster Care maintenance payment will be the Federal Medical Assistance program (FMAP) percentage."

Also, per OMB No. 0970-0205, Form CB-496, *Title IV-E Programs Quarterly Financial Report*, "is required to be submitted at the end of each fiscal quarter by each State or Tribe with an approved plan under title IV-E of the Social Security Act to administer the Foster Care, Adoption Assistance, and Guardianship Assistance programs. In submitting this form, each State or Tribal grantee meets its statutory and regulatory requirements to report actual program expenditures made in the preceding fiscal quarter and to provide an estimate of program expenditures anticipated in the upcoming fiscal quarter."

Condition - During our test work of reporting and matching over the total expenditures incurred in the third quarter of fiscal year 2016, we noted that CFSA overstated the total reported expenditures for the Title IV-E waiver provision by \$1,089,475.

We further noted that total demonstration project costs computed in Part 3, line 25c of Form CB-496 was incorrectly rolled to Part 1 causing total expenditures being overstated by \$4,329,055. This resulted in an overstatement of funds drawn down during the period by \$2,382,422 and the local amount matched was overstated by \$1,946,633 over the required match.

Questioned Costs - \$2,382,422.

Context - This is a condition identified per review of CFSA's compliance with specified requirements.

Effect - Without adequate internal controls over reporting and matching requirements, CFSA was not able to demonstrate compliance with various program compliance requirements.

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2016

Cause - CFSA incorrectly included amounts for the Title IV-E waiver provision expenditures. Funds reported have matching rates applied when allowable expenditures are claimed on the Children's Bureau (CB) 496 Title IV-E Programs Quarterly Financial Report. CFSA has overstated the reported balances of the CB 496 report for the 3rd quarter over the actual expenditures incurred, which resulted in an overstated matched amount.

Recommendation - We recommend that CFSA enhance the review process to ensure that reported expenditures match the actual expenditures incurred and enhance its review of compliance with the matching requirements.

Related Noncompliance - Material noncompliance.

Views of Responsible Officials and Planned Corrective Actions - The Child and Family Services Agency (CFSA) concurs with the facts of the finding, with explanation.

With regard to the overstated expenditures for the Title IV-E waiver program, CFSA concurs with this portion of the finding. CFSA has submitted a prior period adjustment to address claims on this portion of the overstated expenditures.

With regard to the overstated expenditures resulting from data entry issues on the Federal government's electronic Form CB-496, CFSA also concurs with this portion of the finding.

The District's corrective action is described in the Management's Corrective Action Plan included as Appendix B of the attached Management's Section.

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2016

Finding Number: 2016-019
Prior Year Finding Number: N/A
Compliance Requirement: Period of Performance

Program:

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

Foster Care - Title IV-E

CFDA #: 93.658

Award #: Various

Award Year: 10/01/2015 - 09/30/2016

Government Department/Agency:

Child and Family Services Agency
(CFSA)

Criteria - The Uniform Guidance in 2 CFR Section 200.303 requires that non-Federal entities receiving Federal awards (i.e., auditee management) establish and maintain internal control designed to reasonably ensure compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the Federal award.

Per 2 CFR Section 200.309, "A non-Federal entity may charge to the Federal award only allowable costs incurred during the period of performance and any costs incurred before the Federal awarding agency or pass-through entity made the Federal award that were authorized by the Federal awarding agency or pass-through entity."

Condition - During our testing over CFSA's compliance with the period of performance requirements for Foster Care - Title IV-E program, specifically, for one (1) of 60 samples, we noted Federal funds were expended for services outside of the period of performance for the Federal award for fiscal year 2016. This amount represents 3% of the total sampled items related to the 60 sampled items of \$10,929,843.

Questioned Costs - Known amount is \$356,134.

Context - This is a condition identified per review of CFSA's compliance with specified requirements using a statistically valid sample.

Effect - Without effective controls over the period of performance of the program expenditures, there is an increased risk that CFSA may be noncompliant with Federal requirements.

Cause - Controls were not in place and operating effectively over the period of performance of program expenditures.

Recommendation - We recommend that CFSA strengthen management's review of the period of performance requirements to ensure program expenditures are incurred within the period of performance of the Federal award.

Related Noncompliance - Noncompliance.

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2016

Views of Responsible Officials and Planned Corrective Actions - The Child and Family Services Agency (CFSA) concurs with the facts of the finding, with explanation.

During fiscal year 2016, under local statutory authority, CFSA entered into a grant with a vendor to provide services during the two subsequent fiscal years. This fiscal year 2016 expenditure was inadvertently reported on the fiscal year 2016 Schedule of Federal Assistance (SEFA) for this grant; however, CFSA did not use this expenditure as part of its claiming for Federal reimbursement under this grant.

The District's corrective action is described in the Management's Corrective Action Plan included as Appendix B of the attached Management's Section.

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2016

Finding Number: 2016-020
Prior Year Finding Number: 2015-025
Compliance Requirement: Special Tests and Provisions - Payment Rate Setting and Application

Program:

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

Government Department/Agency:

Child and Family Services Agency
(CFSA)

Foster Care - Title IV-E

CFDA #: 93.658

Award #: Various

Award Year: 10/01/2015 - 09/30/2016

Criteria - The Uniform Guidance in 2 CFR Section 200.303 requires that non-Federal entities receiving Federal awards (i.e., auditee management) establish and maintain internal control designed to reasonably ensure compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the Federal award.

According to 42 U.S. Code Section 671(a)(11); 45 CFR Section 1356.21(m)(1); and 45 CFR Section 1356.60(a)(1) and (c), Title IV-E agencies establish payment rates for maintenance payments (e.g., payments to foster parents, child care institutions or directly to youth). Payment rates may also be established for Title IV-E administrative expenditures (e.g., payments to child placement agencies or other contractors, which may be either subrecipients or vendors) and for other services. Payment rates must provide for proper allocation of costs between Foster Care maintenance payments, administrative expenditures, and other services in conformance with the cost principles. The Title IV-E agency's plan approved by Administration for Children and Families (ACF) must provide for periodic review of payment rates for Foster Care maintenance payments at reasonable, specific, time-limited periods established by the Title IV-E agency to assure the rate's continuing appropriateness for the administration of the Title IV-E program.

Condition - During our compliance test work over the Special Tests and Provisions - Payment Rate Setting and Application for administrative services, we noted 33 exceptions out of 60 items selected for testing. Specifically, we noted that for 33 beneficiaries, the administrative rates claimed for family based services did not agree with calculated rates based upon the federally approved methodology for administrative services. BDO also noted that days claimed under the approved rates were overstated by a total of 9 days for congregate and 137 days for family based services.

Questioned Costs - Known amount is \$9,882.

Context - This is a condition identified per review of CFSA's compliance with specified requirements using a statistically valid sample.

Effect - CFSA did not comply with the contracted rate and established rate requirements for administrative services. These errors were identified in administrative rates paid to 9 providers of family based services.

Cause - A thorough review was not performed of both the underlying data and the results of the calculated rates to ensure that the administrative rates charged were accurate.

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2016

Recommendation - We recommend that CFSA implement policies, procedures and controls to ensure that administrative rate charges are independently reviewed, accurate and supported.

Related Noncompliance - Material noncompliance.

Views of Responsible Officials and Planned Corrective Actions - The Child and Family Services Agency (CFSA) concurs with the facts of the finding. The District's corrective action is described in the Management's Corrective Action Plan included as Appendix B of the attached Management's Section.

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2016

Finding Number: 2016-021
Prior Year Finding Number: 2015-028
Compliance Requirement: Cash Management

Program:

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

Government Department/Agency:

Child and Family Services Agency
(CFSA)

Adoption Assistance - Title IV-E

CFDA #: 93.659

Award #: Various

Award Year: 10/01/2015 - 09/30/2016

Criteria - The Uniform Guidance in 2 CFR Section 200.303 requires that non-Federal entities receiving Federal awards (i.e., auditee management) establish and maintain internal control designed to reasonably ensure compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the Federal award.

Per 31 CFR Section 205.11(a), "A State and a Federal Program Agency must minimize the time elapsing between the transfer of funds from the United States Treasury and the State's payout of funds for Federal assistance program purposes, whether the transfer occurs before or after the payout of funds."

Condition - We reviewed four (4) out of the 8 drawdowns made during fiscal year 2016 and noted that:

- For one (1) out of 4 drawdowns tested, CFSA requested funding before the actual expenditures were incurred; and
- For one (1) out of 4 drawdowns tested, drawdown was not supported by the actual expenditures incurred and reported in the D.C. System of Accounting and Reporting (SOAR).

Questioned Costs - Not determinable.

Context - This is a condition identified per review of CFSA's compliance with specified requirements using a statistically valid sample.

Effect - CFSA is not in compliance with the provisions of the cash management requirements. Requests for federal funds for the program were not based on the amount of actual disbursements and requests did not support the actual expenditures.

Cause - CFSA did not appear to exercise due diligence in requesting federal funds consistent with its actual cash needs.

Recommendation - We recommend that CFSA request funds to meet actual and immediate program needs. In addition, CFSA must ensure that the expenditure detail supports the draw down made.

Related Noncompliance - Material noncompliance.

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2016

Views of Responsible Officials and Planned Corrective Actions - The Child and Family Services Agency (CFSA) concurs with the facts of the finding, with explanation. The District's corrective action is described in the Management's Corrective Action Plan included as Appendix B of the attached Management's Section.

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2016

Finding Number: 2016-022
Prior Year Finding Number: 2015-029
Compliance Requirement: Eligibility

Program:

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

Government Department/Agency:

Child and Family Services Agency
(CFSA)

Adoption Assistance - Title IV-E

CFDA #: 93.659

Award #: Various

Award Year: 10/01/2015 - 09/30/2016

Criteria - The Uniform Guidance in 2 CFR Section 200.303 requires that non-Federal entities receiving Federal awards (i.e., auditee management) establish and maintain internal control designed to reasonably ensure compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the Federal award.

According to 45 CFR Section 92.20(b)(2), Accounting records, "Grantees and sub grantees must maintain records which adequately identify the source and application of funds provided for financially assisted activities. These records must contain information pertaining to grant or subgrant awards and authorizations, obligations, unobligated balances, assets, liabilities, outlays or expenditures, and income."

42 U.S. Code Section 675(3), "The term "adoption assistance agreement" means a written agreement, binding on the parties to the agreement, between the State agency, other relevant agencies, and the prospective adoptive parents of a minor child which at a minimum (A) specifies the nature and amount of any payments, services, and assistance to be provided under such agreement, and (B) stipulates that the agreement shall remain in effect regardless of the State of which the adoptive parents are residents at any given time. The agreement shall contain provisions for the protection (under an interstate compact approved by the Secretary or otherwise) of the interests of the child in cases where the adoptive parents and child move to another State while the agreement is effective."

42 U.S. Code Section 675(5)(B), "The status of each child is reviewed periodically but no less frequently than once every six months by either a court or by administrative review in order to determine the safety of the child, the continuing necessity for and appropriateness of the placement, the extent of compliance with the case plan, and the extent of progress which has been made toward alleviating or mitigating the causes necessitating placement in foster care, and to project a likely date by which the child may be returned to and safely maintained in the home or placed for adoption or legal guardianship, and, for a child for whom another planned permanent living arrangement has been determined as the permanency plan, the steps the State agency is taking to ensure the child's foster family home or child care institution is following the reasonable and prudent parent standard and to ascertain whether the child has regular, ongoing opportunities to engage in age or developmentally appropriate activities (including by consulting with the child in an age-appropriate manner about the opportunities of the child to participate in the activities)."

42 U.S. Code Section 671(a)(20)(A), "in order for a State to be eligible for payments under this part, it shall have a plan approved by the Secretary which provides procedures for criminal records checks of national crime information databases for any prospective foster or adoptive parent before the foster or adoptive parent may be finally approved for placement of a child regardless of whether foster care

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2016

maintenance payments or adoption assistance payments are to be made on behalf of the child under the State plan.”

42 U.S. Code Section 671(a)(20)(B), " In order for a State to be eligible for payments under this part, it shall have a plan approved by the Secretary which provides that the State shall check any child abuse and neglect registry maintained by the State for information on any prospective foster or adoptive parent and on any other adult living in the home of such a prospective parent, and request any other State in which any such prospective parent or other adult has resided in the preceding 5 years, to enable the State to check any child abuse and neglect registry maintained by such other State for such information, before the prospective foster or adoptive parent may be finally approved for placement of a child."

