Judicial Nomination Commission

http://jnc.dc.gov/jnc Telephone: 202-879-0478

Description	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Approved	FY 2011 Proposed	% Change from FY 2010
Operating Budget	\$134,120	\$205,000	\$205,000	0.0
FTEs	0.9	1.5	2.0	33.3

The mission of the Judicial Nomination Commission is to promote justice by screening, selecting, and recommending candidates to the President of the United States for nomination to judicial vacancies on the District of Columbia Superior Court and the Court of Appeals, and to appoint the chief judges to those courts.

Summary of Services

The Commission was established in the District of Columbia Home Rule Act and is presently codified in Section 1-204.34 of the District of Columbia Official Code. The Commission consists of 7 members, who serve 6-year terms of office.

The agency's FY 2011 proposed budget is presented in the following tables:

FY 2011 Proposed Gross Funds Operating Budget, by Revenue Type

Table DV0-1 contains the proposed FY 2011 agency budget compared to the FY 2010 approved budget. It also provides FY 2008 and FY 2009 actual expenditures.

Table DV0-1

(dollars in thousands)

Appropriated Fund	Actual FY 2008	Actual FY 2009	Approved FY 2010	Proposed FY 2011	Change from FY 2010	Percent Change*
General Fund						
Local Funds	103	134	0	0	0	N/A
Total for General Fund	103	134	0	0	0	N/A
Federal Resources						
Federal Payments	0	0	205	205	0	0.0
Total for Federal Resources	0	0	205	205	0	0.0
Gross Funds	103	134	205	205	0	0.0

^{*}Percent Change is based on whole dollars.

Note: If applicable, for a breakdown of each Grant (Federal and Private), Special Purpose Revenue type and Intra-District agreement please refer to Schedule 80, Agency Summary by Revenue Source, in the Operating Appendices located on the Office of the Chief Financial Officer's website.

FY 2011 Proposed Full-Time Equivalents, by Revenue Type

Table DV0-2 contains the proposed FY 2011 FTE level compared to the FY 2010 approved FTE level by revenue type. It also provides FY 2008 and FY 2009 actual data.

Table DV0-2

Appropriated Fund	Actual FY 2008	Actual FY 2009	Approved FY 2010	Proposed FY 2011	Change from FY 2010	Percent Change
General Fund						
Local Funds	1.0	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A
Total for General Fund	1.0	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A
Federal Resources						
Federal Payments	0.0	0.0	1.5	2.0	0.5	33.3
Total for Federal Resources	0.0	0.0	1.5	2.0	0.5	33.3
Total Proposed FTEs	1.0	0.9	1.5	2.0	0.5	33.3

FY 2011 Proposed Operating Budget, by Comptroller Source Group

Table DV0-3 contains the proposed FY 2011 budget at the Comptroller Source Group (object class) level compared to the FY 2010 approved budget. It also provides FY 2008 and FY 2009 actual expenditures.

Table DV0-3 (dollars in thousands)

					Change	
	Actual	Actual	Approved	Proposed	from	Percent
Comptroller Source Group	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2010	Change*
11 - Regular Pay - Cont Full Time	68	102	111	110	-2	-1.4
12 - Regular Pay - Other	0	0	33	38	5	13.7
13 - Additional Gross Pay	3	0	0	0	0	N/A
14 - Fringe Benefits - Curr Personnel	12	15	25	22	-3	-11.9
Subtotal Personal Services (PS)	83	118	170	170	0	0.0
20 - Supplies and Materials	0	0	3	7	4	133.3
31 - Telephone, Telegraph, Telegram, Etc.	0	0	0	0	0	N/A
40 - Other Services and Charges	5	16	21	21	-1	-2.8
41 - Contractual Services - Other	15	0	10	4	-7	-64.3
70 - Equipment and Equipment Rental	0	0	1	4	3	400.0
Subtotal Nonpersonal Services (NPS)	20	16	35	35	0	0.0
Gross Funds	103	134	205	205	0	0.0

^{*}Percent Change is based on whole dollars.

Program Description

The Judicial Nomination Commission operates through the following 2 programs:

Judicial Nomination - selects and recommends judicial nominees to the President of the United States for the D.C. Superior Court and D.C. Court of Appeals, pursuant to section 1-204.34 of the District of Columbia Official Code.