Condition - In fiscal year 2016, CFSA had total disbursements of \$10,549,396 for subsidy payments. We selected a sample of 60 participants representing disbursed funds totaling \$48,218 to test compliance with eligibility requirements. Based on our review, we noted that management’s internal control over compliance with the program’s eligibility requirements were not operating effectively to prevent or detect noncompliance. Our audit revealed the following deficiencies:

- For 44 out of 60 samples, CFSA was unable to provide evidence that the program eligibility checklist was appropriately approved.
- For 43 out of 60 samples, CFSA was unable to provide evidence that the annual subsidy application was reviewed and the reviews were properly documented.
- For 1 out of 60 samples, the decree order was issued four (4) years after the executed subsidy agreement.
- For 43 out of 60 samples, CFSA was unable to provide subsidy review applications signed by the adoptive parents.
- For 2 out of 60 samples, CFSA was unable to provide court orders, evidence of an initial hearing, referrals; and/or applications for adoption.
- For 19 out of 60 samples, CFSA was unable to provide evidence that the neglect registry check was done at the time of the adoption.
- For 2 out of 60 samples, CFSA provided documentation that was outside the period to demonstrate whether the neglect registry check was done at the time of the adoption.
- For 19 out of 60 samples, CFSA was unable to provide documentation evidencing that prospective adoptive parent(s) had met a criminal history record check, including a fingerprint-based check.
- For 3 out of 60 samples, CFSA provided documentation that was outside of the period for prospective adoptive parent(s) criminal history record check, including a fingerprint-based check.
- For 1 out of 60 samples, CFSA was unable to provide evidence that criminal history checks and child protection registry checks were performed for one (1) of the household members over the age of 18.

These deficiencies represent 40% of the total disbursements tested.

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2016

Questioned Costs - Known amount is \$19,491.

Context - This is a condition identified per review of CFSA's compliance with specified requirements using a statistically valid sample.

Effect - Without proper controls in place to ensure case files are properly reviewed and documentation maintained, CFSA was not in compliance with the eligibility requirements of the Adoption Assistance program. In addition, we were unable to determine whether each child remains eligible and whether the subsidy should have continued.

Cause - CFSA does not have adequate controls in place to ensure that eligibility files are being properly reviewed and required documentation is being maintained to ensure compliance with eligibility requirements.

Recommendation - We recommend CFSA strengthen their existing policies and procedures over the review and maintenance of appropriate documentation to ensure compliance with eligibility requirements.

Related Noncompliance - Material noncompliance.

Views of Responsible Officials and Planned Corrective Actions - CFSA concurs with the facts of the findings.

- For the first condition - CFSA concurs that for 44 of 60 samples, CFSA was unable to provide evidence that the program eligibility checklist was appropriately approved. CFSA began using the checklist in mid-2006. Adoptions finalized prior to 2006 would not have included the checklist. CFSA will complete the checklist for all adoption assistance cases at the time of finalization and ensure it is included in each adoption subsidy file. It should be noted that the eligibility checklist is not a federal requirement for Title IV-E claiming.
- For the second condition - CFSA concurs that for the 43 of 60 samples, CFSA was unable to provide evidence that the annual adoption subsidy questionnaire was reviewed annually and the reviews were properly documented. CFSA sends each adoptive parent an annual questionnaire seeking information on the status and well-being of each adopted child. Approximately 78% of those questionnaires are returned by adoptive parents. CFSA also follows up on communication it receives regarding the status or well-being of an adopted child. CFSA researches and investigates where applicable, and contacts adoptive parents as appropriate. As necessary, CFSA sends the adoptive parent a Notice of Action to remedy verified status changes which might impact adoption assistance. Equally important, it is necessary to note that the federal Child Welfare Policy Manual states "There is no Federal statute or provision requiring annual renewals, recertifications or eligibility re-determinations for title IV-E adoption assistance". Further, documentation of annual reviews is not a federal eligibility requirement. CFSA will ensure that documentation of the adoption subsidy annual review is placed in the adoption subsidy file.
- For the third condition - CFSA concurs that for 1 of 60 samples, the decree order was issued four (4) years after the executed subsidy agreement.
- For the fourth condition - CFSA concurs that for 43 of 60 samples, CFSA was unable to provide subsidy review applications signed by the adoptive parents. The adoption subsidy application and referral are not required documents for IV-E eligibility. The absence of these documents in the adoption subsidy file should not render associated payments ineligible for federal reimbursements.

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2016

- For the fifth condition - CFSA concurs that for 2 of 60 samples, CFSA was unable to provide court orders, evidence of an initial hearing, referrals; and/or applications for adoption.
- For the sixth condition - CFSA concurs that for 19 of 60 samples, CFSA was unable to provide evidence that the neglect registry check was done at the time of the adoption.
- For the seventh condition - CFSA concurs that for 2 of 60 samples, CFSA provided documentation that was outside the period to demonstrate whether the neglect registry check was done at the time of the adoption.
- For the eighth condition - CFSA concurs that for 19 of 60 samples, CFSA was unable to provide documentation evidencing that prospective adoptive parent(s) had met a criminal history record check, including a fingerprint-based check.
- For the ninth condition - CFSA concurs that for 3 of 60 samples, CFSA provided documentation that was outside of the period for prospective adoptive parent(s) criminal history record check, including a fingerprint-based check.
- For the tenth condition - CFSA concurs that for 1 of 60 samples, CFSA was unable to provide evidence that criminal history checks and child protection registry checks were performed for one (1) of the household members over the age of 18.

With regard to the findings pertaining to criminal history checks and child protection registry checks, CFSA has implemented stronger quality assurance and enhanced review process for all Title IV-E Adoption Assistance cases, including the required criminal background and registry checks. In addition, CFSA implemented an improved process for centralizing storage, maintenance and retrieval of all criminal background checks and child abuse and registry checks for all Title IV-E eligible Adoption Assistance cases for which CFSA is claiming Title IV-E funds. Many of the cases identified in the audit were finalized 5 - 10 years prior to the implementation of the above improvements. CFSA will ensure that all newly finalized adoption assistance cases contain the criminal history checks and child protection registry checks as required.

The District's corrective action is described in the Management's Corrective Action Plan included as Appendix B of the attached Management's Section.

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2016

Finding Number: 2016-023
Prior Year Finding Number: 2015-031
Compliance Requirement: Eligibility

Program:

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

Medicaid Cluster

CFDA #: 93.775, 93.777, 93.778

Award #: 05-1605DC5MAP

Award Year: 10/01/2015 - 09/30/2016

Government Department/Agency:

Department of Health Care Finance (DHCF)/Department of Human Services (DHS)/Economic Security Administration (ESA)

Criteria - The Uniform Guidance in 2 CFR Section 200.303 requires that non-Federal entities receiving Federal awards (i.e., auditee management) establish and maintain internal control designed to reasonably ensure compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the Federal award.

The Medicaid State Plan: Citation 42 CFR Section 431.17AT-79-29. Section 4.7 (Maintenance of Records) states, *"The Medicaid agency maintains or supervises the maintenance of records necessary for the proper and efficient operation of the plan, including records regarding applications, determination of eligibility, the provision of medical assistance, and administrative costs and statistical, fiscal and other records necessary for reporting and accountability, and retains these records in accordance with Federal requirements. All requirements of 42 CFR 431.17 are met."*

Economic Security Administration (ESA) Policy Manual, Section 1.3, *"All eligibility criteria and clarifying information are documented on the Record of Case Action, form 1052. The case record should speak for itself. An outside reviewer shall be able to follow the chronology of events in the case by reading the narrative. All application documents including verification and correspondence must be date-stamped. For working recipients, the record should include the dates pay is received and how often the recipient is paid. When the recipient's statement is the best available source, the record should include the application/recipient's and agency efforts to verify the information. All address changes should be documented."*

Condition - During testing over beneficiary eligibility for the Medicaid benefits, we noted that the District's Economic Security Administration (ESA) was unable to provide sufficient documentation to support the beneficiary's eligibility determination during the fiscal year 2016 audit. Specifically, out of a sample of 132 participant files tested, we noted the following exceptions:

- Two (2) participant files where ESA was unable to provide a signed application form and signed recertification form covering the audit period.

Without ESA maintaining the proper documentation in the case files, we were unable to verify whether certain participants were properly enrolled in the Medicaid program.

ESA began using the Document Imaging Management System (DIMS) to maintain participant documentation. The DIMS is a record retention system used to record and scan all incoming documents that support the case record. However, even with the use of automated files, the DHS was still unable to retrieve the required supporting documentation.

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2016

Questioned Costs - Not determinable.

Context - This is a condition identified per review of ESA's compliance with specified requirements using a statistically valid sample.

Effect - Lack of supporting documentation for program services and noncompliance with program requirements could result in disallowances of costs and participants could be receiving benefits that they are not entitled to receive under the program.

Cause - ESA does not appear to have adequate internal control procedures to ensure that participant documentation is recorded and scanned in DIMS in order to maintain participant documentation in a centralized location.

Recommendation - We recommend that ESA improve internal control procedures to ensure that documentation is maintained to support eligibility decisions and that files are properly retained.

Related Noncompliance - Noncompliance.

Views of Responsible Officials and Planned Corrective Actions - The District does not dispute the findings provided by BDO. The District acknowledges that a signed recertification form could not be located for two sample cases following a thorough search of the Document Imaging Management System (DIMS) and any hard copies of documentation on file. The District also acknowledges that the recertification form for one of the cases could not be found due to an ESA caseworker error in which the form was not sent to the beneficiary for completion. The District's corrective action is described in the Management's Corrective Action Plan included as Appendix B of the attached Management's Section.

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2016

Finding Number: 2016-024
Prior Year Finding Number: 2015-032
Compliance Requirement: Activities Allowed or Unallowed and Allowable Costs/Cost Principles

Program:

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

Government Department/Agency:

Department of Health (DOH)

HIV Emergency Relief Project Grants

CFDA #: 93.914

Award #: 2 H89HA00012-26-00, H89HA00012-25-00

Award Year: 03/01/16 - 02/28/17, 03/01/15 - 02/28/16

Criteria - The Uniform Guidance in 2 CFR Section 200.303 requires that non-Federal entities receiving Federal awards (i.e., auditee management) establish and maintain internal control designed to reasonably ensure compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the Federal award.

Per 2 CFR Section 200.430 Compensation - Personal Services:

"Costs of compensation are allowable to the extent that they satisfy the specific requirements of this part, and that the total compensation for individual employees:

- (1) Is reasonable for the services rendered and conforms to the establish written policy of the non-Federal entity consistently applied to both Federal and non-Federal activities;
- (2) Follows an appointment made in accordance with a non-Federal entity's laws and/or rules or written policies and meets the requirements of Federal statute, where applicable; and
- (3) Is determined and supported as provided in paragraph (i) of this section, Standards for Documentation of Personnel Expenses, when applicable."

2 CFR Section 200.430(i):

"*Standards for Documentation of Personnel Expenses* (1) Charges to Federal awards for salaries and wages must be based on records that accurately reflect the work performed. These records must:

- (i) Be supported by a system of internal control which provides reasonable assurance that the charges are accurate, allowable, and properly allocated;
- (ii) Be incorporated into the official records of the non-Federal entity;
- (iii) Reasonably reflect the total activity for which the employee is compensated by the non-Federal entity, not exceeding 100% of compensated activities;
- (iv) Encompass both federally assisted and all other activities compensated by the non-Federal entity on an integrated basis, but may include the use of subsidiary records as defined in the non-Federal entity's written policy;
- (v) Comply with the established accounting policies and practices of the non-Federal entity;
- (vi) [Reserved]

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2016

- (vii) Support the distribution of the employee's salary or wages among specific activities or cost objectives if the employee works on more than one Federal award; a Federal award and non-Federal award; an indirect cost activity and a direct cost activity; two or more indirect activities which are allocated using different allocation bases; or an unallowable activity and a direct or indirect cost activity.
- (viii) Budget estimates (i.e., estimates determined before the services are performed) alone do not qualify as support for charges to Federal awards."

Condition - We noted that DOH continued to allocate payroll expenditures to the HIV Emergency Relief Project Grant (HIVER) program during fiscal year 2016 based on budgeted percentages. These percentages were entered into the PeopleSoft Human Resources/Payroll System (PeopleSoft) at the beginning of the fiscal year and were based on management's estimate of the respective employee's level of effort for each program. PeopleSoft calculated the payroll costs every payroll cycle for each employee and program based on the predetermined percentage, and reported it through the Labor Distribution Report (485 Report). However, management did not perform a periodic comparison of actual costs to the budgeted costs and make any necessary adjustment as required by 2 CFR Section 200.430. Specifically, 36 out of 60 sampled payroll items tested for the HIVER grant were recorded based on estimated hours and not actual hours.

Questioned Costs - Not determinable.

Context - This is a condition identified per review of DOH's compliance with specified requirements using a statistically valid sample. Payroll costs including fringe benefits, for HIVER program in fiscal year 2016 were \$1,736,644.

Effect - DOH was unable to demonstrate that the payroll expenditures charged to the HIVER grant accurately reflected the time incurred on the program and were properly supported in accordance with 2 CFR Part 200 time and effort reporting requirements.

Cause - DOH did not have policies and procedures in place to review the estimated amounts of payroll expenditures charged to the HIVER program to the actual expenditures incurred. Per discussion with DOH, management has not finalized the implementation of "combo codes" within PeopleSoft, that would allow employees to track their time across multiple programs. It has not been completed by the end of fiscal year 2016.

Recommendation - We recommend that DOH implement interim policies and procedures to periodically compare employees' estimated hours per the 485 Report to the actual hours incurred, and make any necessary adjustments as required by 2 CFR Section 200.430 until DOH has fully implemented the combo codes. Additionally, we recommend that DOH continue to implement combo codes within PeopleSoft, which would allow employees to track their time across multiple programs.

Related Noncompliance - Material noncompliance.

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2016

Views of Responsible Officials and Planned Corrective Actions - DOH concurs with the finding. Corrective actions have been underway prior to this determination of the finding. DOH will accelerate its process for issuing and implementing a Time and Effort (T&E) Reporting & Monitoring standard operating procedure (SOP). The draft SOP was revised in fiscal year 2016 to require manual Personnel Activity Reports (PARs), rather than exclusively e-reporting via the PeopleSoft system (i.e., use of combo codes). DOH sought a more manageable solution, given the size of affected staff, the complexity of applicable programs (e.g., multiple cost objectives, award size) and the number of routine program changes to align with T&E reporting (e.g., budget revisions, staff reassignments, temporary delegations, etc.). For this reason, the organizational effort was redirected towards obtaining technical assistance, which resulted in the procurement (January 2017) of a contractor to conduct a study on the best options available to DOH, considering specific needs of the DOH environment and portfolio of awards. The District's corrective action is described in the Management's Corrective Action Plan included as Appendix B of the attached Management's Section.

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2016

Finding Number: 2016-025
Prior Year Finding Number: N/A
Compliance Requirement: Activities Allowed or Unallowed and Allowable Cost/Cost Principles

Program:

U.S. Social Security Administration

Government Department/Agency:

Department on Disability Services
(DDS)

Social Security Disability Insurance Cluster (SSDI)

CFDA #: 96.001

Award #: 1-536001131-A4

Award Year: 10/01/15 - 09/30/2016

Criteria - The Uniform Guidance in 2 CFR Section 200.303 requires that non-Federal entities receiving Federal awards (i.e., auditee management) establish and maintain internal control designed to reasonably ensure compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the Federal award.

2 CFR Section 200.404 also states that, "A cost is reasonable if, in its nature and amount, it does not exceed that which would be incurred by a prudent person under the circumstances prevailing at the time the decision was made to incur the cost."

Condition - During our testing over allowability, we noted that DDS does not have adequate controls in place to ensure compliance with allowable cost requirements. Specifically, we noted that for six (6) out the 40 were items tested, insufficient evidence was provided to determine whether the accrual amounts were reasonable. These amounts represent 7% of the total sampled items related to the 40 items tested of \$2,138,358.

Questioned Costs - \$160,255.

Context - This is a condition identified per review of DDS' compliance with specified requirements using a statistically valid sample.

Effect - Without adequate controls in place to ensure that costs are properly reviewed for allowability, DDS could be non-compliant with the allowability requirement and could request funds for costs that are unallowed.

Cause - DDS did not consistently adhere to their internal policies and procedures to ensure that transactions are properly supported and authorized to ensure that costs are allowable.

Recommendation - We recommend that DDS strengthen their policies and procedures requiring that all accruals be adequately supported prior to being approved and entered into the D.C. System of Accounting and Reporting (SOAR).

Related Noncompliance - Material noncompliance.

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2016

Views of Responsible Officials and Planned Corrective Actions - DDS contends that this is not a finding and that an accrual is a reasonable estimate and that all of the information that has been provided supports our conclusion.

BDO Response - We have reviewed management's response and our finding remains as indicated, as insufficient evidence was provided to support the accrual amounts.

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2016

Finding Number: 2016-026
Prior Year Finding Number: 2015-033
Compliance Requirement: Eligibility
Special Tests and Provisions - ADP System for SNAP

Program:

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

Medicaid Cluster (Medicaid), Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP), Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Cluster (TANF), Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program Cluster (SNAP)

CFDA #: 93.775, 93.777, 93.778, 93.767, 93.558, 10.551, 10.561

Award #: Various

Award Year: 10/01/2015 - 09/30/2016

Government Department/Agency:

Department of Human Services
(DHS)/Economic Security
Administration (ESA)

Criteria - The Uniform Guidance in 2 CFR Section 200.303 requires that non-Federal entities receiving Federal awards (i.e., auditee management) establish and maintain internal control designed to reasonably ensure compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the Federal award.

The Medicaid State Plan: Citation 42 CFR Section 431.17AT-79-29. Section 4.7 (Maintenance of Records) states, *"The Medicaid agency maintains or supervises the maintenance of records necessary for the proper and efficient operation of the plan, including records regarding applications, determination of eligibility, the provision of medical assistance, and administrative costs and statistical, fiscal and other records necessary for reporting and accountability, and retains these records in accordance with Federal requirements. All requirements of 42 CFR 431.17 are met."*

Economic Security Administration (ESA) Policy Manual, Section 1.3, *"All eligibility criteria and clarifying information are documented on the Record of Case Action, form 1052. The case record should speak for itself. An outside reviewer shall be able to follow the chronology of events in the case by reading the narrative. All application documents including verification and correspondence must be date-stamped. For working recipients, the record should include the dates pay is received and how often the recipient is paid. When the recipient's statement is the best available source, the record should include the application/recipient's and agency efforts to verify the information. All address changes should be documented."*

Condition - Personnel at ESA are responsible for determining beneficiary eligibility for Medicaid, CHIP, TANF, and SNAP programs. In order to determine eligibility, the ESA Social Service Representatives (SSRs) record information from potential beneficiaries into the automated Client Eligibility Determination System (ACEDS). Once a beneficiary is determined to be eligible, the SSRs are responsible for recording any further case actions - e.g., updates of personal information, termination of benefits, and renewal of benefits. Case actions including initial determination of eligibility can be recorded in ACEDS by all SSRs; however, only SSRs with "authority to act" can record actions without supervisory review and approval. Controls over the entry and processing of beneficiary cases in ACEDS are not properly designed and implemented to ensure segregation of duties. Specifically, we noted SSRs with authority to act have the ability to both record and authorize beneficiary case actions in ACEDS.

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2016

Questioned Costs - None.

Context - This is a condition identified per review of DHS' compliance with specified requirements.

Effect - Beneficiary cases recorded and authorized by an SSR with authority to act could be erroneous and/or inappropriate.

Cause - ESA has not implemented adequate segregation of duties due to a lack of sufficient staff/resources.

Recommendation - We recommend that ESA strengthen its current policies and procedures to require the SSR duties of recording and authorizing to be segregated.

Related Noncompliance - None.

Views of Responsible Officials and Planned Corrective Actions - DHS has addressed the issue of "authority to act" in previous Single Audit cycles and continues to disagree with the recommendation that ESA policies should require SSR duties of recording and authorizing to be segregated.

No Federal regulations for SNAP/TANF/CHIP/Medicaid require a separation of duties between interviewers and processors. Both DHHS/CMS and USDA/FNS encourage states to pursue strategies that are based on "first contact resolution" and speeding up determinations to improve access and program administration.

A requirement of the SSR position includes the expectation that the SSR would exercise appropriate judgment and determine eligibility as necessary for the performance of their duties. The "authority to act" designation is granted based on employee's performance, in conjunction with established criteria. Consequently, an SSR acquires the "authority to act" upon demonstrating ability to consistently and correctly determine eligibility and process customer benefits. The SSRs ability to follow established guidelines in determining eligibility and processing benefits is monitored and reflected in their annual Performance Evaluations. If a performance cycle and/or quality review indicates that an SSR should not have Authority to Act, that responsibility is removed, and the SSR is scheduled for re-training and further assessment. DHS updates the list of SSRs with Authority to Act on a routine basis.

With respect to that portion of the recommendation stating that ESA strengthen its current policies and procedures, ESA has adjusted its monthly Supervisory Case Review (SCR) process, which has been implemented for the primary purpose of ensuring program integrity, by assessing SSRs adherence to policies and procedures, and identifying and correcting errors.

Supervisors provide additional training to workers when discrepancies are detected, share the appropriate policy and procedures with the staff person responsible for the case actions, and share the information with all staff during the next scheduled staff meeting. ESA administers an ongoing quality control process to ensure the accuracy and quality of eligibility decisions made by SSRs.

All SSRs receive Induction Policy Training upon being hired. It covers SNAP, Medicaid and TANF. Upon completion of Policy Training, SSRs receive System Training (ACEDS). No staff is allowed to process cases until Policy and System Training is complete. Continuous refresher training is held on a regular basis for all staff.

Government of the District of Columbia

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended September 30, 2016

Evidence of training exists but is fragmentally housed with individual supervisors and Policy and Training staff. Going forward, DHS has begun developing a Talent Management Tracking System, that will record the status of each SSR's Policy and System Training. This would also include Supervisory documentation acknowledgement that the SSR has achieved the required level of competency to receive "Authority to Act" access.

Finally, the recommendation that SSR duties of recording and authorizing be segregated is not feasible at this time. As a result of the local and federal policies and regulations relative to timeliness in processing actions for customer benefits, increasing caseloads, and limited number of supervisory SSRs to authorize actions, DHS has implemented a Business Process Redesign (BPR) to address timeliness in processing benefits. BPR is being facilitated by Change Innovations Associates (CIA) who have reengineered business processes in 17 jurisdictions. In FY17, BPR has been rolled out to all five Service Centers and uses the "one and done"/"first contact resolution" process, which employs "authority to act". Therefore, implementing the audit recommendation of segregating duties would negatively impact our ability to provide timely services with better outcomes to our customers.

BDO Response - We have reviewed management's response and our finding remains as indicated, as controls were not properly designed and implemented to ensure segregation of duties.

Management's Section

GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER



Jeffrey S. DeWitt
Chief Financial Officer

Appendix A

**Government of the District of Columbia
Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings**

Finding Number	Program Name & CFDA #	Type of Finding	Current Status
2015-001 <i>Prior Year Finding: 2014-009</i> DHS	US Dept. of Agriculture Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program Cluster 10.551, 10.561	Special Tests and Provisions - ADP System for SNAP	Status: Finding repeated in the current year (2016-001). Reason for Recurrence: The recurrence is a result of staff not correctly following scanning procedures. Incorrect scanning procedures have caused: problems in locating documents because some documents were illegible; indexed to the wrong file or location in the customer file; and "orphaned" without case numbers resulting in the inability of document to be filed in the correct customer record. FY 2016/2017 Corrective Action Plan: The District of Columbia, Department of Human Services, Economic Security Administration (ESA) currently has established processes for participant documents and documentation to be recorded and scanned into DIMS and entered into the customer's electronic record. ESA will reinforce the process and procedures to ensure compliance with eligibility requirements by retraining workers on existing policies.