This program contains the following 2 activities:

- Advertising Judicial Vacancies provides posting and promoting of judicial vacancies so that the best-qualified candidates can be identified and recruited; and
- Commission Administration and Support provides administration and support through a full-time executive director and part-time executive assistant, who ensure that applications are complete and meet the criteria for judicial candidates.

Agency Management - provides for administrative support and the required tools to achieve operational and programmatic results. This program is standard for all agencies using performance-based budgeting.

Program Structure Change

The Judicial Nomination Commission had no program structure changes in the FY 2011 Proposed Budget.

FY 2011 Proposed Operating Budget and FTEs, by Program and Activity

Table DV0-4 contains the proposed FY 2011 budget by program and activity compared to the FY 2010 approved budget. It also provides FY 2009 actual data.

Table DV0-4

(dollars in thousands)

	Dollars in Thousands			Full-Time Equivalents				
Program/Activity	Actual FY 2009	Approved FY 2010	Proposed FY 2011	Change from FY 2010	Actual FY 2009	Approved FY 2010	Proposed FY 2011	Change from FY 2010
(1000) Agency Management								
(1020) Contracting and Procurement	12	7	1	-6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Subtotal (1000) Agency Management	12	7	1	-6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
(2000) Judicial Nomination								
(2100) Advertising Judicial Vacancies	0	2	2	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
(2500) Commission Administration and Support	122	196	202	6	0.9	1.5	2.0	0.5
Subtotal (2000) Judicial Nomination	122	198	204	6	0.9	1.5	2.0	0.5
Total Proposed Operating Budget	134	205	205	0	0.9	1.5	2.0	0.5

(Change is calculated by whole numbers and numbers may not add up due to rounding)

Note: For more detailed information regarding the proposed funding for the activities within this agency's programs, please see Schedule 30-PBB Program Summary By Activity in the FY 2011 Operating Appendices located on the Office of the Chief Financial Officer's website.

FY 2011 Proposed Budget Changes

In the FY 2011 Proposed Budget the agency has several re-alignments to personal and nonpersonal services to maximize the efficiency of the agency. The changes include a reduction of \$34,706 and 1.0 FTE, a reduction of \$3,015 in fringe benefits, a reduction of \$982 in other services and charges, and a reduction of \$6,218 in contractual services. The reductions are offset by the following: an increase of \$37,721 in personal services to fund 2 part-time positions, an increase of \$4,000 in supplies, and an increase of \$3,200 in equipment.

FY 2010 Approved Budget to FY 2011 Proposed Budget, by Revenue Type

Table DV0-5 itemizes the changes by revenue type between the FY 2010 approved budget and the FY 2011 proposed budget.

·	PROGRAM	BUDGET	FT
RAL PAYMENTS: FY 2010 Approved Budget and FTE		205	1.
Adjust: Increase in equipment	Judicial Nomination	3	0.0
Cost Increase: Increase in supplies and materials	Judicial Nomination	4	0.0
Cost Increase: Increase regular pay to support 2 part-time	Judicial Nomination	38	1.
employees			
Enhance: Align staffing	Judicial Nomination	0	0.
Reduce: Reduce contractual services	Judicial Nomination	-6	0.
Reduce: Reduce fringe benefits	Judicial Nomination	-3	0.
Reduce: Reduce other services and charges	Judicial Nomination	-1	0.
Reduce: Reduction of 1.0 FTE	Judicial Nomination	-35	-1.
RAL PAYMENTS: FY 2011 Proposed Budget and FTE		205	2.

Agency Performance plan

The agency's performance plan has the following objective for FY 2011:

Objective 1: Solicit highly qualified applicants to fill judicial vacancies within the required 60-day period either prior to, or following, the occurrence of a vacancy as indicated by the agency's statute.

Agency Performance MeasuresTable DV0-6

	FY 2008	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2011
Measure	Target	Actual	Actual	Projection	Projection	Projection
Percentage of candidate panels for judicial vacancies presented within 60 days	100	100	100	100	100	100
Percentage of required background investigations conducted and completed on judicial vacancy applicants	100	100	100	100	100	100