Finding Number	Program Name & CFDA #	Type of Finding	Current Status
			<p>DHS has implemented the Business Process Reengineering (BPR), which is an eligibility case processing system-wide initiative that will greatly increase oversight of case processing and reduce instances of lost paperwork. The BPR process also mandates that all submitted documentation be scanned at the time of submission so that originals and a receipt can be returned to customers. This process has reduced the number of instances in which customers who submit documents at their service centers are later required to resubmit paperwork to ESA.</p> <p>Partially Corrected: Start date: 08/22/2016 Estimated completion date: 09/30/2018</p>
<p>2015-002 <i>Prior Year Finding: N/A</i> DHS</p>	<p>US Dept. of Agriculture Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program Cluster</p> <p>10.551, 10.561</p>	<p>Special Tests and Provisions - EBT Card Security</p>	<p>Status: Finding repeated in the current year (2016-002).</p> <p>Reason for Recurrence: OFT did not have resources to monitor FIS' distribution sites adherence to established policies and procedures.</p> <p>FY 2016/2017 Corrective Action Plan: DHS and Office of Finance and Treasury (OFT) concur with the recommendation. OFT in an effort to provide and maintain proper security of the EBT Blank Card stock held at two (2) UPO service centers; OFT has updated policy and procedures to address proper reconciliation of the EBT Blank Card stock to include reconciliation of current and new card stock, proper completion and</p>

Finding Number	Program Name & CFDA #	Type of Finding	Current Status
			<p>signatory authority on reconciliation forms and destroyed card stock forms.</p> <p>Partially Corrected: Start date: 10/01/2016 Estimated completion date: 03/31/2017</p>
<p>2015-003 <i>Prior Year Finding; 2014-011</i> DCPS</p>	<p>US Dept. of Agriculture Child Nutrition Cluster</p> <p>10.553, 10.555, 10.556, 10.559</p>	<p>Eligibility</p>	<p>Status: Finding repeated in the current year (2016-003).</p> <p>Reason for Recurrence: Testing of eligibility is not being conducted appropriately for Community Eligibility Provision (CEP) schools. The number of meals served is being compared to attendance, and variances were found in the attendance roster not in the meal count. This is not a necessary test as USDA does not require individual identifiers for meals served. It was determined that the processes related to the meal counts were being followed correctly by DCPS, and that the eligibility policy was not necessary to be compliant with the requirements under CEP.</p> <p>FY 2016/2017 Corrective Action Plan: DCPS will update its policies regarding and remove the individual identify steps from the process to reflect the appropriate method of tracking the number of meals served. DCPS will also continue to administer the annual training for its staff and its vendors' staff as prescribed in the DCPS response.</p> <p>Partially Corrected: Estimated completion date: 08/31/2017</p>

Finding Number	Program Name & CFDA #	Type of Finding	Current Status
2015-004 <i>Prior Year Finding: N/A</i> DOH	US Dept. of Agriculture, Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants and Children (WIC) 10.557	Activities Allowed and Unallowed, Allowable Costs/Cost Principles	Corrected.
2015-005 <i>Prior Year Finding: N/A</i> DHCD	US Dept. of HUD Community Development Block Grants/Entitlements Grants 14.218	Activities Allowed and Unallowed, Allowable Costs/Cost Principles	Corrected.
2015-006 <i>Prior Year Finding: 2014-015</i> DHCD	US Dept. of HUD Community Development Block Grants/Entitlements Grants 14.218	Activities Allowed and Unallowed, Allowable Costs/Cost Principles	<p>Status: Finding repeated in the current year (2016-004).</p> <p>Reason for Recurrence: DHCD continued to use the PeopleSoft 485 report to charge payroll expenditures to the program. The PeopleSoft 485 report reflects the allocation of payroll expenditures for employees who worked on multiple federal programs, which is based on predetermined percentages entered into the PeopleSoft at the beginning of the fiscal year. These percentages were based on management's estimate of the hours they expected each employee to work on their respective programs, which was submitted as part of their grant application. However, management did not perform a periodic comparison of the employees' estimated hours per the PeopleSoft 485 report to the actual hours incurred, and make any necessary adjustment as required by 2 CFR part 225 B.8.h.</p> <p>Additionally, DHCD has been in the process of implementing "combo codes" in PeopleSoft that would allow employees to track their</p>

Finding Number	Program Name & CFDA #	Type of Finding	Current Status
			<p>time across multiple federal programs. However, the combo codes had not been fully implemented during fiscal year 2015. Further, DHCD did not develop an interim process that employees could use to track their time across multiple federal programs until the combo codes were fully implemented. As a result, certain employees who worked on multiple federal programs only reported their time in total.</p> <p>FY 2016/2017 Corrective Action Plan: The DHCD OAFO has worked diligently with DHCD management on full implementation of using "combo codes" in PeopleSoft. The use of "combo codes" is now required for all time entered into PeopleSoft, regardless of the funding source, federal or non-federal.</p> <p>DHCD Senior Management has issued a directive for Program Managers to ensure that all direct reports have utilized "combo codes" prior to approving time each pay period. The DHCD OAFO conducts a review of Time and Labor Distribution Reports on a bi-weekly basis prior to payroll run to ensure that "combo codes" have been used, especially for those employees who charge time to federal grants. Reminders to use combo codes or to revise an invalid combo code that has been entered are sent to each employee and their Program Manager, where applicable, and are to be corrected prior to time entry being approved at the end of each pay period.</p> <p>Partially Corrected: Start date: 06/28/2015</p>

Finding Number	Program Name & CFDA #	Type of Finding	Current Status
			Estimated completion date: Presently
2015-007 <i>Prior Year Finding: 2014-019</i> DHCD	US Dept. of HUD Community Development Block Grants/Entitlements Grants 14.218	Reporting	Corrected.
2015-008 <i>Prior Year Finding: N/A</i> DHCD	US Dept. of HUD HOME Investments Partnerships Program 14.239	Activities Allowed and Unallowed, Allowable Costs/Cost Principles	Corrected.
2015-009 <i>Prior Year Finding: 2014-023</i> DHCD	US Dept. of HUD HOME Investments Partnerships Program 14.239	Activities Allowed and Unallowed, Allowable Costs/Cost Principles	<p>Status: Finding repeated in the current year (2016-005).</p> <p>Reason for Recurrence: DHCD continued to use the PeopleSoft 485 report to charge payroll expenditures to the program. The PeopleSoft 485 report reflects the allocation of payroll expenditures for employees who worked on multiple federal programs, which is based on predetermined percentages entered into the PeopleSoft at the beginning of the fiscal year. These percentages were based on management's estimate of the hours they expected each employee to work on their respective programs, which was submitted as part of their grant application. However, management did not perform a periodic comparison of the employees' estimated hours per the PeopleSoft 485 report to the actual hours incurred, and make any necessary adjustment as required by 2 CFR part 225 B.8.h.</p> <p>Additionally, DHCD has been in the process of implementing "combo</p>

Finding Number	Program Name & CFDA #	Type of Finding	Current Status
			<p>codes" in PeopleSoft that would allow employees to track their time across multiple federal programs. However, the combo codes had not been fully implemented during fiscal year 2015. Further, DHCD did not develop an interim process that employees could use to track their time across multiple federal programs until the combo codes were fully implemented. As a result, certain employees who worked on multiple federal programs only reported their time in total.</p> <p>FY 2016/2017 Corrective Action Plan: The DHCD OAFO has worked diligently with DHCD management on full implementation of using "combo codes" in PeopleSoft. The use of "combo codes" is now required for all time entered into PeopleSoft, regardless of the funding source, federal or non-federal.</p> <p>DHCD Senior Management has issued a directive for Program Managers to ensure that all direct reports have utilized "combo codes" prior to approving time each pay period. The DHCD OAFO conducts a review of Time and Labor Distribution Reports on a bi-weekly basis prior to payroll run to ensure that "combo codes" have been used, especially for those employees who charge time to federal grants. Reminders to use combo codes or to revise an invalid combo code that has been entered are sent to each employee and their Program Manager, where applicable, and are to be corrected prior to time entry being approved at the end of each pay period.</p>

Finding Number	Program Name & CFDA #	Type of Finding	Current Status
			Partially Corrected: Start date: 06/28/2015 Estimated completion date: Presently
2015-010 <i>Prior Year Finding: N/A</i> DHCD	US Dept. of HUD HOME Investments Partnerships Program 14.239	Matching, Level of Effort, Earmarking	Corrected.
2015-011 <i>Prior Year Finding: 2014-025</i> DHCD	US Dept. of HUD HOME Investments Partnerships Program 14.239	Special Tests and Provisions - Wage Rate Requirements	Corrected.
2015-012 <i>Prior Year Finding: N/A</i> UDC	US Dept. of Education Student Financial Assistance Cluster 84.007, 84.033, 84.063, 84.268, 93.925	Activities Allowed and Unallowed, Allowable Costs/Cost Principles	Corrected.
2015-013 <i>Prior Year Finding: N/A</i> OSSE	US Dept. of Education Special Education Cluster 84.027	Activities Allowed and Unallowed, Allowable Costs/Cost Principles	Corrected.
2015-014 <i>Prior Year Finding: 2014-046</i> DDS	US Dept. of Education Rehabilitation Services - Vocational Rehabilitation Grants 84.126	Eligibility	Status: Finding repeated in the current year (2016-007). Reason for Recurrence: Many older case records remain in DDS RSA's case management system. However, the agency has implemented measures to ensure new cases are managed in accordance with federal regulations and District of Columbia policies and procedures. The Case Review Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) was implemented in June 2016 as

Finding Number	Program Name & CFDA #	Type of Finding	Current Status
			<p>planned. A total of 1090 cases were randomly reviewed in FY 2016, with 649 being reviewed during the fourth quarter, following implementation of the SOP. At the end of FY 2016, 92% of the new eligibility determinations were completed on time, a trend that has been maintained throughout FY2017 to date.</p> <p>FY 2016/2017 Corrective Action Plan: Implement a new Case Review System and provide ongoing training on the Eligibility Determination process. This finding is partially corrected to date. The start date was 06/01/2016. The estimated completion date is 09/30/2017 with ongoing training and support.</p> <p>Partially Corrected: Estimated completion date: 09/30/2017</p>
<p>2015-015 <i>Prior Year Finding: 2014-050</i> DCPS</p>	<p>US Dept. of Education Teacher Incentive Fund Program 84.374</p>	<p>Matching, Level of Effort, Earmarking</p>	<p>Corrected.</p>
<p>2015-016 <i>Prior Year Finding: N/A</i> DHS</p>	<p>US Dept. of HHS Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Cluster (TANF) 93.558</p>	<p>Reporting</p>	<p>Corrected.</p>
<p>2015-017 <i>Prior Year Finding: 2014-058</i> DHS/ESA</p>	<p>US Dept. of HHS Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Cluster (TANF) 93.558</p>	<p>Special Tests and Provisions - Child Support Non-Cooperation</p>	<p>Corrected.</p>

Finding Number	Program Name & CFDA #	Type of Finding	Current Status
2015-018 <i>Prior Year Finding: 2014-057</i> DHS	US Dept. of HHS Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Cluster (TANF) 93.558	Special Tests and Provisions - Penalty for Failure to Comply With Work Verification Plan	<p>Status: Finding repeated in the current year (2016-012).</p> <p>Reason for Recurrence: The recurrence is a result of staff not correctly following scanning procedures. Incorrect scanning procedures has caused : problems in locating documents because some documents were illegible; indexed to the wrong file or location in the customer file; "orphaned" without case numbers resulting in the inability of document to be filed in the correct customer record.</p> <p>FY 2016 Corrective Action Plan: In response to not being able to provide documentation for one (1) out of 60 cases, DHS will work with its IT department to ensure the accessibility of files as files were corrupt and could not be opened to retrieve requested documents.</p> <p>In response to inadequate documentation submission for five (5) out of 60 cases, DHS will:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review policies and procedures with staff to ensure compliance with established protocols and procedures; • Additionally, we will run test on the batch process which sends data from CATCH to Q5i to ensure that only verified hours are processed. <p>In response to incorrectly reporting customers as having met participation requirements for one (1) out of 60 cases, DHS will run test on the batch process which sends data from CATCH to Q5i to</p>

Finding Number	Program Name & CFDA #	Type of Finding	Current Status
			<p>ensure that only verified hours are processed.</p> <p>DHS will review the batch file to ensure that correct information is processed to Q5i, and reported on the ACF-199.</p> <p>Partially Corrected: Start date: 08/18/2016 Estimated completion date: 09/30/2018</p>
<p>2015-019 <i>Prior Year Finding: 2014-059</i> DHS/ESA</p>	<p>US Dept. of HHS Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Cluster (TANF)</p> <p>93.558</p>	<p>Special Tests and Provisions - Penalty for Refusal to Work</p>	<p>Status: Finding repeated in the current year (2016-011).</p> <p>Reason for Recurrence: TANF sanction process was partially implemented, but further delayed due to attempts to incorporate input from staff, customer advocacy groups, and the Counsel of the District of Columbia to develop a viable process. Further, implementation required proper interfacing of the CATCH and the newly implemented DCAS system. System delays of both the CATCH tracking system being revamped and Release 2 of DCAS going live October, 2016 also contributed to delayed implementation of a refined permanent sanction process.</p> <p>FY 2016/2017 Corrective Action Plan: DHS has implemented systems and processes to ensure that customers who are subject to sanction, are sanctioned. The implementation started on April 1, 2017.</p> <p>Not Corrected in FY 2016: Planned start date: 10/03/2016 Estimated completion date: 09/30/2018</p>

Finding Number	Program Name & CFDA #	Type of Finding	Current Status
2015-020 <i>Prior Year Finding: 2014-060</i> DCPS	US Dept. of HHS Head Start 93.600	Activities Allowed and Unallowed, Allowable Costs/Cost Principles	<p>Status: Finding repeated in the current year (2016-014).</p> <p>Reason for Recurrence: During the course of the year there was an oversight in tracking which resulted in the time and effort certification not being completed for 1 individual and partial pay period costs being incorrectly charged to the grant for 2 individuals.</p> <p>FY 2016/2017 Corrective Action Plan: There is an ongoing bi weekly Fiscal Team review of the payroll and fringe benefit charges and a quarterly review of the time and effort certifications.</p> <p>Partially Corrected: Estimated completion date: 08/04/2017</p>
2015-021 <i>Prior Year Finding: 2014-061</i> CFSA	US Dept. of HHS Foster Care - Title IV-E 93.658	Activities Allowed and Unallowed, Allowable Costs/Cost Principles	<p>Status: Finding repeated in the current year. (2016-015)</p> <p>Reason for Recurrence: As reported on the SEFA, costs of services for mental health, educational and tutoring services were charged to the Title IV-E Foster Care Grant. While this circumstance was not recurring from previous years, the allowability of costs reported is recurring.</p> <p>FY 2016/2017 Corrective Action Plan: Develop SOP to determine associated and appropriate costs to the Program</p> <p>Partially Corrected:</p>

Finding Number	Program Name & CFDA #	Type of Finding	Current Status
			Estimated completion date: 09/30/2017
2015-022 <i>Prior Year Finding: 2014-062</i> CFSA	US Dept. of HHS Foster Care - Title IV-E 93.658	Cash Management	<p>Status: Finding repeated in the current year. (2016-016)</p> <p>Reason for Recurrence: Corrected in 05/2016, not possible to correct prior errors.</p> <p>FY 2016 Corrective Action Plan: Adopted new policies and procedure related to calculating the biweekly draw.</p> <p>Partially Corrected: Completion date: 05/2016</p>
2015-023 <i>Prior Year Finding: 2014-063</i> CFSA	US Dept. of HHS Foster Care - Title IV-E 93.658	Eligibility	<p>Status: Finding repeated in the current year. (2016-017)</p> <p>Reason for Recurrence: Some of the cases referenced in the findings had their eligibility determinations completed prior to the implementation of the strengthened quality controls.</p> <p>FY 2016/2017 Corrective Action Plan: CFSA will verify licensure documentation during its annual eligibility redetermination.</p> <p>Partially Corrected: Start date: 09/30/2015 Estimated completion date: 12/31/2017</p>
2015-024 <i>Prior Year Finding: N/A</i> CFSA	US Dept. of HHS Foster Care - Title IV-E 93.658	Matching, Level of Effort, Earmarking	<p>Status: Finding repeated in the current year (2016-018).</p> <p>Reason for Recurrence: For overstated expenditures for the Title IV-E waiver program, costs were not accurately reported</p>

Finding Number	Program Name & CFDA #	Type of Finding	Current Status
			<p>on the Title IV-E claiming form (CB-496).</p> <p>For the overstated demonstration costs in both Part 1 and Part 3 of the CB-496, this was due to data entry issues on the Federal; government's electronic Form CB-496, an issue that the agency had previously not had in reporting expenditures on this form.</p> <p>FY 2016 Corrective Action Plan: For the overstated Title IV-E waiver expenditures, for FY 2017, a new budget activity was created to capture the prevention activities covered by the waiver. This budget for these waiver activities will consolidate expenditures, making reporting of expenditures easier.</p> <p>For the overstated demonstration costs, the agency will collaborate with Administration for Children and Family (ACF) to resolve the data entry issue.</p> <p>Partially Corrected: Estimated completion date: 09/30/2017</p>
<p>2015-025 <i>Prior Year Finding: N/A</i> CFSA</p>	<p>US Dept. of HHS Foster Care - Title IV-E 93.658</p>	<p>Special Tests and Provisions - Payment Rate Setting and Application</p>	<p>Status: Finding repeated in the current year (2016-020).</p> <p>Reason for Recurrence: For this finding, the agency did not correctly calculate rates based on the federally approved methodology for administrative services.</p> <p>FY 2016 Corrective Action Plan: Revamp methods used for cost buildup reported by agency contractors.</p>

Finding Number	Program Name & CFDA #	Type of Finding	Current Status
			Partially Corrected: Completion date: 09/30/2017
2015-026 <i>Prior Year Finding: N/A</i> CFSA	US Dept. of HHS Foster Care - Title IV-E 93.658	Special Tests and Provisions - Payment Rate Setting and Application	Corrected.
2015-027 <i>Prior Year Finding: 2014-064</i> CFSA	US Dept. of HHS Adoption Assistance - Title IV-E 93.659	Activities Allowed and Unallowed, Allowable Costs/Cost Principles	Corrected.
2015-028 <i>Prior Year Finding: N/A</i> CFSA	US Dept. of HHS Adoption Assistance - Title IV-E 93.659	Cash Management	<p>Status: Finding repeated in the current year (2016-021).</p> <p>Reason for Recurrence: Corrected in 5/2016, not possible to correct prior errors.</p> <p>FY 2016 Corrective Action Plan: Adopted new policies and procedure related to calculating the biweekly draw.</p> <p>Partially Corrected: Completion date: 05/2016</p>
2015-029 <i>Prior Year Finding: 2014-065</i> CFSA	US Dept. of HHS Adoption Assistance - Title IV-E 93.659	Eligibility	<p>Status: Finding repeated in the current year (2016-022).</p> <p>Reason for Recurrence: Many of the cases identified in the audit were finalized 5 - 10 years prior to the implementation of process improvements.</p> <p>FY 2016/2017 Corrective Action Plan: CFSA will ensure that all newly finalized adoption assistance cases contain documentation of the criminal history checks and child protection registry checks as required.</p>

Finding Number	Program Name & CFDA #	Type of Finding	Current Status
			Partially Corrected: Start date: 09/30/2015 Estimated completion date: 12/31/2017
2015-030 <i>Prior Year Finding: N/A</i> DBH/DHCF	US Dept. of HHS Medicaid Cluster 93.775, 93.777, 93.778	Activities Allowed and Unallowed, Allowable Costs/Cost Principles	Corrected.
2015-031 <i>Prior Year Finding: 2014-070</i> DHCF/ DHS/ESA	US Dept. of HHS Medicaid Cluster 93.775, 93.777, 93.778	Eligibility	Status: Finding repeated in the current year (2016-023). Reason for Recurrence: The recurrence is a result of staff not correctly following scanning procedures. Incorrect scanning procedures has caused: problems in locating documents because some documents were illegible; indexed to the wrong file or location in the customer file; "orphaned" without case numbers resulting in the inability of document to be filed in the correct customer record. FY 2016/2017 Corrective Action Plan: To resolve these findings, the District has developed the following corrective action measures: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The District of Columbia, Department of Human Services, Economic Security Administration (ESA) is in the process of implementing the Business Process Reengineering (BPR), which is a system-wide initiative that ESA expects will greatly increase oversight of case processing and reduce

Finding Number	Program Name & CFDA #	Type of Finding	Current Status
			<p>instances of lost paperwork. As of this writing, BPR has been deployed to all five Service Centers. BPR utilizes detailed narratives, case notes, check lists and revamped trainings to improve case processing. The BPR process also mandates that all submitted documentation is scanned at the time of submission so that originals and a receipt can be returned to customers, which has already reduced instances in which customers who submit documents at the service centers must re-submit paperwork to ESA. This approach leverages the desktop scanners purchased in June 2015, allowing SSRs and SSAs to directly upload documentation into the Document Imaging Management System (DIMS) for all Medicaid cases.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • DHS is the process of implementing DIMS training for staff throughout the Administration. This would help eliminate incorrect indexing- which prevents scanned documents from being found. An assessment of staff has been completed to determine who should receive DIMS training. A training schedule has been developed and the first training was initiated the week of April 17, 2017, with staff who already had

Finding Number	Program Name & CFDA #	Type of Finding	Current Status
			<p>scanners installed.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A Mobile Scanning Team has been created to implement a short-term concentrated cleanup of un-scanned documents in the Service Center. This team, which was dispatched in March 2017, systematically goes to each Service Center to locate and scan un-scanned documents into DIMS. • DHS will retrain staff on eligibility processes and procedures in an effort to reduce human error. <p>Partially Corrected: Start date: 08/22/2016 Estimated completion date for training: 05/20/2018</p>
<p>2015-032 <i>Prior Year Finding: 2014-073</i> DOH</p>	<p>US Dept. of HHS HIV Emergency Relief Projects Grants 93.914</p>	<p>Activities Allowed or Unallowed, Allowable Costs/Cost Principles</p>	<p>Status: Finding repeated in the current year (2016-024).</p> <p>Reason for Recurrence: A Time and Effort Reporting SOP was drafted but not approved for implementation in FY16. The SOP was revised to require manual Personnel Activity Reports (PARs), rather than exclusively e-reporting via the PeopleSoft system (i.e. use of combo codes). DOH sought a more manageable solution, given the size of affected staff, the complexity of applicable programs (e.g. multiple cost objectives, award size) and the number of routine program changes to align with T&E reporting (e.g. budget revisions, staff reassignments, temporary delegations, etc.). For this reason, the organizational effort was redirected towards obtaining technical assistance,</p>

Finding Number	Program Name & CFDA #	Type of Finding	Current Status
			<p>which resulted in the procurement (Jan 2017) of an agency to conduct a study on the best options available to DOH, considering specific needs of the DOH environment and portfolio of awards.</p> <p>FY 2016/2018 Corrective Action Plan: (1) A contractor will recommend the application of appropriate options to address T&E at DOH. (2) The contractor through a structured study will provide a recommendation for the optimal DOH system/s for verification of T&E. This can take range from implementing a Personnel Activity Reporting (PAR) system to the implementation of a full Random Moment Time System (RMTS). (3) the contractor shall seek approval by the cognizant agency of the implementation of the method. (4) an SOP will be reissued, with training and support for employees and supervisors.</p> <p>Partially Corrected: Start date: 08/22/2016 Estimated completion date: 12/31/2017</p>
2015-033 <i>Prior Year Finding: 2014-069</i> DHS/ESA	US Dept. of HHS Medicaid Cluster; Children’s Health Insurance Program; Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Cluster (TANF) US Dept. of Agriculture Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program Cluster	Eligibility; Special Tests and Provisions - ADP System for SNAP	Status: Finding repeated in the current year (2016-026). Reason for Recurrence: ESA supports the ability for SSRs to exercise the “Authority to Act”, which aligns with our business process. Giving authority to act to appropriately trained SSRs was necessary to address increasing caseload sizes; local and federal requirements for timeliness in processing actions for customer benefits; and limited numbers of

Finding Number	Program Name & CFDA #	Type of Finding	Current Status
	93.775, 93.777, 93.778, 93.767, 93.558, 10.551, 10.561		<p>supervisors to authorize actions. ESA is requesting that this finding be removed because our practices are aligned with our business process.</p> <p>FY 2016/2017 Corrective Action Plan: Continue Quality Control/Quality Assurance Measures; Implement Business Process Redesign (BPR).</p> <p>DHS has addressed the issue of “authority to act” in previous Single Audit cycles and continues to disagree with the recommendation that ESA policies should require SSR duties of recording and authorizing to be segregated.</p> <p>There are no Federal regulations for SNAP/TANF/CHIP/Medicaid require a separation of duties between interviewers and processors. Both DHHS/CMS and USDA/FNS encourage states to pursue strategies that are based on “first contact resolution” and speeding up determinations to improve access and program administration.</p> <p>A requirement of the SSR position includes the expectation that the SSR would exercise appropriate judgment and determine eligibility as necessary for the performance of their duties. The “authority to act” designation is granted based on employee’s performance, in conjunction with established criteria. Consequently, an SSR acquires the “authority to act” upon demonstrating ability to consistently and correctly determine eligibility and process customer benefits. The SSRs ability to follow established guidelines in determining</p>

Finding Number	Program Name & CFDA #	Type of Finding	Current Status
			<p>eligibility and processing benefits is monitored and reflected in their annual Performance Evaluations. If a performance cycle and/or quality review indicates that an SSR should not have Authority to Act, that responsibility is removed, and the SSR is scheduled for re-training and further assessment. DHS updates the list of SSRs with Authority to Act on a routine basis.</p> <p>With respect to that portion of the recommendation stating that ESA strengthen its current policies and procedures, ESA has adjusted its monthly Supervisory Case Review (SCR) process, which has been implemented for the primary purpose of ensuring program integrity, by assessing SSRs adherence to policies and procedures, and identifying and correcting errors.</p> <p>Supervisors provide additional training to workers when discrepancies are detected, share the appropriate policy and procedures with the staff person responsible for the case actions, and share the information with all staff during the next scheduled staff meeting. ESA administers an ongoing quality control process to ensure the accuracy and quality of eligibility decisions made by SSRs.</p> <p>All SSRs receive Induction Policy Training upon being hired. This training covers SNAP, Medicaid and TANF. Upon completion of Policy Training, SSRs receive System Training (ACEDS and DCAS). No staff is allowed to process cases until both Policy and System Trainings are completed. Continuous refresher training is held on a regular basis for all</p>

Finding Number	Program Name & CFDA #	Type of Finding	Current Status
			<p>staff.</p> <p>Evidence of training exists but is housed with individual supervisors and Policy and Training staff. Going forward, DHS has begun developing a Talent Management Tracking System, that will record the status of each SSR's Policy and System Training. This would also include Supervisory documentation acknowledgement that the SSR has achieved the required level of competency to receive "Authority to Act" access.</p> <p>Finally, the recommendation that SSR duties of recording and authorizing be segregated is not feasible at this time. As a result of the local and federal policies and regulations relative to timeliness in processing actions for customer benefits, increasing caseloads, and limited number of supervisory SSRs to authorize actions, DHS has implemented a Business Process Redesign (BPR) to address timeliness in processing benefits. BPR is being facilitated by Change Innovations Associates (CIA) who have reengineered business processes in 17 jurisdictions. In FY17, BPR has been rolled out to all five Service Centers and uses the "one and done"/"first contact resolution" process, which employs "authority to act". Therefore, implementing the audit recommendation of segregating duties would negatively impact our ability to provide timely services with better outcomes to our customers.</p> <p>Partially Corrected: Start date: 07/01/2016 Estimated completion date: 11/30/2017</p>

GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER



Jeffrey S. DeWitt
Chief Financial Officer

Appendix B

**Government of the District of Columbia
Management's Corrective Action Plan**

Page Number	Finding	Contact	Estimated Completion Date	Corrective Action
45	2016-001	Anthea Seymour, Department of Human Services (DHS) Economic Security Administration (ESA) Administrator	09/30/2018	<p>DHS concurs with the recommendation. The agency currently has established processes for participant documents and documentation to be recorded and scanned into DIMS and entered into the customer's electronic record. The District of Columbia Economic Security Administration (ESA) will reinforce the process and procedures to ensure compliance with eligibility requirements by retraining workers on existing policies.</p> <p>DHS has implanted a Business Process Reengineering (BPR) project, which is an eligibility case processing system-wide initiative that the District expects will greatly increase oversight of case processing and reduce instances of lost paperwork. The BPR process also mandates that all submitted documentation be scanned at the time of submission so that originals and a receipt can be returned to customers. This process has reduced the number of instances in which customers who submit documents at their service centers are later required to resubmit paperwork to ESA.</p> <p>DHS does not concur with finding one (1) out of 60 that has the social security number of the child that is incorrect in ACEDS. The system uses the social security number of the primary individual, which is the mother, as the primary to verify the eligibility of the child. The social security number of the child</p>

Page Number	Finding	Contact	Estimated Completion Date	Corrective Action
				was loaded incorrectly into ACEDS but was correct on the social security card of the child, located in DIMS. The payment of \$3,225 was correct in determining the benefits received.
47	2016-002	Anthea Seymour, DHS ESA Administrator; and Clarice Wood, Associate Treasurer, Office of Finance and Treasury (OFT).	03/31/2017	DHS and Office of Finance and Treasury (OFT) concur with the recommendation. OFT in an effort to provide and maintain proper security of the EBT Blank Card stock held at two (2) UPO service centers; OFT has updated policy and procedures to address proper reconciliation of the EBT Blank Card stock to include reconciliation of current and new card stock, proper completion and signatory authority on reconciliation forms and destroyed card stock forms.
49	2016-003	Rob Jaber, Director OFNS; District of Columbia Public Schools (DCPS).	08/31/2017	<p>DCPS partially agrees with the conditions and recommendations of this finding.</p> <p>For the first and second conditions: According to the Community Eligibility Provision (CEP) manual, schools participating in CEP are required to keep an accurate count of total meals served utilizing a POS system of their choice. It is not a requirement to establish a unique identifier that connects the student to the meal that is being served. While there was an issue with the identification of these students, the total meals served on this day was accurate, and the meal accuracy is the only requirement of CEP accountability. DCPS will revise existing policies and procedures by August 31, 2017 to ensure that accountability testing no longer includes a comparison of student attendance versus meal receipt. Total meals served will be the sole requirement, and therefore, absentee findings will not apply.</p> <p>DCPS will hold the annual training at the school year opening meeting in August 2017 prior to the commencement of service. This training will cover the Daily Accountability Policies and Procedures including point of sales transactions and the meal line process. DCPS will conduct annual accountability</p>

Page Number	Finding	Contact	Estimated Completion Date	Corrective Action
				<p>reviews at every NSLP site to ensure daily accountability policies and procedures are followed.</p> <p>For the third condition: The testing here identified one student in the sample who was miscategorized out of over 6,000 that were collected in School Year (SY) 15-16. The single finding appears to be a reasonable human key-stroke error and the cost impact is marginal. We will continue to utilize the peer review process for determining eligibility and remind the reviewers of the requirements.</p> <p>For the fourth condition: Students can be directly certified, meaning they are determined eligible for free or reduced lunch based on participation in government assistance programs including SNAP and TANF. DCPS misaligned the direct certification data time period. This issue was discovered in the 2015 Single Audit and a finding was issued, however, the corrective action was implemented in August 2016 and as result of the timing of the correction, a repeat finding was anticipated by DCPS.</p> <p>For the last condition: The testing here identified one student in the sample that was miscategorized out of over 6,000 that were collected in SY 15-16. The single finding appears to be a reasonable human key-stroke error and the cost impact is marginal. We will provide annual training at the school year opening meeting in August 2017 prior to the commencement of service. This training will cover the Daily Accountability Policies and Procedures including point of sales transactions and the meal line process. DCPS will conduct annual accountability reviews at every NSLP site to ensure daily accountability policies and procedures are followed.</p>
52	2016-004	Vonda Orders, Chief Program Officer, Department of Housing &	Currently Completed	Management concurs with the finding. The difference between 485 report and time on the individual timesheet was due to

Page Number	Finding	Contact	Estimated Completion Date	Corrective Action
		Community Development (DHCD).		<p>employee incorrectly using a combo code during a leave status.</p> <p>The DHCD Office of the Agency Fiscal Officer (OAFO) has worked diligently with DHCD management on full implementation of using "combo codes" in PeopleSoft. The use of "combo codes" is now required for all time entered into PeopleSoft, regardless of the funding source, federal or non-federal. DHCD Senior Management has issued a directive for Program Managers to ensure that all direct reports have utilized "combo codes" prior to approving time each pay period. The DHCD OAFO conducts a review of Time and Labor Distribution Reports on a bi-weekly basis prior to payroll run to ensure that "combo codes" have been used, especially for those employees who charge time to federal grants. Reminders to use combo codes or to revise an invalid combo code that has been entered are sent to each employee and their Program Manager, where applicable, and are to be corrected prior to time entry being approved at the end of each pay period.</p>
54	2016-005	Vonda Orders, Chief Program Officer, Department of Housing & Community Development (DHCD).	Currently Completed	<p>Management concurs with the finding.</p> <p>The DHCD OAFO has worked diligently with DHCD management on full implementation of using "combo codes" in PeopleSoft. The use of "combo codes" is now required for all time entered into PeopleSoft, regardless of the funding source, federal or non-federal. DHCD Senior Management has issued a directive for Program Managers to ensure that all direct reports have utilized "combo codes" prior to approving time each pay period. The DHCD OAFO conducts a review of Time and Labor Distribution Reports on a bi-weekly basis prior to payroll run to ensure that "combo codes" have been used, especially for those employees who charge time to federal grants. Reminders to use combo codes or to revise an invalid combo code that has been entered are sent to each employee and their Program Manager, where applicable, and are to be corrected prior to</p>

Page Number	Finding	Contact	Estimated Completion Date	Corrective Action
				time entry being approved at the end of each pay period.
57	2016-006	Jaime Coronado, Operations Program Manager, Department of Disability Services (DDS).	01/31/2018	<p>The agency concurs with the finding but also observes that some of the documents did not occur in the year being reviewed but a prior year. Currently, the agency documents the services provided, duration of services, the authorization amount and the general maintenance note and objective in the individualized plan for employment.</p> <p><u>Corrective Action:</u></p> <p>The agency requested the case management system provider to require notes and documentation; reference specific maintenance services and costs; and include details and justification prior to closing the plan. The agency will transition to a new case management system beginning January 2018. The aforementioned measures will be made part of the new case management system requirements.</p>
59	2016-007	John Kirika, Vocational Rehabilitation Program Manager, DDS.	09/30/2017 (Phase I)	<p>Upon review of the first condition, the agency concurs with the audit review. However, in one of the two cases eligibility occurred in 2010 and the other in 2015. Neither findings of noncompliance of eligibility occurred in the current year which is the year under review.</p> <p><u>Corrective Action:</u></p> <p>The agency has put in place compliance measures which counselors are using to ensure compliance. These include utilizing electronic case management tools such as alert lists to better monitor eligibility compliance on a weekly basis. Counselors are routinely reminded to use these tools to manage their eligibility timeliness as well.</p> <p>Upon review of the second condition, the agency concurs with the finding. However, the non-compliance occurred in 2015 and not the current year under review. Counselors have received trainings on eligibility</p>

Page Number	Finding	Contact	Estimated Completion Date	Corrective Action
				<p>determination and the required compliance.</p> <p><u>Corrective Action:</u></p> <p>This is an ongoing agenda item during the department-wide monthly meetings where current data on quality and compliance reviews are discussed. In addition, the agency has instituted a targeted review of all active cases which will cover and correct all compliance problems identified. Phase I of the review process is scheduled to be completed by September 30, 2017, and Phase II by November 30, 2017. This is 3-level collaborative effort that will include counselors, supervisors and Quality Assurance staff.</p> <p>Upon review of the third condition, the agency found that the case management system authorizations were made out to Independent Living/Foundations of Adjustment to Blindness. No corrective action or measure was required by the counselor. A corrective action is required by accounting to ensure that the ledger system charges the correct grant.</p>
62	2016-008	John Kirika, Vocational Rehabilitation Program Manager, DDS.	09/30/2017 (Phase I)	<p>The agency concurs with the audit findings. However, non-compliance occurred in 2011 and 2015 for the referenced cases, not the current year under review.</p> <p><u>Corrective Action:</u></p> <p>The agency has instituted corrective measures which include counselor training and the ongoing clinical reminders during the department-wide monthly meetings where compliance data is reviewed and discussed. Further, the agency conducts monthly, random case reviews to ensure that all cases are in compliance.</p> <p>In addition, the agency has instituted a targeted review of all active cases which will cover and correct all compliance problems identified. Phase I of the review process is scheduled to be completed by September 30,</p>

Page Number	Finding	Contact	Estimated Completion Date	Corrective Action
				2017, and Phase II by November 30, 2017. This is 3-level collaborative effort that will include counselors, supervisors and Quality Assurance staff.
64	2016-009	Anthea Seymour, DHS ESA Administrator	09/30/2018	<p>DHS concurs with the recommendation. The agency currently has established processes for participant documents and documentation to be recorded and scanned into the Document Imaging Management System (DIMS) and entered into the customer's electronic record. The District of Columbia Economic Security Administration (ESA) will reinforce the process and procedures to ensure compliance with eligibility requirements by retraining workers on existing policies.</p> <p>DHS has implanted a Business Process Reengineering (BPR) project, which is an eligibility case processing system-wide initiative that the District expects will greatly increase oversight of case processing and reduce instances of lost paperwork. The BPR process also mandates that all submitted documentation be scanned at the time of submission so that originals and a receipt can be returned to customers. This process has reduced the number of instances in which customers who submit documents at their service centers are later required to resubmit paperwork to ESA.</p> <p>ESA has acquired DC Access System (DCAS) to provide software that would enable DHS to more efficiently and accurately review and maintain appropriate documentation for individuals applying for TANF. DCAS interfaces with SSA Prisoner to get incarcerated data for (SNAP and TANF cases). This is done during verification and periodically as well. The information is stored on external and internal evidences (incarceration) and task is created for the caseworker to take appropriate action.</p>
66	2016-010	Anthea Seymour, DHS ESA Administrator	09/30/2018	DHS concurs with the recommendation. The District of Columbia Economic Security Administration (ESA) will retrain the centers

Page Number	Finding	Contact	Estimated Completion Date	Corrective Action
				on the policies for handling income eligibility and verification. We are currently implementing updated training on the DIMS process to ensure all required documents are added and associated with the proper case. With the new BPR Process, scanning of documents will be done at the time of registration and upon customer interview.
68	2016-011	Anthea Seymour, DHS ESA Administrator	09/30/2018	DHS agrees with this finding, and has implemented both systems and processes to ensure that customers who are subject to sanction, are sanctioned. The implementation started on April 1, 2017.
70	2016-012	Anthea Seymour, DHS ESA Administrator	09/30/2018	<p>In response to not being able to provide documentation for one (1) out of 60 cases, DHS will work with its IT department to ensure the accessibility of files as files were corrupt and could not be opened to retrieve requested documents.</p> <p>In response to inadequate documentation submission for five (5) out of 60 cases, DHS will:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review policies and procedures with staff to ensure compliance with established protocols and procedures; • Additionally, we will run test on the batch process which sends data from CATCH to Q5i to ensure that only verified hours are processed. <p>In response to incorrectly reporting customers as having met participation requirements for one (1) out of 60 cases, DHS will run test on the batch process which sends data from CATCH to Q5i to ensure that only verified hours are processed.</p> <p>DHS will review the batch file to ensure that correct information is processed to Q5i, and reported on the ACF-199.</p>
73	2016-013	Kenley Farmer	01/01/2018	DOEE is committed to operating an efficient and effective LIHEAP program in the District. DOEE notes that out of the 40 samples

Page Number	Finding	Contact	Estimated Completion Date	Corrective Action
		Department of Energy and Environment (DOEE)		<p>reviewed, the eligibility criteria stated above was met as none of the households reviewed had incomes that exceeded 60 percent of the State median income per 42 U.S. Code Section 8624(b)(2).</p> <p>Vendor agreements are in place that require the refund of a benefit amount if the benefit cannot be applied to the account (due to moving, death, conversion to other heating or cooling source, or a payment made in error). DOEE has requested a refund from the utilities of the \$351 that was paid in error.</p> <p>In fiscal year 2016, unlike previous years, DOEE was provided with an additional source of funding that allowed the program to stay open for the full fiscal year. This allowed DOEE to assist more households; however, it also meant that staff did not have the same availability of time to complete all of the secondary reviews. In addition, multiple staff members may be on leave for personal or medical reasons, which results in further limitations on staffing capacity (and is currently taking place in fiscal year 2017).</p> <p>Finally, DOEE notes that all of the samples were processed according to the Program Integrity plan found in Section 17 of the District's federally-approved LIHEAP State Plan. This federally-approved State Plan does not require secondary reviews for all LIHEAP applications. For example, the Ohio Department of Development randomly selects approximately seven percent of all application files as part of the quality control monitoring. DOEE reviewed the recommendation in the U.S. Government Accountability Office's Report to Congressional Requestors on the Low-Income Home Energy Assistance Program; Greater Fraud Prevention Controls Are Needed (June 2010) and the Final Report of the LIHEAP Program Integrity Working Group, National Center for Appropriate Technology (April, 2012) in preparing this response.</p> <p><u>Corrective Action:</u></p>

Page Number	Finding	Contact	Estimated Completion Date	Corrective Action
				<p>DOEE proposes to strengthen its controls in the following manner:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In order to improve the accuracy and effectiveness of secondary reviews, DOEE proposes to complete secondary reviews for a minimum of 25% of all applications each fiscal year. The applications selected for a second review will be conducted randomly from all processors. Processors who have committed mistakes will be placed into a “high risk” pool and a greater portion of samples will be selected from this pool for additional secondary reviews. • In addition, supervisors will conduct detailed reviews of 5 applications per processor per month (approximately 30-40 applications per month, depending on staffing levels); if errors are found, supervisors will review these errors with the individual processor and will incorporate identified errors into the regular Affordability Branch trainings. • DOEE supervisors will begin rotating reviewers so that different processors are reviewing different files. • DOEE supervisors will strengthen the incorporation of reviews into staff performance plans and performance reviews; including the incorporation of a minimum threshold for error. • DOEE will investigate possible software solutions to reduce the complexity and time requirements of secondary reviews.
76	2016-014	Lorise White Wolfe, Program Manager, District of Columbia Public Schools (DCPS).	06/30/2017	The responsible department currently conducts bi-weekly reviews of payroll and fringe benefit charges to the HHS grants as well as a quarterly review of all charges against payroll and fringe benefit funds that

Page Number	Finding	Contact	Estimated Completion Date	Corrective Action
				<p>occur outside of the official payroll date. The OCFO Accounting and Budget Teams will continue to provide the payroll register to the ECE Fiscal Team to facilitate the preparation of the time and effort certifications and the review of payroll and fringe benefit charges to ensure full compliance with the existing time and effort and allowable cost policies and procedures.</p>
79	2016-015	Justin Kopca, CFSA Agency Fiscal Officer, John Simmons, CFSA Business Services Administrator	09/30/2017	<p>The Child and Family Services Agency (CFSA) concurs with the facts of the finding, with explanation.</p> <p>Of the overall questioned costs identified by the auditor, three expenditures totaling \$117,860 were inadvertently reported on the fiscal year 2016 Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (SEFA) for this grant; however, CFSA did not use these expenditures as part of its claiming for Federal reimbursement under this grant. For these costs, the CFSA fiscal office will conduct a secondary review of all expenditures that are reported on the SEFA to ensure proper alignment of these expenditures to expenditures that are claimed for Title IV-E reimbursement.</p> <p>For the remaining costs, the CFSA Business Services Administration will develop procedures over the next six months for reviewing intra-district expenditures and determining costs that will be included or excluded for Title IV-E claiming.</p>
81	2016-016	Justin Kopca, CFSA Agency Fiscal Officer	Completed	<p>The Child and Family Services Agency (CFSA) concurs with the facts of the finding, with explanation.</p> <p>To address this finding, as of May 2016, CFSA adopted new policies and procedure related to calculating the biweekly draw request. The new process requires the CFSA accounting staff to provide detailed cash expenditures for each draw request. These procedures were shared with the auditors. All draw requests subsequent to the adoption of these new policies and procedures included</p>

Page Number	Finding	Contact	Estimated Completion Date	Corrective Action
				this detail. The policies and procedures also address alignment of revenue drawdowns to actual cash expenditures incurred. CFSA will ensure that policies and procedures will be adhered with regard to this timing issue and reporting on the CMIA.
83	2016-017	John Simmons, CFSA Business Services Administrator	12/31/2017	CFSA concurs with the facts of all of the findings regarding licensing documentation, criminal record check, and registry checks. CFSA will continue to adhere to its strengthened quality assurance and enhanced review process, which was first implemented October 1, 2014. In addition, CFSA will review its documentation storage and maintenance process, which took effect September 30, 2015. It is important to note that some of the cases referenced in the findings had their eligibility determinations completed prior to the implementation of the strengthened quality controls. CFSA will review the documentation of all foster care cases for which CFSA is claiming Title IV-E funds, and ensure that foster care eligibility files contain the required licensing documentation, criminal records checks (including fingerprints), or child abuse and neglect registry checks.
86	2016-018	Justin Kopca, CFSA Agency Fiscal Officer, John Simmons, CFSA Business Services Administrator	09/30/2017	<p>The Child and Family Services Agency (CFSA) concurs with the facts of the finding, with explanation.</p> <p>With regard to the overstated expenditures for the Title IV-E waiver program, CFSA concurs with this portion of the finding. CFSA has submitted a prior period adjustment to address claims on this portion of the overstated expenditures. The Business Services Administration and Fiscal Office will conduct a secondary review of all submitted Title IV-E claims to ensure accuracy and consistency with financial data supporting the claims and avoid inadvertent mistakes on the CB-496. In addition, for the fiscal year 2017 budget, a new budget activity has been created to capture the prevention activities covered under CFSA's Title IV-E waiver. This new activity is</p>

Page Number	Finding	Contact	Estimated Completion Date	Corrective Action
				<p>designed to capture all expenditures for these identified Title IV-E programs and ensure accurate reporting through claiming.</p> <p>With regard to the overstated expenditures resulting from data entry issues on the Federal government's electronic Form CB-496, CFSA also concurs with this portion of the finding. CFSA is currently working with the Administration for Children and Families (ACF) to resolve the data entry issues and will submit a prior period adjustment, as necessary once those discussions yield an agreement as to the cause of the errors and the subsequent miscalculations.</p>
88	2016-019	Justin Kopca, CFSA Agency Fiscal Officer	09/30/2017	<p>The Child and Family Services Agency (CFSA) concurs with the facts of the finding, with explanation.</p> <p>During fiscal year 2016, under local statutory authority, CFSA entered into a grant with a vendor to provide services during the two subsequent fiscal years. This fiscal year 2016 expenditure was inadvertently reported on the fiscal year 2016 Schedule of Federal Assistance (SEFA) for this grant; however, CFSA did not use this expenditure as part of its claiming for Federal reimbursement under this grant.</p> <p>The CFSA fiscal office will conduct a secondary review of all expenditures that are reported on the SEFA to ensure proper alignment of these expenditures to expenditures that are claimed for Title IV-E reimbursement.</p>
90	2016-020	John Simmons, CFSA Business Services Administrator	09/30/2017	<p>The Child and Family Services Agency (CFSA) concurs with the facts of the finding.</p> <p>As a result of auditor inquiries and review of the annual rate calculation, CFSA determined that contractor revisions to reported quarterly expenditures were not consistently entered. Protocols related to the cost buildup have already been revised. With regard to "overstated days", all instances were the result overlapping or</p>

Page Number	Finding	Contact	Estimated Completion Date	Corrective Action
				duplicate payments. CFSA will explore ways to avoid such payments and (where necessary) edit out such payments from the claiming process.
92	2016-021	Justin Kopca, CFSA Agency Fiscal Officer	Completed	<p>The Child and Family Services Agency (CFSA) concurs with the facts of the finding, with explanation.</p> <p>To address this finding, as of May 2016, CFSA adopted new policies and procedure related to calculating the biweekly draw requested that results in only a percentage of the draw being made against eligible expenditures. The new process also requires the CFSA accounting staff to provide detailed expenditures for each draw request. These procedures were shared with the auditors. The drawdown exceptions identified by the auditors occurred before the implementation of these procedures. CFSA believes the issue has been resolved.</p>
94	2016-022	John Simmons, CFSA Business Services Administrator	12/31/2017	<p>CFSA concurs with the facts of the findings.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> For the first condition - CFSA concurs that for 44 of 60 samples, CFSA was unable to provide evidence that the program eligibility checklist was appropriately approved. CFSA began using the checklist in mid-2006. Adoptions finalized prior to 2006 would not have included the checklist. CFSA will complete the checklist for all adoption assistance cases at the time of finalization and ensure it is included in each adoption subsidy file. It should be noted that the eligibility checklist is not a federal requirement for Title IV-E claiming. For the second condition - CFSA concurs that for the 43 of 60 samples, CFSA was unable to provide evidence that the annual adoption subsidy questionnaire was reviewed annually and the reviews were properly documented. CFSA sends each adoptive parent an annual questionnaire seeking information on the status and well-being of each adopted

Page Number	Finding	Contact	Estimated Completion Date	Corrective Action
				<p>child. Approximately 78% of those questionnaires are returned by adoptive parents. CFSA also follows up on communication it receives regarding the status or well-being of an adopted child. CFSA researches and investigates where applicable, and contacts adoptive parents as appropriate. As necessary, CFSA sends the adoptive parent a Notice of Action to remedy verified status changes which might impact adoption assistance. Equally important, it is necessary to note that the federal Child Welfare Policy Manual states "There is no Federal statute or provision requiring annual renewals, recertifications or eligibility re-determinations for title IV-E adoption assistance". Further, documentation of annual reviews is not a federal eligibility requirement. CFSA will ensure that documentation of the adoption subsidy annual review is placed in the adoption subsidy file.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For the third condition - CFSA concurs that for 1 of 60 samples, the decree order was issued four (4) years after the executed subsidy agreement. • For the fourth condition - CFSA concurs that for 43 of 60 samples, CFSA was unable to provide subsidy review applications signed by the adoptive parents. The adoption subsidy application and referral are not required documents for IV-E eligibility. The absence of these documents in the adoption subsidy file should not render associated payments ineligible for federal reimbursements. • For the fifth condition - CFSA concurs that for 2 of 60 samples, CFSA was unable to provide court orders, evidence of an initial hearing, referrals; and/or applications for adoption. • For the sixth condition - CFSA concurs that for 19 of 60 samples, CFSA was unable to provide evidence that the neglect registry check was done at the

Page Number	Finding	Contact	Estimated Completion Date	Corrective Action
				<p>time of the adoption.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For the seventh condition - CFSA concurs that for 2 of 60 samples, CFSA provided documentation that was outside the period to demonstrate whether the neglect registry check was done at the time of the adoption. • For the eighth condition - CFSA concurs that for 19 of 60 samples, CFSA was unable to provide documentation evidencing that prospective adoptive parent(s) had met a criminal history record check, including a fingerprint-based check. • For the ninth condition - CFSA concurs that for 3 of 60 samples, CFSA provided documentation that was outside of the period for prospective adoptive parent(s) criminal history record check, including a fingerprint-based check. • For the tenth condition - CFSA concurs that for 1 of 60 samples, CFSA was unable to provide evidence that criminal history checks and child protection registry checks were performed for one (1) of the household members over the age of 18. <p>With regard to the findings pertaining to criminal history checks and child protection registry checks, CFSA has implemented stronger quality assurance and enhanced review process for all Title IV-E Adoption Assistance cases, including the required criminal background and registry checks. In addition, CFSA implemented an improved process for centralizing storage, maintenance and retrieval of all criminal background checks and child abuse and registry checks for all Title IV-E eligible Adoption Assistance cases for which CFSA is claiming Title IV-E funds. Many of the cases identified in the audit were finalized 5 - 10 years prior to the implementation of the above improvements. CFSA will ensure that all newly finalized adoption assistance cases contain the criminal history checks and child</p>

Page Number	Finding	Contact	Estimated Completion Date	Corrective Action
				<p>protection registry checks as required.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Year 2017 Corrective Action Plan - Adoption Assistance Eligibility: Licensing Documentation:</u></p> <p>CFSA will complete the eligibility checklist for all adoption assistance cases at the time of finalization and ensure it is included in each adoption subsidy file. CFSA will review all previously finalized adoption cases and ensure it exists for each adoption subsidy file.</p> <p>CFSA will review all of its adoption subsidy files and ensure that documentation of the adoption subsidy annual review is placed in the adoption subsidy file.</p> <p>CFSA will review all adoption assistance subsidy files to gauge documentation of a final, signed subsidy agreement in each file.</p> <p>Effective October 1, 2014, CFSA implemented stronger quality assurance and enhanced review of all Title IV-E Adoption Assistance cases, including the required criminal background and registry checks. Effective September 30, 2015, CFSA implemented an improved process for centralizing storage, maintenance and retrieval of all criminal background checks and child abuse and registry checks for all Title IV-E eligible Adoption Assistance cases for which CFSA is claiming Title IV-E funds. Many of the cases identified in the audit were finalized 5 - 10 years prior to the implementation of the above improvements. CFSA will ensure that all newly finalized adoption assistance cases contain the criminal history checks and child protection registry checks as required.</p>
98	2016-023	Anthea Seymour, DHS ESA Administrator	09/30/2018	<p>The District does not dispute the findings provided by BDO. The District acknowledges that a signed recertification form could not be located for two sample cases following a thorough search of the Document Imaging Management System (DIMS) and any hard</p>

Page Number	Finding	Contact	Estimated Completion Date	Corrective Action
				<p>copies of documentation on file. The District also acknowledges that the recertification form for one of the cases could not be found due to an ESA caseworker error in which the form was not sent to the beneficiary for completion. To resolve these findings, the District has developed the following corrective action measures:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li data-bbox="954 583 1474 1549">• The District of Columbia Economic Security Administration (ESA) is in the process of implementing the Business Process Reengineering (BPR) project, which is an eligible case processing system-wide initiative that the District expects will greatly increase oversight of case processing and reduce instances of lost paperwork. As of this writing, BPR has been deployed to all five Service Centers. BPR utilizes detailed narratives, case notes, check lists and revamped trainings to improve case processing. The BPR process also mandates that all submitted documentation is scanned at the time of submission so that originals and a receipt can be returned to customers, which has already reduced instances in which customers who submit documents at the service centers must re-submit paperwork to ESA. This approach leverages the desktop scanners purchased in June 2015, allowing caseworkers and clerks to directly upload documentation into the Document Imaging Management System (DIMS) for all Medicaid cases. <li data-bbox="954 1570 1474 1890">• DHS is the process of implementing DIMS training for staff throughout the Administration. This would help eliminate incorrect indexing - which prevents scanned documents from being found. An assessment of staff has been completed to determine who should receive DIMS training. A training schedule has been developed and the first training was

Page Number	Finding	Contact	Estimated Completion Date	Corrective Action
				<p>initiated the week of April 17, 2017, with staff who already had scanners installed.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A Mobile Scanning Team has been created to implement a short-term concentrated cleanup of un-scanned documents in the Service Center. This team, which was dispatched in March 2017, systematically goes to each Service Center to locate and scan un-scanned documents into DIMS. • DHS will retrain staff on eligibility processes and procedures in an effort to reduce human error.
100	2016-024	Keith Fletcher, Chief Operating Officer - DOH	12/31/2017	<p>DOH concurs with the finding. Corrective actions have been underway prior to this determination of the finding. DOH will accelerate its process for issuing and implementing a Time and Effort (T&E) Reporting & Monitoring standard operating procedure (SOP). The draft SOP was revised in fiscal year 2016 to require manual Personnel Activity Reports (PARs), rather than exclusively e-reporting via the PeopleSoft system (i.e., use of combo codes). DOH sought a more manageable solution, given the size of affected staff, the complexity of applicable programs (e.g., multiple cost objectives, award size) and the number of routine program changes to align with T&E reporting (e.g., budget revisions, staff reassignments, temporary delegations, etc.). For this reason, the organizational effort was redirected towards obtaining technical assistance, which resulted in the procurement (January 2017) of a contractor to conduct a study on the best options available to DOH, considering specific needs of the DOH environment and portfolio of awards. The following corrective actions will be deployed:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (1) A contractor will recommend the application of appropriate options to address T&E at DOH.

Page Number	Finding	Contact	Estimated Completion Date	Corrective Action
				<p>(2) The contractor through a structured study will provide a recommendation for the optimal DOH system/s for verification of T&E. This can take range from implementing a Personnel Activity Reporting (PAR) system to the implementation of a full Random Moment Time System (RMTS).</p> <p>(3) The contractor shall seek approval by the cognizant agency of the implementation of the method.</p> <p>(4) SOP will be reissued, with training and support for employees and supervisors.</p>
103	2016-025	Deborah Bonsack, Deputy Director for Administration, DDS	N/A	DDS contends that this is not a finding and that an accrual is a reasonable estimate and that all of the information that has been provided supports our conclusion.
105	2016-026	Anthea Seymour, DHS ESA Administrator	11/30/2017	<p>DHS has addressed the issue of "authority to act" in previous Single Audit cycles and continues to disagree with the recommendation that ESA policies should require SSR duties of recording and authorizing to be segregated.</p> <p>No Federal regulations for SNAP/TANF/CHIP/Medicaid require a separation of duties between interviewers and processors. Both DHHS/CMS and USDA/FNS encourage states to pursue strategies that are based on "first contact resolution" and speeding up determinations to improve access and program administration.</p> <p>A requirement of the SSR position includes the expectation that the SSR would exercise appropriate judgment and determine eligibility as necessary for the performance of their duties. The "authority to act" designation is granted based on employee's performance, in conjunction with established criteria. Consequently, an SSR acquires the "authority to act" upon demonstrating ability to consistently and</p>

Page Number	Finding	Contact	Estimated Completion Date	Corrective Action
				<p>correctly determine eligibility and process customer benefits. The SSRs ability to follow established guidelines in determining eligibility and processing benefits is monitored and reflected in their annual Performance Evaluations. If a performance cycle and/or quality review indicates that an SSR should not have Authority to Act, that responsibility is removed, and the SSR is scheduled for re-training and further assessment. DHS updates the list of SSRs with Authority to Act on a routine basis.</p> <p>With respect to that portion of the recommendation stating that ESA strengthen its current policies and procedures, ESA has adjusted its monthly Supervisory Case Review (SCR) process, which has been implemented for the primary purpose of ensuring program integrity, by assessing SSRs adherence to policies and procedures, and identifying and correcting errors.</p> <p>Supervisors provide additional training to workers when discrepancies are detected, share the appropriate policy and procedures with the staff person responsible for the case actions, and share the information with all staff during the next scheduled staff meeting. ESA administers an ongoing quality control process to ensure the accuracy and quality of eligibility decisions made by SSRs.</p> <p>All SSRs receive Induction Policy Training upon being hired. It covers SNAP, Medicaid and TANF. Upon completion of Policy Training, SSRs receive System Training (ACEDS). No staff is allowed to process cases until Policy and System Training is complete. Continuous refresher training is held on a regular basis for all staff.</p> <p>Evidence of training exists but is fragmentally housed with individual supervisors and Policy and Training staff. Going forward, DHS has begun developing a Talent Management Tracking System, that will record the status of each SSR's Policy and System Training. This would also include Supervisory documentation</p>

Page Number	Finding	Contact	Estimated Completion Date	Corrective Action
				<p>acknowledgement that the SSR has achieved the required level of competency to receive "Authority to Act" access.</p> <p>Finally, the recommendation that SSR duties of recording and authorizing be segregated is not feasible at this time. As a result of the local and federal policies and regulations relative to timeliness in processing actions for customer benefits, increasing caseloads, and limited number of supervisory SSRs to authorize actions, DHS has implemented a Business Process Redesign (BPR) to address timeliness in processing benefits. BPR is being facilitated by Change Innovations Associates (CIA) who have reengineered business processes in 17 jurisdictions. In FY17, BPR has been rolled out to all five Service Centers and uses the "one and done"/"first contact resolution" process, which employs "authority to act". Therefore, implementing the audit recommendation of segregating duties would negatively impact our ability to provide timely services with better outcomes to our customers.</p>